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I. INTRODUCTION

WiMAX is one of the 4G wireless cellular communication standards, which is designed to operate in evolved universal terrestrial radio access (E-UTRA) frequency bands in the range of 699 MHz to 3.8 GHz [1]. E-UTRA low frequency operating bands 5, 8, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, are considered attractive bands due to their enhanced propagation characteristics. In order to meet the increasing demand for mobile communication services with increasing number of users, the IEEE WiMAX system has proposed a set of promising technologies, e.g., enhanced MIMO and carrier aggregation (CA) that can provide higher spectral efficiency and reliable transmission [2]. CA has been considered as an important technology, where more than one component carriers (CC) are aggregated to support higher data rates. CA of up to five times the standard LTE bandwidth is supported in WiMAX to achieve a maximum downlink (DL) data rate of 1 Gbps and uplink (UL) data rate of 500 Mbps. CA 7–20 denotes inter-band carrier aggregation between EUTRA bands 7 and 20 for UL and DL as planned by the IEEE technical specification group for Release 11 of the LTE standard [1]. The selection of 800 MHz and 2.6 GHz in this study is based on the DL frequency range of bands 20 and 7 respectively. While standardization of CA has progressed a small number of studies for system level performance of various CA scenarios have been made. Some of these studies have claimed that CA provides a powerful means to boost the peak user throughput in WiMAX to meet the IMT-Advanced requirements set by the ITU-R. However, these studies have not considered the interference when evaluating CA performance, while others did not consider accurate modeling of the wireless channels [2]-[5]. The study considers the site specific deterministic channel modeling for accurate analysis of CA performance considering different cell sizes.

This evaluation of WiMAX downlink throughput will also consider interference from adjacent cells. Inter-cell interference (ICI) is inevitable in such systems and will degrade the UE performance especially for users at the cell edge. WiMAX systems are expected to achieve the goal of frequency reuse of one or nearly close to one in practice [6]. Here we study the performance of WiMAX PDSCH for a user moving toward the cell edge considering the interference from all adjacent cells. Column widths, line spacing, and type styles are built-in; examples of the type styles are provided throughout this document and are identified in italic type, within parentheses, following the example. PLEASE DO NOT RE-ADJUST THESE MARGINS. Some components, such as multi-leveled equations, graphics, and tables are not prescribed, although the various table text styles are provided. The formatter will need to create these components, incorporating the applicable criteria that follow.

II. CHANNEL MODELS AND DEPLOYMENT SCENARIOS

The channels used in this paper are generated using a ray tracing tool integrated with measured BS and UE antenna patterns. The ray tracing analysis is based on point-to-point predictions from each BS to every UE location. The propagation channel in the ray tracer is modeled as a set of spatial and temporal multipath components, where the ray model provides information on the amplitude, phase, time delay, angle of arrival (AoA) and angle of departure (AoD) in elevation and azimuth planes. The ray tracing engine identifies all possible ray paths between the BS and UE based on an urban site specific database, given that the database includes terrain, buildings and foliage related information. A validation study of the ray tracer was presented in [9]. The 3D statistics provided by this tracer will result in more accurate modeling as the assumption of 2D propagation may results in inaccurate estimation of system level performance [10].

The system was modeled using measured BS and UE antenna patterns. The radiation patterns of the macro-cell BS antenna and the UE antenna used in this study were measured in an anechoic chamber at the University of Zhengzhou. All patterns are 3D and include full phase and
polarization information. The total power radiation patterns are shown in Fig. 1.

![Macro BS antenna](image1)
![UE handset antenna](image2)

**Figure 1. Total power measured radiation patterns**

### III. ANALYSIS OF THROUGHPUT RESULTS

In this paper, the system level simulation combining ICI modeling with a detailed WiMAX PDSCH simulator is implemented. The simulator is used to evaluate the performance of each supported modulation and coding scheme (MCS). The MCS mode that maximizes the link-level throughput is chosen by the link adaptation algorithm. The results were obtained by considering a UE moving with a certain speed in specific routes.

Let us first compare the performance of the PDSCH in an interference limited with an interference free scenario. Fig. 2 shows the differences between the two scenarios in Zhengzhou at a speed of 17 m/s and the effect interference has on the system throughput, SINR levels, and variance of the estimation error. The comparison has been carried out for two frequency bands (800 MHz and 2.6 GHz). The results show that in the interference free case, the estimated throughput is always high even when the user approaches the cell edge which is due to the high SINR levels experienced by the UE along the route. It is clear from Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d that when ICI is considered, the SINR levels are lower by approximately 40 dB and 30 dB for 800 MHz and 2.6 GHz bands respectively. Such differences in the SINR level lead to lower estimated throughput experienced by UEs. The results show that in the interference free case, the estimated throughput is always high even when the user approaches the cell edge which is due to the high SINR levels experienced by the UE along the route. It is clear from Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d that when ICI is considered, the SINR levels are lower by approximately 40 dB and 30 dB for 800 MHz and 2.6 GHz bands respectively. Such differences in the SINR level lead to lower estimated throughput experienced by UEs when interference is considered. For routes with low SINR levels, the performance of the channel estimator is expected to be worse. Hence the system level analysis of LTE-Advanced PDSCH will lead to overestimation of system performance in terms of physical throughput.
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Let us now compare the performance of WiMAX PDSCH in case of inter and intra band carrier aggregation. Fig. 3 shows the throughput of intra-band CA at 800 MHz, intra-band CA at 2.6 GHz to achieve a contiguous aggregated bandwidth of 20 MHz. The CA is also performed for inter-band CA across the 800 MHz and 2.6 GHz. The ICI is also considered in this analysis and the results clearly show that inter-band CA by using the 800 MHz does not offer higher throughput as expected in literature (due to the good propagation characteristic of 800 MHz band). This is due to the higher interference experienced at 800 MHz. The consideration of interference results in very close SINR levels experienced by UE at the 800 MHz and 2.6 GHz bands as illustrated in Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d. This consequently leads to no additional advantages of inter-band CA (considering 800 MHz) compared to contiguous intra-band CA.
Fig. 3. Throughput in inter and intra band CA with interference

Fig. 4 shows a comparison of the performance between the 800 MHz and 2.6 GHz bands for the bandwidth of 10 MHz in Zhengzhou. The analysis is performed for three different mobility speeds 17 m/s, 66 m/s, and 150 m/s which are applicable to car and train mobility. Fig. 6c and Fig. 6d show the Doppler spread for different speeds for the 800 MHz and 2.6 GHz bands respectively.

The Doppler spread caused by the 800 MHz is lower compared to the 2.6 GHz, where the speed of 150 m/s cause a maximum Doppler spread of around 800 Hz, while in case of 2.6 GHz, the Doppler spread is around 2.5 kHz. The throughput obtained at different speeds is illustrated in Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b. In the case of the 800 MHz band, the three speeds result in close performance since the coherence time is still larger than the OFDM symbol duration of WiMAX system. Therefore the WiMAX system is offering good performance in the range of speeds considered.

In the 2.6 GHz band, it is shown that the increase in speed from 17 m/s to 66 m/s will slightly degrade the performance, however, the throughput at 150 m/s drops from 40 Mbps to 10 Mbps and remains at this rate for the rest of the locations in the route. This is due to the higher Doppler spread in the 2.6 GHz and the higher variance of estimation error at 150 m/s as shown in Fig. 4f compared to Fig. 4e for 800 MHz. Researchers conclude that the WiMAX is capable of handling high speed (up to speed range considered) while maintaining good performance.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented a quantitative analysis of the WiMAX PDSCH in terms of throughput and other performance related parameters such as the estimation error statistics, Doppler spread, and channel related statistics. The analysis compared the performance of the PDSCH in case of interference. The results obtained show that when interference is not considered, the system level analysis of WiMAX PDSCH will lead to overestimation of system performance.

The study has also looked at the potential gain of inter-band CA as compared to intra-band aggregation. Researchers have shown that no additional advantage of inter-band aggregation (considering 800 MHz) is gained in comparison to intra-band aggregation. The analysis also clearly showed that WiMAX is capable of handling high speed (up to range considered) with quite good performance. Finally we have considered the performance differences in case of different cell radiiuses. Researchers observed that the selection of the cell size by mobile operators depends mainly on the propagation characteristic of the environment.
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