Prototype of a Decision Table Generation Tool from the Formal Specification
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Abstract

This research has implemented a prototype of a decision table generation tool from the specification (the formal specification) described in a formal specification language. This paper uses the formal specification description language VDM++ which is the lightweight formal methods VDM (Vienna Development Method) to write the formal specification. We applied some general specifications to the prototype, in order to evaluate its usefulness. As a result, the prototype has improved the efficiency in test design with formal methods.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the software quality cannot be maintained with the conventional software development methods because software system becomes large scale and high performance. At the same time, effect of defects in the system becomes one of the major social problems with the economy and life.

Hence, the software quality becomes more important. A demand for reliability and safety of the system is growing.

In general, many defects are embedded in the upstream process of the software development. As one reason of the above, each step in the software development process moves to the next step with specifications included ambiguous description. Therefore, specifications should be written strictly. As a means for writing specifications strictly, formal methods are proposed. The formal methods are means for using strict specifications in each step in the software development process. They express the system with a specification description language based on mathematical logic. Using the formal methods can remove defects or ambiguity of the specifications. They attract attention as a means to improve software quality.

By the way, as one of the test techniques, the decision table is proposed in the testing process of the software cycle. The decision table uses a matrix divided the logical relationships in specifications into items of conditions and actions. However, it takes much time and effort to design the decision table. It is needed to extract test items and understand contents written on specifications. It is no exception even if you write strict specifications with formal methods.

This research has implemented a prototype of a decision table generation tool from the specification (the formal specification) described in a formal specification language, in order to improve efficiency of the test design with formal methods. This prototype generates a decision table from the formal specifications, and displays it. This paper uses the formal specification description language VDM++ which is the lightweight
Table 1. Extraction rules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern of condition extraction</th>
<th>Pattern of action extraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>if &quot;condition&quot; then</td>
<td>then &quot;action&quot; elseif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elseif &quot;condition&quot; then</td>
<td>else &quot;action&quot; if</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elseif &quot;action&quot; elseif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elseelse &quot;action&quot; if</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>elseif &quot;action&quot; if</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>else else &quot;action&quot; EOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cases &quot;condition&quot; =&gt;</td>
<td>=&gt; &quot;action&quot; cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>others &quot;action&quot; EOF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pre "condition" EOF  
post "condition" EOF  

EOF (End Of File)

Then, DT-Generator extracts conditions and actions from an internal expression data for analysis. After, DT-Generator stores conditions and actions in an array of String type. Table 1 shows extraction rules of conditions and actions. DT-Generator makes CA-Table, when DT-Generator extracts conditions and actions. Fig. 2 shows an example of CA-Table. CA-Table is a table which is correspondence of conditions and actions. CA-Table is three columns of condition index, token, and action index. DT-Generator generates truth-values based on this CA-Table.

We show truth-values generating process as follows.

(i) Make an array to store truth-values
(ii) Select the first column of this array
(iii) Select a row of CA-Table
(iv) Compare a token of the selected row of CA-Table
   (a) If this token matches “if”, “elseif”, or “cases”
      (I) Store “Y” into the condition index row of this column, then store “N” from the next column to the last column
      (II) Store “X” into the action index row of this column
   (b) If this token matches “else”, or “others”
      (I) Store “N” into the condition index row of this column, then store “-” from the next column to the last column
      (II) Store “X” into the action index row of this column
(v) If there is a row that we have not yet selected, we select the next column of this array and return to third step. Otherwise truth-values is filled

Finally, DT-Generator generates a decision table from conditions, actions, and truth-values.
3. Overview of the Prototype and Application Example

Fig. 3 shows overview of the prototype. The prototype consists of three displays: D-Tree, FS-Screen, and DT-Panel. FS-Screen displays a VDM++ specification inputted by a user. DT-Panel consists of three tabs: P-Tab, A-Tab, and Q-Tab. P-Tab displays a decision table of preconditions. A-Tab displays a decision table of a module. Q-Tab displays a decision table of post conditions. D-Tree displays a list of the definition names of the VDM++ specification. D-Tree redraws a decision table, when a user selects any the definition names of a VDM++ specification.

We confirm that this prototype works properly by adapting it to an example. Fig. 4 shows an example formal specification. It stores a positive integer X in a binary expression array b. Here, the highest-order digit must become one.

Fig. 5 shows the application example results. These results shows that this prototype extracts conditions and actions from a specification. Also, these results shows that this prototype generates truth-values. Therefore, we have confirmed that this prototype works properly.

4. Discussion

This research has implemented a prototype of a decision table generation tool from the formal specification, in order to improve efficiency of the test design with formal methods. This prototype generates a decision table from the formal specifications, and displays it.

We discuss our prototype in this chapter.

4.1. Evaluation of the usefulness

We confirm the usefulness of this prototype by using the examinees. Specifically, we apply three specifications, which is ways of combination of truth-values of the conditions are different. Then, we measure the time of examinees
Table 2. The measured results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formal Specifications (ways of combination of truth-values of the conditions)</th>
<th>Examinee A (sec)</th>
<th>Examinee B (sec)</th>
<th>Examinee C (sec)</th>
<th>Examinee D (sec)</th>
<th>Examinee E (sec)</th>
<th>Average (sec)</th>
<th>Prototype (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specification A (4)</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification B (16)</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification C (256)</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>1194</td>
<td>1859</td>
<td>1397</td>
<td>1629</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and the prototype, which is required until completion for the decision table, and compares it.

Table 2 shows the measured results. By using the tool, we could automatically generate a decision table which has 256 ways of combination of truth-values of the conditions in about 20 milliseconds.

That is, we have confirmed the usefulness of this prototype.

4.2. Related work

Few researches of test design from the formal specification are reported⁶, and the method is not well established.

Also, CEGTest⁷ is a tool supporting the generation of the decision table. CEGTest automatically generates from a cause effect graph created by a user. However, a user must make a cause effect graph created, manually. Therefore, it takes much time and effort. It is needed to extract test items and understand contents written on the formal specification.

In addition, some test tools that inputs the formal specification are proposed⁸–⁹, but those tools do not support the generation of the decision table from a formal specification such as our prototype. In contrast, our prototype can automatically get a decision table from the formal specification inputted by a user.

5. Conclusions

This research has implemented a prototype of a decision table generation tool from the formal specification, in order to improve efficiency of the test design with formal methods. This prototype generates a decision table from the formal specifications, and displays it.

We have confirmed that our prototype extracts conditions and actions from the formal specification. Also, we confirmed that the prototype generates truth-values.

By using the tool, we could automatically generate a decision table which has 256 ways of combination of truth-values of the conditions in about 20 milliseconds.

Future issues are as follows.

- The usefulness improvement of the prototype
- Application to large-scale system specifications
- Automatic generation of test data
- Expansion to other test design techniques
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