Numerical Calculation and Analysis of Magnetic Source Transient Electromagnetic Field in Stratum Medium
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Abstract—The electromagnetic component expressions of transient electromagnetic field in formation media for ground magnetic dipole are derived based on electromagnetic field theory. Fast Hankel transform and the Gaver-Stehfest inverse Laplace transform method is used for forward numerical calculation of transient electromagnetic field in a uniform earth and layered medium. The regularity and characteristics of surface-hole transient electromagnetic method response was analyzed base on forward simulations in different conditions. The result shows that, the surface-hole TEM magnetic induction response sign change occur if borehole located farther offset distance, the status of sign-change would alter in different time channel and different offset distance conditions, the induced electromotive force response can directly reflect the changes of induced eddy current field in formation medium, there is obvious difference in the electromagnetic response strength and attenuation characteristics for different electrical formation media, the difference can be used as the basis of inference layered ground dielectric structure.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Surface-borehole TEM (Surface-borehole transient electromagnetic method) usually adopts the loop source laid on the ground for pulse current to generate the pulse current. After the field source is cut off, the probe in the borehole receives the secondary field generated by formation and target induction. Through research and analysis of features of the secondary field, the information about the target beside the borehole (at the bottom of the borehole) is gained. Since surface-borehole TEM mainly works through analyzing the rule of response characteristics, forward modeling becomes a significant issue. Literature [1] applies circulation method to calculate surface-borehole TEM response and summarizes the interpretation means. Literature [2]-[4] discuss response sign changes of surface-borehole TEM in conductive surrounding rock, effects on observed results and observed result signal reception. Literature [5] completes physical experiment of surface-borehole TEM under typical conducting medium conditions. Literature [6] presents combined application of TEM on the surface and in the borehole. Literature [7]-[8] study combined retrieval method for TEM data on the surface and in the borehole. Chinese researchers discuss interpretation principle of surface-borehole TEM based on equivalent eddy [9]-[10]. Literatures [11]-[12] carry out researches in terms of numerical simulation and practical application and gain certain achievements in mine TEM [13]-[14].

In practical work, formation media (including homogeneous half-space medium, low-resistance covering layer and multi-layered medium) will influence observed results of surface-borehole TEM. The signals received are restrained by multiple factors, and the rule of response characteristics is relatively complex. On the other hand, transient electromagnetic field generated by magnetic dipole source in certain space and late time channel is homogeneous and stable. Characteristic rule of the electromagnetic field is somewhat similar to the loop source. Besides, it is simpler and more vivid in the aspect of discussing TEM forward modeling with non-zero offset distance. This paper applies magnetic dipole source TEM numerical calculation method to carry out 3D forward modeling for the background field (transient field generated by excitation source of ground magnetic dipole in formation medium) and analyzes the rule of response characteristics so as to provide reference for relevant studies.

II. TRANSIENT ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD GENERATED UNDER THE GROUND BY GROUND MAGNETIC DIPOLE SOURCE

A. harmonic fields formed under the ground by ground vertical magnetic dipole source

Assuming horizontally layered and isotropic linear medium, cylindrical coordinate system is set up; displacement current is neglected; vertical magnetic dipole source is located on the ground \((z=h_0)\); the particular solution of vertical vector component \(A_z\) meets basic differential equation:

\[
\nabla^2 A_z - k^2 A_z = 0
\]

In allusion to different layers, the solution is as follows:
According to the continuity of electromagnetic field on each interface, the interface at each layer meets boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{zj} &= A_{z,j+1} \\
\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial A_{z,j+1}}{\partial z}
\end{align*}
\]  
(3)

The coefficients \( C_n \), \( D_n \) \((n=0,1,\cdots, j+1)\) can be solved in combination of Formula (2). Electric component (tangential direction) and magnetic component (radial direction/vertical direction) of layered formation can be solved according to Formula (4). After simplification, the solutions of each field quantity in homogeneous half-space can be gained.

\[
\begin{align*}
E_\phi &= -\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} \\
i\omega \mu_0 H_z &= -\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r}\right)
\end{align*}
\]  
(4)

In accordance with the result of Formula (4), the solutions of each field component can be further obtained. In Formula (1) – (4), magnetic moment \( \mathbf{M}=S\mathbf{n}M_j\mathbf{J}_T \), where \( r \) is offset distance; \( \mu_0 \) is magnetic conductivity; \( \sigma_i \) is the conductivity of the \( j \)th layer; \( k_i^2=-i\omega \mu_0 \sigma_i \) and \( \lambda_i^2=\lambda^2-k_i^2 \).

B. Transient response numerical calculation based on Fast Hankel Transform and G-S Inverse Laplace Transform

Formula (2) is typical Hankel integral. Predecessors did a lot of work on numerical algorithm and calculation precision related to the problem. This paper applies the FHT method. Hankel integral form and disperse convolution form are:

\[
F(r) = \int_0^\infty h(\lambda)J_n(\lambda r) d\lambda 
\]  
(5)

\[
F(r) = \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} H_{n,j} \cdot h[\exp(A_z-x)] \right\} / r
\]  
(6)

In the formula, \( H_{n,j} \) is Hankel Transform filtering weight coefficient. The following can be gained through selecting proper input and output functions and Fourier forward and reverse transformation: \( A_z \) is mobile weight horizontal coordinate. The result of alternating electromagnetic field can be solved according to Formula (6).

With regard to numerical calculation from harmonic fields to transient fields, in view of heavy calculation amount for the whole section, Gaver-Stehfes probability transform algorithm as inverse Laplace transform. In combination of the above formulas, this paper lists inverse Laplace transform formula with the field source as step current, G-S discrete expression and solution expressions of relevant transformation coefficient are as below:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{zj} &= \frac{i\omega \mu_0 M}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(D_ne^{\lambda_j^2} + C_ne^{-\lambda_j^2}\right) J_0(\lambda r) d\lambda \\
A_{z,j+1} &= \frac{i\omega \mu_0 M}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty C_{z,j+1}e^{-\lambda_{j+1}^2} J_0(\lambda r) d\lambda
\end{align*}
\]  
(2)

The above algorithms can be used for 3D forward numerical calculation of magnetic dipole source transient electromagnetic field with layered medium and can also be used for initial numerical simulation calculation of 3D numerical simulation with FDTD method.

III. FORWARD NUMERICAL CALCULATION OF TEM WITH MAGNETIC DIPOLE SOURCE

Based on the previous calculation method, C programming is adopted for forward numerical calculation for transient magnetic field generated by ground magnetic dipole source under ground. This paper sets three types of basic geoelectric models: Fig.1-a: homogeneous earth conductivity 0.01S/m; Fig.1-b: two-layer medium; the first layer is 100m thick, with the conductivity of 1S/m; the conductivity of the second layer is 0.01S/m; Fig.1-c: three-layer earth medium; the first layer is 170m thick, with conductivity of 0.01S/m; the second layer is 80m thick, with conductivity of 1S/m; the conductivity of third layer is 0.01S/m. In the figures, the medium is the rectangular coordinate system with three axial directions: \( x \) (horizontal crosswise), \( y \) (horizontal lengthways) and \( z \) (vertical depth), where \( r \) is the offset distance. Parameters of surface-borehole TEM transmitter-receiver set: field source \( T_x \) is located in the origin \((x=0, y=0, z=0)\) with the area of 1m², 50 turns and current intensity of 50A; the receiving coil \( R_x \) is placed in vertical boreholes with different offset distance to receive axial component of the borehole responded by TEM. The observing depth is 20-400m and measurement distance is 4m.

A. TEM in homogeneous earth and surface-borehole response characteristics

Fig.2 shows the contour of electric field component \( E \) of homogeneous earth (0.01S/m) TEM (Fig.2-a and b present vertical section contour with “horizontal-x-depth z”; Fig.2-c and d show the contour of horizontal section at the depth). From the figures, diffusion situation of magnetic dipole source TEM in the geoelectric model can be known: TEM generated by inductive eddy of homogeneous earth differentially downward and outward as time delays; field intensity attenuates over time; the transient field is an eddy field including a loop-shaped maximum value (“smoke
response value gradually deepens, which indicates that the maximum value of the induction field gradually moves down over time [12].

B. Response characteristics of TEM in layered earth

Fig.4 shows the response curve of induced electromotive force ($\partial Bz/\partial t$) measured by surface-borehole TEM in layered medium. The response curve in the figure reflects layered structure feature of the geoelectric model: in Fig.4-a, the amplitude at superficial layer of the response curve is large, which shows good electrical conductivity of low-resistance covering layer. On the other hand, since electromagnetic wave propagation speed is influenced, the maximum value of inductive eddy field at this moment is in low-resistance layer (comparing Fig.3). In Fig.4-b, the response amplitude is high, because electrical conductivity of the second segment of formation is good and the maximum value of inductive eddy field at this moment is at the depth. On the curve, it can be obviously recognized that electrical conductivity of the middle layer is obviously higher than the upper and lower layers. It is necessary to explain that response curve feature shown in Fig.4 is the concurrent result of eddy field propagation change distribution in layered medium over time and the formation with good electrical conductivity. In practice, with different time delay, the curve forms are also different.

Fig.5 presents response changes with time at different depth in the three types of geoelectric models. The depth points selected can basically reflect the position of each layer of the three geoelectric models. The vertical coordinate of the curve is the response amplitude. The response experiences normalization treatment of the response intensity at the last moment. The horizontal coordinate is time (about 0.364ms~3.64ms). It can be seen from the figure that response attenuation of different geoelectric structures has significant differences. Overall attenuation amplitude of the response measured in layered medium is small (Fig.5-d and c). The attenuation speed is slower than that in homogenous earth. The differences in propagation velocity and attenuation of electromagnetic wave in the formation with good electrical conductivity and in the formation with high resistance influence response changes and attenuation features of all measuring points on the whole borehole segment (see the response curve at different layers in Fig. 5-b and c).
Surface-borehole TEM response characteristics are complex and have many problems. The author only takes into account of fundamental characteristic rule of the response measured with magnetic source surface-borehole TEM. In practice work, large loop line is adopted as the excitation source. It is necessary to further study 3D forward modeling problem of loop source surface-borehole TEM measurement. Moreover, 3D forward numerical calculation amount is huge. The numerical calculation method in this paper needs to improve and boost the efficiency so as to adapt fast numerical simulation work.
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