

Screening Method Research on Main Water Polluters of Liao River in Fushun

YongYong Wang^{1,a}, Xiang He¹, Runlei Cai¹, Fan Wang¹

¹School of Municipal and Environmental Engineering, Shenyang Jianzhu University,
Shenyang, 110168, China

^aemail: syjzhexiang@163.com

Keywords: water polluters; equal standard pollution load; analytic hierarchy process; controlling total quantity

Abstract: The water polluters of Liao river basin in Fushun is elected preliminarily basing on the basin of the key water polluters of Fushun city, combining with the principle of polluters screening and the computing method of equal standard pollution load, optimizing and assessing the indexes which involves the discharge of waste water, the discharge destination of waste water, the pollution discharge of COD and the pollution discharge of NH₃-N etc. Then the primary results are further elected with the method of hierarchy analysis basing on the standard layer indexes which involves the result of equal standard pollution load, the discharge destination of waste water, the industry category, the discharge method of waste water and the level of pollution source management. Finally, the rankings are compared and the final selection list is confirmed. This method provides technical support of the control of both the concentration and total quantity of the water pollution source, and the implementation of monitoring of total pollutant quantity.

Introduction

The Liao River basin (Liao River water system) is one of the major 7 basins in China. The Liao River is total 1,390km long[1]. The Liao River basin section in Liaoning province mainly covers the aggregated industrial parks and intensive downtown with mature economic development. After several decades of development, it concentrates the core industries such as petrol chemical industry, metallurgic industry and equipment manufacturing industry, and it currently is the critical industry raw material producing area and the equipment manufacturing base in domestic[2]. The offshore pollution problem in Fushun is very severe and the functional zones can hardly meet the standard requirements[3].

In light of the main problems in monitoring and management of water environment in Liao River basin and also the scientific and technological demands of “The 12-fifth Plan”, and according to the natural conditions and water quality & geologic features of Liao River basin, establish the technical method system of monitoring system for total quantity of water pollutants which comply with the features[4]. Since the screening of pollution resources is the basis of this technical system, the reasonable screening is helpful to enhance the representativeness of complete system data. However, most of the traditional screening methods adopts the equal standard pollution load method [5]. The shortage of this method is that it only considers the effect of pollution discharge amount on the pollution, but neglect the effect of industry category and economic conditions. So this article, based on the traditional screening method, adopts the AHP widely used for pollutant evaluation to re-order the screening results so as to reject the pollution sources with less comprehensive effect and then obtain the final screening results [6]. This method can make up the shortage of single consideration factor with the traditional method so as to enable more representativeness of screening results and more adequate expression of pollutant features.

Pollution sources screening principle

According to national regulations, the monitored object for total amount is mainly the major pollution sources in the basin, including the major industry pollution sources, large scale livestock

and poultry farms or aquiculture areas and the urban sewage treatment plants. The basic screening principles are as follows Wen Huang[7] said.

The preparation of above 5 principles refers to Statics Method for Total Amount Reduction of Major Pollutants in “12-fifth Plan” [8]. In addition, on the basis of national regulated principles, the Liaoning province also issued relevant documents. The Monitoring Program of Pollution Sources in Liaoning Province in 2012 regulates: “Except the nationally key monitored waste water pollution sources and the pollution sources directly discharged to the sea, the enterprise with daily waste water discharged of more than 100 tons or chemical oxygen demand of more than 10kg, the chemical enterprise directly discharging into the river ”and also the enterprise which may impose safety hazard on local environment by the discharged toxic and hazardous substances are included in the monitoring range.

Pollution sources screening method

According to the basic principle for screening of above monitored objects, in combination with the factors such as environment management demand, total amount distribution program, water environment function areas difference and actual monitoring capability, fulfill the local adjustment and conduct the multi-mode screening for pollution sources of Liao River basin in Fushun; Take the union set for the screening results.

Pollution source primary screening method

Primarily select the comprehensive pollution sources screening principal and equal standard pollution load calculation method, and take the union set for all principles; it can fulfill the primary screening. The primary screening consists of four steps:

The first step screening: according to principle (1), screen all pollution sources in Liao River basin in Fushun with the equal standard pollution load methods; and obtain the major pollution sources list with large equal standard pollution loads;

Equal standard pollution load (pollutant) = pollutant discharge amount (in t/a) / environment quality standard limit value (in mg/L) (water).

The calculation formula is as follow:

Carry out the equal standard pollution load analysis for the pollution discharge enterprises in Liao River basin; the determination of discharge limit (Coi, evaluation criteria of pollutant) refers to Environment Quality Standards for Surface Water (GB3838-2002).

Step 2: according to the major monitoring principles in Liaoning province and national principle (3), screen the direct discharge waste water enterprise in residual pollution sources and then obtain total 113 direct discharge pollution sources;

Step 3: According to principle (2), screen the discharge waste water amount for residual pollution sources after the screening in previous two steps, and then obtain 97 pollution sources with the “daily waste water discharge amount of no less than 100 tons”.

Step 4: According to the chemical oxygen demand (COD) discharge principle in Fushun, screen the residual pollution sources from previous screening steps with COD method, and then obtain 59 pollution sources with the “daily COD discharge amount of no less than 10kg”.

Complete above 4 screening steps and conclude the 4 lists screened out, i.e.: taking the union set for the pollution sources screened out with equal standard pollution load principle and the pollution sources in direct charge sources with “daily waste water discharge amount of no less than 100 tons” & “daily COD discharge amount of no less than 10kg”. The sorted list is the primary list of pollution sources which shall be monitored in emphasis in the target basin, totaling 32 sources.

Pollution sources precise screening process

The precise screening process adopts AHP. The AHP ranks the 32 pollution sources in the primary screening list and conducts matrix calculation with YAAHP software.

1.Establishment of AHP model

This study considers the integral pollution impact of pollution sources on the basin as object layer A, the equal standard pollution load B1, industry category B2, pollution discharge path B3, pollution source level B4 and the discharge mode B5 as the rule layer B, and the pollution sources

as program layer C.

2. Construct the judgment matrix

The AHP structure chart can clearly show out the affiliation relationship between elements of all layers; it can construct the two-by-two judgment matrix at different layers according to the rule layer of previous class. If the two-by-two judgment matrix is taken as $(C_{ij})_{n \times n}$, then $C_{ij} > 0$, $C_{ij} = 1/C_{ji}$, $C_{ii} = 1$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) (n : number of element). Since the elements governed by object layer A and rule layer B is fixed relative to the complete decision system, the weight relative to the rule will also keep constant.

The two-by-two comparison and judgment matrixes for decision layer to rule layer B-5 are separately as (B1-C), (B2-C), (B3-C), (B4-C) and (B5-C); the matrix is indicated as:

$$YC = (C_{ij})_{n \times n}$$

Construction method of $(C_{ij})_{n \times n}$: assign the index value of certain item of the i th industry pollution source as x_i , then:

(1) If all X_i are larger than 0, assign $C_{ij} = x_i/x_j$;

(2) If any X_i is less or equal to 0, assign $C_{ij} = \max(x_i, 0) - \max(x_j, 0)$

Compare each index in pollution source (C1-n) with rule layer (B1-5) in pairs and construct 5 $n \times n$ order judgment matrixes.

3. Determination of valuation rules

From the run program of AHP, we need establish corresponding index system based on the evaluated object features and natures, and then determine the multi-layered evaluation structure model according to the index system. Establish the waste water pollution sources evaluation index system with above steps:

(1) Expert consultation method

Select different classes of environment protection professional technical and management personnel; make use two methods of symposium and expert consultation to get awareness of their understanding for the comprehensive evaluation of pollution sources and understand their awareness for the influencing factors on the waste water pollution sources pollution degree in detail, and then conduct statistics analysis for the consulted results.

(2) Entropy weight method

During actual operation, the entropy weight method can base on the variation degree of each index and make use of the information entropy to calculate the entropy of this index, and then correct the weight of each index with entropy weight so as to figure out the relatively objective indicator weight:

① Determine the final weigh of each index from the significance of comprehensive index and the information amount provided by the index.

② Based on the 32 items to be evaluated and 5 evaluation indexes from primary screening, from the original data matrix, in which R_{ij} is the evaluation value of the i th item under the j th index.

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \dots & r_{1n} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & \dots & r_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ r_{m1} & r_{m2} & r_{m3} & r_{m4} \end{pmatrix}_{m \times n}$$

③ The valuation process of weight of each index value is as follow:

(1) Calculate the weight of index value of the i th item under the j th index p_{ij} :

$$p_{ij} = r_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^m r_{ij} \quad (1)$$

(2) Calculate the entropy of the j th index e_j :

$$e_j = -k \sum_{i=1}^m p_{ij} \cdot \ln p_{ij} \quad (2)$$

$$k = 1/\ln m \quad (3)$$

(3) Calculate the entropy of the jth index w_j :

$$w_j = (1 - e_j) / \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - e_j) \quad (4)$$

(4) Determine the index comprehensive weight β_j :

The evaluator can determine the weights for the importance of index according to own purposes and requirements. Assumed the index significances separately as $j=1, 2, \dots, n$, and combined the entropy weight of indexes, figure out the comprehensive weight of index J:

$$\beta_j = \frac{\alpha_j w_j}{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i w_i} \quad (5)$$

④With above steps, conduct the significance assignment for 32 items and 5 indexes to be evaluated in Liao River in Fushun. According to the formula in step 3, the final weight values of 5 indexes are calculated.

According to the valuing rule obtained with entropy weight method, set up the judgment matrix of rule layer B for target layer A as follows:

By calculation: the maximum feature root $\lambda_{\max}=4$ and the corresponding feature vector $WB-A=(0.400, 0.267, 0.133, 0.133, 0.200)$; use the same method and format two-by-two judgment matrixes of C-B1, C-B2, C-B3, C-B4 and C-B5. The calculated maximum feature roots of above matrixes are separately as $\lambda_{\max1}=4.3$, $\lambda_{\max2}=4.5588$, $\lambda_{\max3}=4.3$, $\lambda_{\max4}=4.3$ and $\lambda_{\max5}=4.63991$. Determine the feature vector corresponding to the maximum feature value of above matrix and substitute in the formula to obtain the weight vector W of target layer. The results are shown in the table 1.

Table 1 Relative weights of sources

NO	weight	NO	weight	NO	weight	NO	weight
1	0.0485	10	0.0356	19	0.0274	28	0.0246
2	0.0485	11	0.0335	20	0.0274	29	0.0246
3	0.0466	12	0.0311	21	0.0274	30	0.0245
4	0.04	13	0.0311	22	0.0274	31	0.0245
5	0.0356	14	0.0291	23	0.0274	32	0.0246
6	0.0356	15	0.0291	24	0.0274		
7	0.0356	16	0.0289	25	0.0246		
8	0.0356	17	0.0289	26	0.0246		
9	0.0356	18	0.0274	27	0.0246		

(4) Consistency test of matrix consistency:

Define and judge the consistency proportion of matrix

$$CR=CI/RI \quad (6)$$

$$CI=(K_{\max}-n)/(n-1) \quad (7)$$

CI – Consistency index of two judgment matrixes;

Where: K_{\max} : Maximum feature root; n: order of judgment matrix; RI: average and random consistency index degree. In case of $CR=CI/RI<0.1$, it can be judged that the consistency of judgment matrix can be accepted; otherwise the index degree of judgment matrix shall be properly corrected and adjusted. The combination ordering is by layers from top to bottom and the results shall be subject to general consistency check. In case of $C.R(K)<0.1$, it can be considered all judgments of above K layer have complete and satisfactory consistency. Carry out consistency check for A-B matrix, if $CI=(K_{\max}-n)/(n-1)=(5-5)/(5-4)=0$, $CR=0$. At this point, the ordering of pollution sources completes.

Test results

Rank the relative weights of all pollution sources in descending order. By calculation, the sum of relative weights of first 19 pollution sources in ranking is more than 65% of total value. The pollution sources in the demonstrative zones shall be monitored as major sources; take the results as

the precise screening results. At this point, the pollution sources screening works complete and the list is shown in the table 2.

Table 2 List of target sources

NO	Reporting company name	weight	NO	Reporting company name	weight
1	Acrylic chemical plant of Fushun Petrochemical	0.0485	11	Fushun Ruihua textile co. , ltd	0.0311
2	Fushun Petrochemical No. 2 Company	0.0485	12	Ethylene Chemical Plant Fushun Petrochemica	0.0311
3	Fushun Petrochemical No. 3 Company	0.0466	13	Fushun Tianping waxwork co. , ltd	0.0291
4	Fushun Petrochemical No. 1 Company	0.04	14	Fushun Special Steel co., LTD	0.0291
5	Surface Coal Mine in Fushun	0.0356	15	Thermal power plant of Fushun mining group co., LTD.	0.0289
6	Fushun Hanwang Direct Reduced Iron Limited	0.0356	16	Fushun Xindi Coal co., LTD	0.0289
7	Fushun Petrochemical Thermal Power Plant	0.0356	17	Ruzhou Biotechnology Coal co., LTD	0.0289
8	Fushun Aluminium Limited	0.0356	18	Fushun New Iron co., LTD	0.0274
9	Fushun Hongtoushan Mining Corporation	0.0356	19	Xinbin Manchu Autonomous County Shangjiahe Mining co., LTD	0.0274
10	Liaoning Oriental power generation co., LTD	0.0335			

Conclusion

(1) In combination of the equal standard pollution method of traditional pollution sources with AHP, conduct primary and precise screening for the water pollution sources in Liao river in Fushun, and effectively avoid the situation in which the screening results only consider the pollution discharge amount, but neglect other factors.

(2) Through the coupled application of equal standard pollution load method and the AHP, it determines 19 layout monitoring enterprise for the first batch in whole Liao river in Fushun, and also provides strong technical support for the concentration control, total amount control and also the pollutant total amount monitoring.

Acknowledgement

In this paper, the research was sponsored by the China Enviromental Protection Foundation of "123 Project"(Project No. CEPF2012-123-2-3) and Main Pollutant Emission Control and Management System Construction of Liao River Basin(Project No. 2012ZX07505-002-002).

References

- [1] Zhao Lulu.Assessment of Aquatic Ecosystem Function of Liao River Basin and Identification of the Major Factors[D].Liaoning University,2011.
- [2] Zhang Mingyu,Li Kai.Building and Practice of Urban Agglomeraiton in Central Liaoning under Regional Innovation Perspective[J].Liaoning Urban and Rural Environmental Science & Technology,2007,7(3):143-146.
- [3] Li Rui.Integration and Optimization of Evaluation Method for Treatment Technology of Typical Papermaking Wastewater at Liao River Basin[D].Northeaster University,2010
- [4] General Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China.Ci-rcular of the State

Council Issued on the Plan of Energy-saving and Emission-reduction[Z].2007-5-23.

[5] Y.Panagopoulos,C.Makropoulos,M.Mimikou. Decision support for diffuse pollution management[J].Environmental Modelling & Software.2012,11(30):57-70.

[6] Zhang Lixin.Application of Analytic Hierarchy Process (ahp) in the River Monitoring Section Optimization[J].Liaoning Urban and Rural Environment Science&Teachnology,2007,5(1):45-47.

[7] Puyang Ri Water Environment Impact Assessment of Pujiang County, Zhejiang Province Polluters Based on Standard Pollution Load Method[J].Water Science and Technology,2014,5(8):74-75.

[8] General Office of the State Council of the People's Republic of China. General office of the state council on forwarding of environmental protection "twelfth five-year" main pollutant total emission reduction assessment measures.2013-1-5.