Two different methods of cleaning and disinfection of endoscopy study of terminal disinfection
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Abstract. 200 sets of used gastroscopes for gastroscopy were randomly divided into group A and B, 100 sets in each group. Gastroscopes in group A were cleaned and disinfected with the conventional method and those in group B were cleaned and disinfected with a modified cleaning and disinfection method. On the basis of the conventional method, the modified cleaning and disinfection method was supplemented a booster pump and a cleaning spray gun to replace the syringe for the suction-infusion in the conventional method, and the sterilization effects of two methods were studied and compared. The results showed that the gastroscope sterilization qualified rate achieved with the modified cleaning and disinfection method was 98%, that with the conventional cleaning and disinfection method was 78%, and there was a statistically significant difference in the qualified rate between the two groups ($p < 0.05$); the incidence of complete and incomplete air/water pipes of gastroscopes in group B was significantly lower than that in the control group (group A) ($p < 0.05$). The modified method for the cleaning and disinfection of gastroscopes shows a better disinfection effect, lower cost and strong practicability, worthy of clinical popularization.

Introduction

With its development and popularization, endoscopic technology has been used more and more widely as an invasive diagnostic and therapeutic technology in clinic, but the nosocomial infection risk caused by its application has increased [1]. Due to the particularity in the operation of endoscope, such as the exposure to blood, body fluids, mucous membrane and tissues of the body, the delayed cleaning and disinfection, or improper disinfection methods after use, would lead to the residue of organic matter and microorganisms, and even the formation of biofilms, which can result in the failure of endoscope cleaning and disinfection, eventually the occurrence of nosocomial infection, so that how to clean and disinfect the endoscope in the process of its application is very important. Gastroscopy is an important way for the diagnosis and treatment for gastrointestinal diseases, but due to characteristics in the complexity of its internal structure and the particularity of its materials, it is more difficult to clean and sterilize the gastroendoscope after use, and meet the requirements for the full sterilization of it, then leading to an iatrogenic cross-infection [2]. For this reason, a modified cleaning and disinfecting method was used for the sterilization of gastroscope, and a strengthened hanging disinfection method was adopted to maintain the disinfection in our hospital, for ensuring a high-level disinfection of gastroscope and achieving an ideal effect to prevent the cross-infection.

Materials and Methods

Materials. The section headings are in boldface capital and lowercase letters. Second level headings are typed as part of the succeeding paragraph (like the subsection heading of this paragraph).

Methods

\textbf{Conventional disinfection method.} The used gastroscopes were washed manually with flowing water, soaked in a multi-enzyme cleaning agent, rinsed with flowing water, in which a syringe was used
to pump and infuse the water, then soaked in 2% glutaraldehyde solution, and finally rinsed with distilled water.

**Modified disinfection method.**

**Structures of the disinfection device.** The bottom connector of multi-enzyme cleaning tank was installed a closable t-branch pipe (connecting sewer, booster pump and inlet pipe, respectively). The hydraulic pressure was 100-500kPa, the hydraulic discharge was adjusted by the cleaning spray gun, and the rest connectors at the bottom of cleaning tank were all installed closable bipasses.

**Disinfection methods.** (1) Pre-cleaning: the used endoscopes were washed alternatively by tap water and gas through a suction apparatus for 1 min to remove the most of the contaminants on the surface of gastroscopes and within the lumen of them, and dredge the air/water hole of endoscopes. (2) Gastroscope cleaning disinfection by combined manual and electric method: ① the gastroscopes were rinsed with flow water and cleaning gun for 2min; ② the rinsed gastroscopes were soaked in a multi-enzyme agent and rinsed repeatedly in a pressed flushing way for 2min; ③ the gastroscopes were rinsed with flow water and cleaning gun for 2min again to remove the residual detergent; ④ the rinsed gastroscopes were wiped dry with dried gauze, and then placed a disinfection tank containing 2% glutaraldehyde solution for 30min for the immersion disinfection, and simultaneously the glutaraldehyde was injected into the cavity of gastroscopes; ⑤ the gastroscopes were rinsed with flow water and cleaning gun to remove the residual glutaraldehyde; ⑥ the sterilized gastroscopes were placed in a distilled water tank and flushed with distilled water for 2min. (3) Re-disinfection: the gastroscopes were hung and the gastroscopes in the hanging position were disinfected one more time. 10ml of 2% glutaraldehyde solution were injected into the cavity of gastroscopes, and then 100ml distilled water were injected into it; 2% glutaraldehyde solution was used to wipe the surface of gastroscopes 3 times and distilled water was used to clean it 6 times. The gastroscopes were wiped with ethanol 1 times when they were going to be used.

**Monitoring**

**Monitor items.** A cotton swab was used to sample by swabbing the surface surrounding the body of gastroscopes and at a distance of 20~30cm from the lens 12 times [3]; the sampling of the inner cavity of gastroscopes was conducted by rapidly injecting 10ml sterile saline into the cavity through the biopsy channel, then receiving the sterile saline that flowed out with a sterile beaker, and the received sterile saline was immediately send to the laboratory center of our hospital for the test of total and pathogenic bacteria.

**Sampling time.** After they were used for the diagnosis and treatment in patients, the used gastroscopes were grouped, cleaned and disinfected, and the samples were collected just before the reuse of them.

**Monitoring indicators.** It was considered to be qualified in the sterilization that the total bacteria detected were ≤ 20CFU/set and no pathogenic bacterium was detected after the disinfection of gastroscopes [4].

**Statistical Analysis.** All data were statistically analyzed using SPSS17.0 software. The count data were tested with \( \chi^2 \) test and the measurement data were tested with \( t \)-test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered a significant difference in statistics.

**Results**

**Comparison on Disinfection Effects of Gastroscopes between Two Groups.** As shown in Table 1, the sterilization qualified rate of gastroscopes disinfected with the modified disinfection method was significantly higher than that with the conventional disinfection method (\( p<0.05 \)).

**Pipe Blockage Incidence of Gastroscopy Operation.** The complete and incomplete blockage incidence of gastroscope air/water pipes in the modified group was significantly lower than that in the conventional group (\( p<0.05 \)). The results are shown in Table 2.
Table 1 Sterilization qualified rates of gastroscopes in two groups (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Gastroscopes (n)</th>
<th>Qualified number (n)</th>
<th>Qualified rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ x^2 = 3.78 \]
\[ p = 0.006 \]

Table 2 Complete and incomplete blockage incidences of gastroscope air/water pipes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Gastroscopes (n)</th>
<th>Blockage number</th>
<th>Blockage incidence(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ x^2 = 3.75 \]
\[ p = 0.009 \]

Discussion

Endoscopy is an invasive diagnostic and therapeutic technology, and has been widely used in clinic for many years. Endoscopy can lead to infections by three ways, including patients themselves, medical personnel and other patients, which is a potential hazard for nosocomial infections. A foreign scholars' review [5] on infections induced by endoscopy showed that endoscopy caused 281 cases of pathogen infections, and causes of the infections were the lack of appropriate guideline and standards for the cleaning and disinfection of endoscopy, no compliance of the standards of endoscopy operation guide, the application of unqualified disinfectants or incomplete drying of the endoscopes. With the successive issue and the implementation of endoscopic operation guide specification, the iatrogenic infection caused by the endoscopy has significantly decreased [6].

Due to its delicate internal structure, special materials, heat intolerance, slender pipes, and easily adhering to blood, mucus, tissue fluid and excrement, pathogenic microorganisms tend to remain in endoscope and it is difficult to clean and sterilize the microorganisms remained in it after the use of it [7], leading to the difficulty to meet the requirements for the full sterilization. Therefore, it is necessary to fully clean and sterilize the used endoscope.

This study showed that the cleaning and disinfecting qualified rate of used gastroscopes cleaned and sterilized with the modified method was 98.0%, and was significantly better than that with the conventional method (78.0%) \( (p<0.05) \). The conventional manual method for the cleaning of gastroscopes with flowing water is too simple and less efficient, especially, due to the small suction-infusion power of syringe and its poor continuity, the decontamination is often incomplete [8]. In this study, a modified sterilization method was used, in which on the basis of conventional sterilization method, a booster pump and a cleaning spray gun were used to replace the syringe used for the suction-infusion to overcome the disadvantages of the conventional manual cleaning method. Moreover, more attention was paid to the secondary contamination in the hanging state to ensure a high level of comprehensive disinfection of gastroscopes and the safety of the application of gastroscopes in patient.

This study showed that with the modified method, there was only one air/water pipe of gastroscopy was completely or not completely blocked, but 9 air/water pipes were completely or not completely blocked when the conventional method was used, suggesting a statistically significant difference in the incidence of complete or incomplete blocking of pipes between the two groups \( (p<0.05) \). Most problems occurred during the endoscopy used to be the blocking of air/water pipes, blood and
secretions could adhere to the surface and the inner surface of various lumen of used gastroscope to form a protective film, preventing the penetration of disinfectants and resulting in the incomplete disinfection [9]. The application of the modified cleaning and disinfection method can completely clear away the stains within the lumen to improve the disinfection effect, and the method is with a lower cost and better practicability, and worthy of clinical popularization.
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