SEA Subsystem Attribute Correction Based on Stiffness Multipliers
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Abstract—This study introduces the principles and application procedures of the stiffness multiplier method used to correct the statistical energy analysis (SEA) subsystem attributes. Using the cockpit floor of a certain type of fighter aircraft as an example, this study combines the finite element method and stiffness multiplier correction technique to modify the modal density of the SEA model through theoretical derivation and numerical simulation analysis. Results of the numerical simulation show that the SEA subsystem modified with the stiffness multiplier method can perfectly reflect the dynamic characteristics of complex stiffened plates. Moreover, the results of the calculation are identical to those obtained with the finite element method. The stiffness multiplier method is found to be applicable to the construction of the complex structures of the SEA subsystem model.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As an effective prediction technique for medium-high frequency responses, statistical energy analysis (SEA) is widely used in the aerospace and auto industry[1-3]. SEA divides complex systems into different modal groups. In cases of statistical significance, SEA disassembles large systems into several independent subsystems that are easy to analyze instead of precisely determining each modal response. In the initial engineering design stage of SEA applications, the subsystems constituted by modal groups must be defined. The SEA model established in this way can clearly express the characteristics of input, storage, loss, and transmission of vibration energy. The attribute parameters of subsystems can also be calculated accurately to achieve precise dynamic prediction results. However, substructures in practical applications often have complex geometrical and physical properties, and no related attribute parameter is available for reference[4]. Moreover, experiments for testing the attribute parameters of substructures are often time consuming and are thus not widely used in engineering practice. This limitation emphasizes the need to develop a fast and effective method that could predict the attribute parameters of subsystems with complex structures.

In predicting the attribute parameters of subsystems with complex structures, dynamic response (frequency and space average) is usually controlled by a series of “total attributes” even when structures are extremely complex from the physical aspect[5]. The energy storage capacity of structures is controlled by the frequency band within the localized mode, whereas the energy transfer is determined by local attributes near the structural connection (such as local impedance at the structural connection position, transfer loss, and radiation efficiency to the shell structure and acoustic coupling). Therefore, with SEA structures having the same energy storage capacity and transmission properties, accurate SEA subsystems can be established to represent complex structures. This approach establishes a simplified SEA model for complex structures and guarantees accuracy and computational efficiency (main physical parameters that affect structural response).

Over the past decades, several studies have focused on estimating the parameters of SEA subsystems. V. Cotoni and R. Langley estimated the SEA parameters of a periodic structure and presented an analysis theory for periodic structure vibration[6]. Taner Onsay and Anab Akanda et al. developed an estimation method for SEA parameters based on equivalent stiffness[7] and adopted fine finite elements to estimate modal density so as to achieve equivalence in stiffness with a “stiffness multiplier.” B. R. Mace and P. J. Shorter adopted the method of energy finite elements to study the coupling relationship between subsystems[8]. On the basis of the work of Taner Onsay and Anab Akanda et al., the present study analyzes the correction of SEA attributes with the stiffness multiplier method and conducts numerical verification using practical structures.

II. SEA CORRECTION BASED ON STIFFNESS MULTIPLIER

The main theory of the SEA method is based on the power flow balance equation, with which the energy flow relationship among subsystems can be solved[9]. The power balance relationship among N subsystems is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{n}{s} & -\eta_{i}N_i & - & - \\ -\eta_{i}N_i & \left(1 + \frac{n}{s}\right) & - & - \\ - & - & - & - \\ - & - & - & \left(1 + \frac{n}{s}\right)N_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ N_1 \\ E_2 \\ N_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{i,1} \\ P_{i,2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where \(\omega\) is the mid-band frequency, \(\eta_{i}\) is the damping loss factor (DLF) of the subsystem, \(\eta_{is}\) is the coupling loss factor (CLF) among subsystems, \(n\) is the modal density, and
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$P$ is the input power of the subsystem load.

For increasingly complex structures, their DLF values can be tested with the method of steady energy flow[10]. The key to this method is to measure the input power $P_m$ of the excitation source in the system and the system energy $E$. The input power of the subsystem is

$$P_m = R_m (r = 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_p (\omega) d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_r (\omega) d\omega = \left\{ f(t) v(t) \right\}$$

(2)

The energy of the subsystem can be expressed as

$$E = M \left\{ v^2(t) \right\}$$

(3)

where $S_r (\omega)$ is the cross-spectrum density of the bilateral force $f(t)$ and speed $v(t)$ at the excitation point and $G_r (\omega)$ is the unilateral cross-spectrum density. According to the definition of the DLF, we can obtain the following from Formulas (2) and (3):

$$\eta = \frac{P_m}{\omega E}$$

(4)

As for a three-dimensional space with volume $V$, superficial area $A$, and total side length $l$, the formula of its modal density is

$$n(f) = \frac{4\pi^2 f^4 V}{c^3} + \frac{\pi f A}{2c^2} + \frac{l}{8c}$$

(5)

For the uniform rectangle plate, the modal density formula of the flexural vibration is

$$n(f) = \frac{A_p}{2Rc_i}$$

(6)

where $A_p$ is the area of the plate, $R$ is the turning radius of the section, $c_i$ is the longitudinal wave velocity in the material, and $c_i = \sqrt{E/\rho}$, where $E$ denotes the elasticity modulus and $\rho$ denotes the mass density.

The radiation efficiency of the two-dimensional plate is

$$\sigma_{rad} = \frac{P_{rad}}{\rho c_i A_p \{ v^2 \}}$$

(7)

In Formula (7), $P_{rad}$ is the radiated sound power, and $\{ v^2 \}$ is the mean square velocity on the plate surface. Here, both the radiated sound power and the mean square velocity can be calculated with the finite element model and experimental measurement.

As for plates with special structures, such as stiffened plates, their modal densities cannot be calculated with the formula for uniform flat structures. Such calculation would result in large errors and necessitate extensive corrections. If a complex plate structure is substituted with a simple two-dimensional plate structure, then the calculation is simplified. The equivalent bending stiffness of a stiffened plate is defined as $B_{eq}$, and that of an ordinary plate is $B$. The ratio of the equivalent bending stiffness of the stiffened plate to that of an ordinary two-dimensional flat plate is defined as the stiffness multiplier $\alpha$, which is written as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{B_{eq}}{B} = \left( \frac{n(f)}{n_{eq}(f)} \right)^2$$

(8)

$$B = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}$$

(9)

where $n(\omega)$ is the modal density of the structure, $B$ is the bending stiffness of the plate, $\nu$ is the Poisson’s ratio of material, and $h$ is the thickness of the plate. The elasticity modulus of a stiffened plate structure for SEA can be determined by multiplying the elasticity modulus of two-dimensional flat materials with a stiffness multiplier. The equivalent stiffness can then be corrected.

III. EXAMPLE OF NUMERICAL SIMULATION ANALYSIS

A. Model of Cockpit floor of Fighter Aircraft

We establish a cockpit floor of a fighter aircraft as Figure I shows. The spatial distribution of the stiffeners on the base plate is extremely complicated, their thickness is not uniform, and a corresponding subsystem based on standard SEA subsystems is lacking. In this example, we use the stiffness multiplier method to determine the equivalent SEA subsystem for the base plate.

FIGURE I. COCKPIT FLOOR OF FIGHTER AIRCRAFT
We combine the finite element method with the stiffness multiplier to modify the modal density. First, a detailed finite element model of the stiffened plate substructure must be established. Then, the calculation is conducted, including the calculation of the modal number within the frequency band, inherent frequency, and mode shape of the finite element substructure. Subsequently, the equivalent SEA substructure is established. At this point, a standard SEA substructure is defined, and the modal number within the frequency band of the defined standard SEA substructure is obtained with the VA One software. Then, the ratio of the modal number within the frequency band of the standard SEA substructure and that of the finite element substructure are defined as the stiffness multipliers. The material attributes of the SEA substructure are modified, and the equivalent SEA substructure is finally established.

For acoustic problems, the radiation efficiency and transfer loss of the SEA substructure can be used to (a) calculate the radiation efficiency of the SEA substructure after correcting material attributes and (b) determine the radiation efficiency from the calculation of the finite element substructure. Consequently, the CLF of the equivalent SEA substructure can be modified.

The finite element substructure model of the floor can be created with the VA One software, as shown in Figure II. The finite element substructure model comprises 591 nodes and 584 units (including shell and beam elements). The sound fields on both sides of the substructure are created with the SIF function of the VA One SEA software. The attributes of the structure are simplified to uniform material, thickness, and stiffened section characteristics (which are similar to those of the base plate materials on the floor). The thickness of the plate is 0.0015 m, the material density is 2757 kg/m³, the elasticity modulus 70GPa, and the Poisson’s ratio is 0.3. The moment of inertia of an area of the beam sections are $I_{xx} = 8.004 \times 10^{-8}$ m⁴, $I_{yy} = 4.169 \times 10^{-8}$ m⁴, $J_{zz} = 1.273 \times 10^{-7}$ m⁴, and $Q_{zz} = 3.726 \times 10^{-10}$ m⁴, the area is $0.000272$ m², and the perimeter is 0.256 m.

The material and thickness of the non-stiffened SEA plate substructure (uniformed SEA) created with the VA One software are identical to those of the finite element substructure. The sound fields on both sides of the plate substructure are created with the SIF function as well. The attributes of the structure are simplified to uniform material, thickness, and stiffened section characteristics (which are similar to those of the base plate materials on the floor). The thickness of the plate is 0.0015 m, the material density is 2757 kg/m³, the elasticity modulus 70GPa, and the Poisson’s ratio is 0.3. The moment of inertia of an area of the beam sections are $I_{xx} = 8.004 \times 10^{-8}$ m⁴, $I_{yy} = 4.169 \times 10^{-8}$ m⁴, $J_{zz} = 1.273 \times 10^{-7}$ m⁴, and $Q_{zz} = 3.726 \times 10^{-10}$ m⁴, the area is $0.000272$ m², and the perimeter is 0.256 m.

The average stiffness multiplier is applied to modify the uniformed SEA substructure and finally obtain the updated SEA. The subsystem model is then created with VA One (Figure II).

B. Comparative analysis of results
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Figure IV (A) shows 20 decoupling concentrated force excitations imposed on the finite element system and 4 corner points imposed with simple support restraints. Eighteen sensors are imposed uniformly on the finite element subsystem to obtain the dynamic response at the response position. The dynamic response, radiation efficiency, and radiation sound pressure level of the structure can be calculated with the hybrid finite element/SEA in the VA One software (Figure V). Equivalent excitations are imposed on two SEA subsystems (uniformed and updated SEA). The spatial average dynamic response, radiation efficiency, and radiation sound pressure level of the structure can then be obtained (Figure V).
Figure V indicates that the modal number of the updated SEA plate subsystem fits perfectly with that of the finite element subsystem. This result is a good reflection of the dynamic characteristics of the stiffened plate structure. The radiation efficiencies of these two subsystems are consistent. The low radiation efficiency at 1,000 Hz is caused by the compression of the plate structure. The difference in the radiation efficiencies at 150 Hz reflects the complex radiation characteristics at a coincidence frequency. Under this coincidence frequency, the SEA is mainly corrected with the stiffeners, irregular regions, or boundary radiations, which cannot fully reflect the characteristics of stiffeners.
Figure VI shows the comparison of the equal bandwidth radiation efficiencies (bandwidth at 5 Hz) and indicates changes in the low frequency radiation efficiencies. The differences in the radiation efficiencies are reflected in the radiated sound pressures. The prediction of the radiation sound pressure level of the updated SEA plate subsystem is consistent with that of the finite element subsystem. The differences in the radiation frequencies at 150 Hz reach nearly 6 dB. The dynamic responses of the structure in Figure V (e) show a good fit and an obvious correlation. The small difference can be eliminated through the well-distributed arrangement of sensors.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This study introduces the principle and application of correcting the attributes of the SEA subsystem with the stiffness multiplier method. Taking the cockpit floor of a certain type of fighter aircraft as an example, the SEA subsystem of the floor (updated SEA subsystem) is created with the stiffness multiplier method. Numerical verification is conducted on the stiffness multiplier with the hybrid finite element/SEA method of the VA One software. Through the comparative analysis of the calculation results, the following conclusions can be drawn. First, the SEA subsystem corrected with the stiffness multiplier method can effectively reflect the dynamic characteristics of the complex stiffened plate. Second, the radiation noise and vibration response of the structure analyzed with the updated SEA subsystem fit well with those analyzed with the finite element method. Third, the stiffness multiplier method can be used to create a SEA subsystem, which is similar to a complex stiffened structure. In this study, the transmission loss of the updated SEA subsystem is not explored but will be studied in future research.
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