Effect of Nitric Oxide on Endothelial Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells

Nan Wang
Tianjin University of Science and Technology
Key Laboratory of Industrial Microbiology
Tianjin, China
Wn929@tust.edu.cn

Na Huang
Tianjin University of Science and Technology
Key Laboratory of Industrial Microbiology
Tianjin, China
541810464@qq.com

Li-Nan Zhang
Tianjin University of Science and Technology
Key Laboratory of Industrial Microbiology
Tianjin, China
345497531@qq.com

Zhi-Xia Guo
Tianjin University of Science and Technology
Key Laboratory of Industrial Microbiology
Tianjin, China
1083719547@qq.com

Rui Zhang
Tianjin University of Science and Technology
Key Laboratory of Industrial Microbiology
Tianjin, China
2456845111@163.com

Tong-Cun Zhang
Tianjin University of Science and Technology
Key Laboratory of Industrial Microbiology
Tianjin, China
tony@tust.edu

Abstract: Nitric oxide (NO), as a signaling molecule in vivo, plays an important regulatory role in a variety of physiological and pathological conditions. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are pluripotent progenitors that can differentiate into a variety of cell types. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) induces MSC differentiation towards endothelial cells (ECs). Although nitric oxide signaling promotes differentiation and maturation of endothelial progenitor cells, its role in endothelial differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) remains controversial. First, cellular proliferation was tested in MSCs treated with/without NO donors S-Nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) or Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) by MTT assay. Next, after MSCs were cultured with different concentrations of NO donors in the presence of VEGF for 7 days, the cellular morphology was observed under phase microscope and the level of endothelial cell markers fms-like tyrosine kinase (Flt-1) was detected by RT-PCR and immunocytochemistry. The results determined that NO donors (1-200 μmol/mL) had no effect on the survival of MSCs. VEGF-treated MSCs exhibited an endothelial-like phenotype, while NO donors-treated cells still have a spindle-shaped phenotype like MSCs. VEGF treatment increased Flt-1 expression, whereas NO donors inhibited VEGF-induced Flt-1 expression. Our Results suggested that NO could inhibit endothelial differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), a population of pluripotent progenitors, can differentiate into osteoblasts, chondrocytes and adipocytes. Because of the multipotent differentiation potential and immunosuppressive properties, MSCs have been widely studied and used for regenerative medicine and tissue engineering [1-3]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) has been shown to stimulate endothelial cells differentiation. Bone marrow-derived MSCs are known to be capable of differentiating into endothelial cells in present of VEGF and 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) [4-5].

Nitric oxide (NO), as a gas signal molecule in the body, plays an important role for cellular function, in a variety of physiological and pathological conditions. NO signaling can promote differentiation and maturation of endothelial progenitor cells. However, its role in the endothelial differentiation of MSCs remains controversial. Therefore, the objective of this research is to investigate the role of NO in endothelial cell differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells [6].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Cell culture

Rat bone marrow-derived MSCs (rBM-MSCs) were obtained from tibias and femurs of the male of Sprague–Dawley (SD) rats (weight 80g) as described previously [2]. rBM-MSCs were isolated by Percoll (1.073 g/mL) density gradient centrifugation. All cells were maintained at 37 °C with 5% CO2 and were cultured basal DMEM-LG medium with 20% (vol/vol) FBS (Atlanta Biologicals), 1% (vol/vol) penicillin and streptomycin. Media were replaced every two days.
B. Cell treatment

Cells were seeded in 24-well by $5 \times 10^4$ cells per well. After cultivated overnight, cells were cultured with basal DMEM-LG medium and 2% FBS for 24h and then incubated with NO donors GSNO (1-200μmol/mL) or SNP (1-200μmol/mL) for another 24h.

C. MTT assay

Cell proliferation was quantified using MTT assay. Cells were collected and seeded in 96-well plates by 5000 cells per well. MTT reagent was added 20μL and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO2 for 4 h. After the incubation, the solution was decanted and added 100μL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to dissolve the purple formazan crystals, and the culture plate was shaken at low speed for 10 min until the crystals dissolved completely. The absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at 570 nm with a microplate reader.

D. Cell differentiation induction

rBM-MSCs were cultured in basal DMEM-LG medium with 50ng/mL VEGF (Peprotech), 2% FBS and 1% (vol/vol) penicillin and streptomycin, and grown under at 37 °C with 5% CO2 for 7 days. Media were changed every two days. These cells were observed under phase microscope. For NO donor treatment, MSCs were cultured with 100μmol/mL SNP (Sigma) in the presence of VEGF for 7 days.

E. Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated from cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), and 2μg of the sample were reverse-transcribed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase. The thermal cycle profile was as follows: denaturation for 30 s at 95 °C, annealing for 45 s at 50–54 °C depending on the primers used, and extension for 30 s at 72 °C. PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide under UV transillumination. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as a housekeeper. The primers are as follows: Flt-1: forward-CGGAGAAATCTGCTCGCTAT, reverse-CTTGGAAAGGACGCAC; GAPDH: forward-ATTCAACGGCACAGTCAAGG-3, reverse-GCAGAAGGGGCAGGAGATGA

F. Immunocytochemistry assay

The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and blocked with normal goat serum for 20 min at room temperature (RT). After incubation with rabbit anti-Flt-1(Abcam) overnight at 4°C, cells were incubated with appropriate secondary antibodies (FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG, Santa Cruz) for 1 h at 37 °C. Then, the samples were washed with PBS and observed under laser scanning confocal microscope (OLYMPUS). DAPI stain (blue) highlights the total nuclei.

G. Statistical analysis

Data were presented as means ± SD. The significant difference was examined using the Student’s t test. The minimal level of significance was $P<0.05$.

III. RESULT

A. The phenotype of Mesenchymal stem cells.

All cells were cultured and observed by inverted phase contrast microscope. Cells were spindle cell-based, showing radial colony arrangement after continuous 3 passages.

B. NO stimulation does not affect the proliferation of MSCs.

In order to study whether NO can affect the proliferation of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells, NO donors GSNO (1-200μmol/mL) or SNP (1-200μmol/mL) were added in rBM-MSCs for 24h. As shown in Fig.2, We found that NO donors unaffected the proliferation of MSCs.

C. NO inhibits mesenchymal stem cell differentiation.

In order to study whether NO can influence the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells, MSCs were treated with 100μmol/mL SNP in the presence of 50ng/mL VEGF for 7 days and were observed by inverted phase contrast microscope. VEGF-treated MSCs exhibited an endothelial-like phenotype, while NO donors-treated cells, together with VEGF, still have a spindle-shaped phenotype like MSCs (Fig.3).
Figure 3. NO inhibited mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. Mesenchymal stem cells were observed inverted phase contrast microscope after treatment with 100 μmol/L NO donors SNP and 50ng/mL VEGF at 2, 4 and 7 d.

D. NO stimulation decreased the expression of Flt-1.

In addition, RT-PCR was performed to detect expression of differentiation marker Flt-1. The mRNA levels of Flt-1 were decreased in a dose-dependent manner (Fig.4A and B).

Figure 4. NO induced the decreased levels of Flt-1. A. The mRNA levels of the differentiation markers Flt-1 in MSCs treated with GSNO (1-100 μmol/mL) or SNP (1-200 μmol/mL) for 24h in the presence/absence of VEGF-induced 7 days.

Next, immunocytochemistry assay was performed. According to the overall fluorescence intensity of specific staining for Flt-1, VEGF-treated differentiated cells have positive staining for Flt-1, while NO-treated cells, together with VEGF, have low Flt-1 expression (Fig.5). These results showed that NO could inhibit endothelial differentiation of MSCs compared with VEGF alone treated.

Figure 5. Immunostaining and confocal microscopy were used to determine expression of Flt-1.

IV. CONCLUSION

VEGF has important effects in the endothelial system during development and endothelial differentiation. MSCs do not express VEGF receptors (Flt-1). Previous studies demonstrated that after VEGF treatment, MSCs from rat bone marrow exhibited Flt-1 positive expression and differentiated into endothelial cells [7,9]. A recent publication from Gomes et al. demonstrate that VEGF-A signaling is a key in MSC-mediated vasculogenesis and NO downregulates VEGF-A signaling to reduce tube formation by MSCs, suggesting an opposite role of NO in vascular formation from MSCs [10]. Our studies also showed that NO had inhibitory influence on MSCs differentiation. NO can selectively modify protein cysteine residues to form S-nitrosocysteine. This post-translational modification, S-nitrosylation, impacts protein function, stability, and location. Therefore, these studies will provide a theoretical basis for exploring the process of VEGF-induced bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells differentiation into endothelial cells.
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