The Development of Sulfate Scale Inhibitor for RO System
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Abstract. This paper develops the sulfate scale inhibitor WUR-201 for RO using uniform design method, and the results show that the scale inhibition performance of WUR-201 can reach or even exceed that of scale inhibitor Foreign 1#; the scale inhibition ability increases with pH increasing but decreases with temperature increasing; Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺ can lead to WUR-201 poisoning; WUR-201 has also good scale inhibition for CaCO₃.

Introduction

According to the investigation, 85% of the operational failure of reverse osmosis (RO) system in China is caused by the pretreatment. And the main form of the operational failure is the membrane parts pollution and plugging, and the property includes biological slime and scaling substance. The primary preventive measure of biological slime is sterilization management. Different kinds of scaling substance need different preventive measures, for example, measures such as the acid addition, scale inhibitors addition and water softening can be taken for carbonate scale.

In China the primary scaling substance threatening the operation of RO system are CaCO₃ and sulfate (including BaSO₄, SrSO₄ and CaSO₄). To prevent the scaling of RO system, some foreign special scale inhibitors for RO have been developed, such as PTP-2000, PTP-0100, Flocon 260, SJ-881, TPT-0510, LA302, TianASD 200/SC and so on, which have been used in China. However, these inhibitors are expensive, and scale inhibitors for sulfate are few. Thus, the sulfate scale inhibitor WUR-201 is developed in this paper compared with the control, that is the foreign inhibitor.

Materials and Methods

Table 1 gives the preparation of the experimental water. After the scale inhibitors are mixed with a certain volume of experimental water, keep the water at the constant temperature for a certain time, and then determine the scale inhibition rate (η).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scaling Substance</th>
<th>Experimental Water Composition/mg·L⁻¹</th>
<th>Water Temperature/ ºC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BaSO₄</td>
<td>ρ(Ba²⁺)=400, ρ(SO₄²⁻)=1200</td>
<td>60±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrSO₄</td>
<td>ρ(Sr²⁺)=438, ρ(SO₄²⁻)=480</td>
<td>60±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaSO₄</td>
<td>ρ(Ca²⁺)=2000, ρ(SO₄²⁻)=4800</td>
<td>80±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCO₃</td>
<td>ρ(Ca²⁺)=400, ρ(HCO₃⁻)=1200</td>
<td>80±1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental Results

In this paper the scale inhibition rates for BaSO₄, SrSO₄ and CaSO₄ are respectively denoted by η Ba, η Sr and η Ca.
Scale Inhibition Performance of Monomer

In order to compound the inhibitor, the sulfate scale inhibition performance of four kinds of monomers (respectively numbered A, B, C and D) are determined. These monomers include ATMP, EDTMP, HEDP, DETPMP, PBTCA and HPMA. And two kinds of RO running conditions have been taken into account, that is acidification operation (pH of concentrate is about 6.5) and operation without acid (pH of concentrate is about 8.5).

Table 2 gives the results and the usage amount of the inhibitors (Dn) is 9mg/L. The order of the inhibition difficulty of three kinds of sulfate is as follows: BaSO\(_4\) > SrSO\(_4\) > CaSO\(_4\). And for BaSO\(_4\), the scaling substance most difficult to control, the order of the scale inhibition performance is D > C > A > B, which should be payed attention to when selecting inhibitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scaling Substance</th>
<th>BaSO(_4)</th>
<th>SrSO(_4)</th>
<th>CaSO(_4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.5</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>22.33</td>
<td>68.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 8.5</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>56.33</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>49.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>56.12</td>
<td>50.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20.11</td>
<td>70.58</td>
<td>75.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>60.45</td>
<td>63.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scale Inhibition Performance of Compound Medicine

In order to make the most of synergistic effect of the inhibitors, taking the dispersing ability and inhibiting ability of biological sludge into account, three series (respectively numbered X, Y and Z), that is eighteen kinds of complex formulations of those monomers are made, and the initial tests show that the X series has good scale inhibition performance.

To improve the inhibition performance further, the X series is optimized. The technical route is as follows: ① Determine the formulation composition according to the uniform design table. ② Measure the scale inhibition rates of these formulations. ③ Use the resulting data to build a mathematical model of the relationship between scale inhibition rates and the complex formulations, then utilize the regression analysis to determine the coefficients in above model. ④ Utilize the F-Test to select the most important factors and remove the secondary factors, getting the experiential formula. ⑤ Solve the conditional maximum value of the experiential formula (multivariate function) and get the best formulation, which is called WUR-201. ⑥ Measure the scale inhibition rate of WUR-201.

Table 3 gives the computational and verified values, and the test results are in concordance with the results of calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computational Value</th>
<th>BaSO(_4)</th>
<th>SrSO(_4)</th>
<th>CaSO(_4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.5</td>
<td>64.20</td>
<td>77.63</td>
<td>98.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 8.5</td>
<td>77.63</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verified Value</td>
<td>67.16</td>
<td>80.78</td>
<td>95.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Comparison Between WUR-201 and The Foreign Inhibitor

The contrast test is conducted for WUR-201 and a foreign sulfate scale inhibitor (called Foreign 1#). The results are as follows:

(1) Scale Inhibition Performance

Fig. 1 shows the changing curves of scale inhibition rates of WUR-201 and Foreign 1# for BaSO\(_4\), SrSO\(_4\) and CaSO\(_4\) at different dosages and pH of 8.5.

According to Fig. 1, the scale inhibition rates of WUR-201 for BaSO\(_4\), SrSO\(_4\) and CaSO\(_4\) exceedForeign 1#. For example, at the dosage of 12mg/L, η Ba, η Sr and η Ca of WUR-201 are respectively 15.8%, 29.7% and 8% higher than Foreign 1#.

(2) The Influence of pH

Fig. 2 shows the influence of pH on WUR-201 and Foreign 1# at the dosage of 9mg/L. Overall
their inhibition ability increases as pH increases, and one possible reason is that under the condition of higher pH the ionization of the inhibitors increases, so the molecule can be more free, its adsorption capacity becomes more significant and it will have more efficiency.

What’s more, Fig. 2 remains us to raise the dosage appropriately when the RO system is under the acidification operation. And we can estimate the dosage of WUR-201 or Foreign 1# should be raised about 60% under the acidification operation according to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

(3) The Influence of Fe³⁺

Fe³⁺ is the common ion in natural water and ferric salt is also the common flocculant. Although ρ(Fe³⁺) can be reduced to less than 0.1mg/L after the RO pretreatment unit, the concentration of Fe³⁺ will possibly increase when the coagulation facility or the groundwater aeration device isn’t operating properly. Therefore it is necessary to examine inhibitor’s resistance to Fe³⁺.

Fig. 3 gives the influence of Fe³⁺ on WUR-201 and Foreign 1# at the dosage of 9mg/L and pH of 8.5. The results are as follows: ① For BaSO₄ scale, the resistance to Fe³⁺ of WUR-201 is much better than Foreign 1#. ② For SrSO₄ sale, the influence of Fe³⁺ on WUR-201 is also smaller than Foreign 1#. ③ For CaSO₄ scale, Foreign 1# is more likely to be influenced by Fe³⁺. When increasing ρ(Fe³⁺) from 0.2mg/L to 0.5mg/L, η Ca of Foreign 1# decreases 26.9% while η Ca of WUR-201 decreases only 2.8%. In a word, the resistance to Fe³⁺ of WUR-201 is better than Foreign 1#, especially for BaSO₄ or CaSO₄ scale.

(4) The Influence of Al³⁺

Al³⁺ is mainly from the residue of aluminum salt coagulant. Fig. 4 shows the influence of Al³⁺ on WUR-201 and Foreign 1# at the dosage of 9mg/L and pH of 8.5. Similar to the influence of Fe³⁺, the negative effects of Al³⁺ are also obvious, especially for BaSO₄ scale inhibition. For example, when increasing ρ(Fe³⁺) from 0mg/L to 0.25mg/L, η Ba of WUR-201 decreases from 77.97% to 46.61%, while η Ba of Foreign 1# decreases from 65.91% to 32.39%. In addition, the influence of Al³⁺ on WUR-201 is smaller than Foreign 1#.

(5) The Influence of Temperature

For RO system without heating, the inflow temperature varies greatly between winter and summer. Fig. 5 shows the influence of temperature on WUR-201 and Foreign 1# at the dosage of 9mg/L and pH of 8.5. It shows that the scale inhibition rates by and large decline in straight line as the temperature increases. It is because that when temperature goes up sulfate scale forming tendency will be promoted. The BaSO₄ scale is more sensitive to temperature than SrSO₄ and CaSO₄.

(6) Scale Inhibition Performance for Calcium Carbonate

Carbonate is the most common scaling substance in all natural water and water with sulfate scale forming tendency must also have the possibility of carbonate scale. When RO system is under the acid addition operation, the problem of carbonate scale can be solved basically. However, because of the fluctuation of the acid content and inflow discharge, sometimes supersaturation phenomenon of carbonate will appear even after adding acid. Therefore, it is better that the sulfate scale inhibitor has also inhibition for carbonate scale.

Fig. 6 shows how the scale inhibition performance of WUR-201 and Foreign 1# for CaCO₃ changes with pH. When adding acid, both of them have strong inhibition for CaCO₃, but without the addition of acid the inhibition rate of WUR-201 falls significantly while Foreign 1# almost loses the inhibition for CaCO₃.
**Micro-morphology**

Fig. 7 shows the influence of WUR-201 and Foreign 1# on the morphology of BaSO₄ crystal. The crystal becomes smoother under the action of WUR-201, which benefits the carry-over effect of RO concentrate on the scale.
Results

[1]. The scale inhibition performance of WUR-201 can reach or even exceed Foreign 1#, and the proper dosage has something to do with the sulfate crystal types. For example, in order to prevent SrSO₄ scale the dosage should not be less than 6mg/L (Fig. 1).

[2]. The inhibition performance of WUR-201 increases as pH increases, so when RO system is under the acidification operation the dosage should be increased appropriately (Fig. 2).

[3]. Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺ have negative effects on WUR-201, leading to WUR-201 poisoning, so ρ(Fe³⁺) and ρ(Al³⁺) should not be more than 0.1mg/L in the RO inflow (Fig. 3 and 4).

[4]. The scale inhibition rate of WUR-201 declines as the temperature increases, but the declining rate is not large (Fig. 5).

[5]. When pH is under 8.0, WUR-201 has also good scale inhibition for CaCO₃.