Effect of Thermomechanical Processing on the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Low Carbon Steel
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\textbf{Abstract.} Effect of thermomechanical processing on the mechanical properties of low carbon cold forging steel was investigated for different processing parameters on a laboratory hot rolling mill. Fast cooling is a key factor in thermomechanical processing. The mechanical properties of specimen 2 which was fast cooled from high finish rolling temperature exceed the standard requirement of ML30 Steel. This is attributed to the presence of substantial amounts of pearlite. Finish rolling temperature also affects the mechanical properties of steels. Low temperature rolling results in the ferrite-grain refinement. The mechanical properties of the specimen 4 exceed the standard requirement of ML25 Steel.

\textbf{Introduction}

Cold-heading-quality bars are mainly used for connecting parts such as bolts, nuts, and threads in many industrial areas such as automobiles, machineries, electronics, and constructions [1]. Generally, cold forging materials of high-strength class have been made of medium-carbon steel. However, the high carbon content is to bring about a profound decrease in the cold formability of steels [2, 3]. It is necessary to use low carbon steel in order to obtain high deformability during cold forging processes. On the other hand, The search for lower production costs is the basic reason for implementing economical technologies for products made of constructional steels using methods of thermomechanical treatment [4].

Thermomechanical processing can improve the microstructures and mechanical properties of low carbon steels [5-7]. The control of rolling temperature at the beginning of and during deformation is critical to producing a uniform region of ultrafine equiaxed ferrite [8, 9]. Low carbon steel with controlled rolling and cooling process can offer several performance characteristics which are superior to the conventional thermal treated medium-carbon cold forging steel [7]. It is an ideal process to eliminate the need of thermal treatment for cold forging steel production because employing thermomechanical controlled processing (TMCP) can meet the demands of raw material [10-12].

In this paper, low carbon steel was investigated to better understand of the TMCP. Four finish rolling temperatures of this steel were applied by means of a laboratory hot rolling mill. The microstructures were observed to discuss the mechanism for enhancing the grade of cold forging steel product.

\textbf{Experimental}

An ingot was laboratory melted in a vacuum induction furnace, and it was then cast and forged into eight pieces of 80×80×30 mm thick slabs. The chemical composition and standard requirements (GB6478-86) [13] of cold forging steel is shown in Table 1.

The specimens were heated up to 1150°C and held there for 1h to obtain single austenite microstructure. The specimens were then rolled at a start rolling temperature of 1000°C down to a thickness of 4 mm. Four slabs were rolled at intervals during two passes of deformation in hot rolling to attain various finish rolling temperatures. After finished rolling, four slabs were water cooled at
12.63~37.50°C/s on a runout table to 550°C (Absolute error of final cooling temperature was limited to 20~30°C), and then were air cooled to room temperature. The parameters of thermomechanical processing schedule are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen no</th>
<th>Cooling rates from the finish rolling temp. °C/s</th>
<th>Start rolling temp. /°C</th>
<th>Finish rolling temp. /°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>39.14</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>29.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four specimens of transverse sections from the rolling direction were examined by light optical microscopy (LOM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The microstructure was revealed using 4% Nital. The pearlite fractions were assessed with image analysis software of Leica. Tensile tests were conducted in an INSTRON 4206 machine, and all tests were performed at room temperature.

**Experimental Results**

**Microstructures**

Fig. 1 and 2 show representative microstructures formed as a result of various finish rolling temperatures schedules. The pearlite morphologies and the ferrite grain sizes of the specimens at various TMCP are quite different, despite the microstructures of all specimens after hot rolling consist of ferrite and pearlite. When the finish rolling temperature was 900°C, there are substantial amounts of pearlite at fast cooling rate of 38.28°C/s (Fig. 1(b) and 2(b)). While the same substantial amounts of pearlite at the lowest cooling rate of 29.08°C/s were observed when the finish rolling temperature was 800°C. At the same time, Widmanstätten in specimens 4 was found, despite this widmanstätten morphology is not serious (Fig. 1(d) and 2(d)). The content of pearlite decreased considerably at the cooling rates of 39.14°C/s and 37.66°C/s when the finish rolling temperature were 950°C and 850°C, respectively (Fig. 1(a) and 2(c)).

The refinement of the ferrite grains is remarkable at the fastest cooling rate of 38.28°C/s when the finish rolling temperature was 950°C (Fig. 1(a)). The ferrite grain sizes of specimen 1 and 3 are 36.12µm and 38.47 µm, respectively. And the ferrite grains are coarse, despite it is difficult to measure the ferrite grain sizes of specimens at the finish rolling temperature of 900°C and 800°C for the presence of pearlite and widmanstätten. However, the ferrite grain sizes of specimen 2 and 4 are still 55.54µm and 53.35µm, respectively (Fig. 1 (b)(d)). This is because fast cooling refines the ferrite grain size considerably.

The pearlite fractions and ferrite grain sizes of the specimens at various finish rolling temperatures are shown in Fig.3.

Specimens were observed under SEM in detail. The results are shown in Fig. 4. The pearlite morphology and the ferrite grain size of the specimens are consistent with the optical metallography.
Fig. 4, Interlamellar spacing in pearlite colony of specimen 2 and 4 are almost the same. And it is refined to a certain degree due to relatively fast cooling rate of 39.14°C/s (Fig. 4(a)).

Fig.1 Optical micrographs of specimens
(a) Specimen 1; (b) Specimen 2; (c) Specimen 3; (d) Specimen 4

Fig.2 Optical micrographs of specimens
(a) Specimen 1; (b) Specimen 2; (c) Specimen 3; (d) Specimen 4

Mechanical properties
Fig. 5 shows ultimate tensile strength (UTS), yield strength (YS) and total elongation (TEL) of the specimens as above at various TMCP. The controlled hot rolling procedure accompanied by the cooling of the specimens by means of an accelerated cooling procedure reached ameliorative properties to cold forging steel grade.

The standard requirements of the cold forging steel are shown in Table 3.
Fig. 3 The pearlite fractions and ferrite grain sizes of the specimens at various finish rolling temperatures

(a) Specimen 1; (b) Specimen 2; (c) Specimen 3; (d) Specimen 4

Fig. 4 SEM micrographs of specimens

Table 3. The standard requirements of the cold forging steel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Type</th>
<th>( R_m/M ) Pa</th>
<th>( R_{el}/M ) Pa</th>
<th>( A_{50}/% )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ML20 Steel</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML25 Steel</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML30 Steel</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The specimen 2 shows the highest UTS (520MPa). This means that the mechanical properties of specimen 2 which were conducted through TMCP are higher than those of the standard requirements of ML30. The specimens 3 and 4 exceed the standard requirement of ML25 Steel due to low temperature (850°C and 820°C) rolling. As noted in Fig. 5, total elongation (TEL) of the specimens 1 and 3 reach the highest value (33% and 34%, respectively), despite the mechanical properties of specimen 1 reaches only the standard requirement of ML20 Steel.
Discussion

TMCP was performed on a runout table for four slabs. Low carbon steels have various microstructures according to the variation of rolling and cooling conditions [14]. Fast cooling after the finished rolling suppresses recovery and recrystallization during relaxation after the deformation, which results in refined the austenite grains. Fast cooling would help to keep more dislocations introduced by deformation, remaining after cooling [9]. As a result, the strength was enhanced due to TMCP.

Fast cooling is a key factor in thermomechanical processing. Fast cooling also results in the ferrite-grain refinement. The finer ferrite grain size (36.12 μm and 38.47 μm) is obtained for specimens 1 and 3 due to fast cooling. Fast cooling could suppress the austenite-to-ferrite transformation during the cooling due to the less time for the diffusional transformation, and finally refine the ferrite grains. However, the contribution of the grain refinement to the mechanical properties of the steel is less than the effect of fast cooling. As was mentioned previously, finish rolling temperature of specimen 2 was 900°C, and its mechanical properties exceed the standard requirement of ML30 Steel. The specimen at high finish rolling temperature exhibits very good mechanical properties due to fast cooling.

The amounts of pearlite had a more pronounced effect on the mechanical behavior than refinement of the microstructure. Fast cooling rates result in the presence of substantial amounts of pearlite for the specimens 2 and 4. This is one important reason for enhancing the grade of cold forging steel product. In general, widmanstätten morphology results in poor mechanical properties of the specimen 4. Relative a small quantity of pearlite, it results in the mechanical properties of specimen 4 exceeds only the standard requirements of ML25 Steel.

Finish rolling temperature affects the mechanical properties of steels. Deformation bands formed during the simulated intercritical rolling divided the austenite grains into several parts. The deformation bends produced by large reduction in non-recrystallization temperature region of austenite acted as nucleation sites for ferrite formation and finally refine the ferrite grains. Specimen 4 was water cooled after low temperature rolling (800°C), it resulted in a refined of the ferrite grain size. Despite the specimen 4 was at fast cooled at a relatively low rate of 29.08°C/s, the mechanical properties of specimen 4 exceed standard requirements of ML25 Steel despite at water cooling.

Conclusions

(1) TMCP affects the mechanical properties of steels. Low carbon steels have various microstructures according to the variation of thermomechanical processing. The strength was enhanced due to TMCP.
(2) Fast cooling is a key factor in thermomechanical processing. The mechanical properties of specimen 2 which was fast cooled from high finish rolling temperature exceed the standard requirement of ML30 Steel.

(3) Fast cooling rates result in the presence of substantial amounts of pearlite for the specimens 2 and 4. This is one important reason for enhancing the grade of cold forging steel product.

(4) Finish rolling temperature also affects the mechanical properties of steels. Low temperature rolling results in the ferrite-grain refinement. However, the contribution of the grain refinement to the mechanical properties of the steel is less than the effect of fast cooling. The specimen 4 only exceeds the standard requirement of ML25 Steel.
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