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Abstract. In this paper, we consider a generalized longest common subsequence problem, in which two constraining sequences of length \(s\) and \(t\) must be included as the subsequences of the two main sequences and the length of the result must be maximal. For the two input sequences \(X\) and \(Y\) of lengths \(n\) and \(m\), and the given two constraining sequences of length \(s\) and \(t\), we present an \(O(nmst)\) time dynamic programming algorithm for solving the new generalized longest common subsequence problem. The correctness of the new algorithm is proved.

Introduction

The longest common subsequence (LCS) problem is a well-known measurement for computing the similarity of two strings. It can be widely applied in diverse areas, such as file comparison, pattern matching and computational biology[3, 4, 8, 9].

Given two sequences \(X\) and \(Y\), the longest common subsequence (LCS) problem is to find a subsequence of \(X\) and \(Y\) whose length is the longest among all common subsequences of the two given sequences.

For some biological applications some constraints must be applied to the LCS problem. These kinds of variant of the LCS problem are called the constrained LCS (CLCS) problem. Recently, Chen and Chao[1] proposed the more generalized forms of the CLCS problem, the generalized constrained longest common subsequence (GC-LCS) problem. For the two input sequences \(X\) and \(Y\) of lengths \(n\) and \(m\),respectively, and a constraint string \(P\) of length \(r\), the GC-LCS problem is a set of four problems which are to find the LCS of \(X\) and \(Y\) including/excluding \(P\) as a subsequence/substring, respectively.

In this paper, we consider a more general constrained longest common subsequence problem called SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS, in which two constraining sequences of length \(s\) and \(t\) must be included as the subsequences of the two main sequences and the length of the result must be maximal. We will present the first efficient dynamic programming algorithm for solving this problem.

The organization of the paper is as follows.

In the following 3 sections, we describe our presented dynamic programming algorithm for the SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS problem.

In section 2 the preliminary knowledge for presenting our algorithm for the SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS problem is discussed. In section 3 we give a new dynamic programming solution for the SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS problem with time complexity \(O(nmst)\), where \(n\) and \(m\) are the lengths of the two given input strings, and \(s\) and \(t\) the lengths of the two constraining sequences. Some concluding remarks are in section 4.
Characterization of the Generalized LCS Problem

A sequence is a string of characters over an alphabet \( \sum \). A subsequence of a sequence \( X \) is obtained by deleting zero or more characters from \( X \) (not necessarily contiguous). A substring of a sequence \( X \) is a subsequence of successive characters within \( X \).

For a given sequence \( X = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \) of length \( n \), the \( i \) th character of \( X \) is denoted as \( x_i \in \sum \) for any \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). A substring of \( X \) from position \( i \) to \( j \) can be denoted as \( X[i:j] = x_ix_{i+1} \cdots x_j \). If \( i \neq 1 \) or \( j \neq n \), then the substring \( X[i:j] = x_ix_{i+1} \cdots x_j \) is called a proper substring of \( X \). A substring \( X[i:j] = x_ix_{i+1} \cdots x_j \) is called a prefix or a suffix of \( X \) if \( i = 1 \) or \( j = n \), respectively.

An appearance of sequence \( X = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \) in sequence \( Y = y_1y_2 \cdots y_m \), for any \( X \) and \( Y \), starting at position \( j \) is a sequence of strictly increasing indexes \( i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n \) such that \( i_1 = j \), and \( X = y_{i_1}y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_n} \). A compact appearance of \( X \) in \( Y \) starting at position \( j \) is the appearance of the smallest last index \( i_n \).

For the two input sequences \( X = x_1x_2 \cdots x_n \) and \( Y = y_1y_2 \cdots y_m \), of lengths \( n \) and \( m \), respectively, and two constrained sequences \( P = p_1p_2 \cdots p_s \) and \( Q = q_1q_2 \cdots q_t \) of lengths \( s \) and \( t \), the SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS problem is to find a constrained LCS of \( X \) and \( Y \) including \( P \) and \( Q \) as its subsequences.

**Definition 1** Let \( S(i,j,k,r) \) denote the set of all LCSs of \( X[1:i] \) and \( Y[1:j] \) such that for each \( z \in S(i,j,k,r) \), \( z \) includes \( P[1:k] \) and \( Q[1:r] \) as subsequences, where \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq s \), and \( 0 \leq r \leq t \). The length of an LCS in \( S(i,j,k,r) \) is denoted as \( f(i,j,k,r) \).

The following theorem characterizes the structure of an optimal solution based on optimal solutions to subproblems, for computing the LCSs in \( S(i,j,k,r) \), for any \( 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq s \), and \( 0 \leq r \leq t \).

**Theorem 1** If \( Z[1:l] = z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_l \in S(i,j,k,r) \), then the following conditions hold:
1. If \( k > 0, r > 0, x_i = y_j = p_k = q_r \), then \( z_l = x_i \) and \( Z[1:l-1] \in S(i-1,j-1,k-1,r-1) \).
2. If \( k > 0, x_i = y_j = p_k \) and \( (r = 0 \lor x_i \neq q_r) \), then \( z_l = x_i \) and \( Z[1:l-1] \in S(i-1,j-1,k-1,r) \).
3. If \( r > 0, x_i = y_j = q_r \) and \( (k = 0 \lor x_i \neq p_k) \), then \( z_l = x_i \) and \( Z[1:l-1] \in S(i-1,j-1,k-1,r) \).
4. If \( x_i = y_j \) and \( (k = 0 \lor x_i \neq p_k) \) and \( (r = 0 \lor x_i \neq q_r) \), then \( z_l = x_i \) and \( Z[1:l-1] \in S(i-1,j-1,k-1,r) \).
5. If \( x_i \neq y_j \), then \( z_l = x_i \) implies \( Z[1:l] \in S(i-1,j,k,r) \).
6. If \( x_i \neq y_j \), then \( z_l \neq y_j \) implies \( Z[1:l] \in S(i,j-1,k,r) \).

**Proof.**

1. In this case, we can add \( x_i \) to \( Z[1:l-1] \) such that \( x_i = z_l \), and thus \( Z[1:l-1] \) must be an LCS of \( X[1:i-1] \) and \( Y[1:j-1] \) including \( P[1:k-1] \) and \( Q[1:r-1] \) as subsequences, i.e. \( Z[1:l-1] \in S(i-1,j-1,k-1,r-1) \).

2. In this case we have no constraints on \( Q \), and thus \( x_i \) can be added to \( Z[1:l-1] \) and \( Z[1:l-1] \) must be a common subsequence of \( X[1:i-1] \) and \( Y[1:j-1] \) including \( P[1:k-1] \) as a subsequence and including \( Q[1:r] \) as a subsequence. We can show that \( Z[1:l-1] \) is an LCS of \( X[1:i-1] \) and \( Y[1:j-1] \) including \( P[1:k-1] \) as a subsequence and including \( Q[1:r] \) as a subsequence. Assume by contradiction that there exists a common subsequence \( a \) of \( X[1:i-1] \) and \( Y[1:j-1] \) including \( P[1:k-1] \) as a subsequence and including \( Q[1:r] \) as a subsequence, whose length is greater than \( l-1 \). Then the concatenation of \( a \) and \( x_i \) will result in a common subsequence.
of \(X[1:i]\) and \(Y[1:j]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences, whose length is greater than \(l\). This is a contradiction.

3. In this case we have no constraints on \(P\), and thus \(x_i\) can be added to \(Z[1:l-1]\) and \(Z[1:l-1]\) must be a common subsequence of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) as a subsequence and including \(Q[1:r-1]\) as a subsequence. We can show that \(Z[1:l-1]\) is an LCS of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) as a subsequence and including \(Q[1:r-1]\) as a subsequence. Assume by contradiction that there exists a common subsequence \(a\) of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) as a subsequence and including \(Q[1:r-1]\) as a subsequence, whose length is greater than \(l-1\). Then the concatenation of \(a\) and \(x_i\) will result in a common subsequence of \(X[1:i]\) and \(Y[1:j]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences, whose length is greater than \(l\). This is a contradiction.

4. In this case we have no constraints on \(P\) and \(Q\), and thus \(x_i\) can be added to \(Z[1:l-1]\) and \(Z[1:l-1]\) must be a common subsequence of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences. We can show that \(Z[1:l-1]\) is an LCS of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences. Assume by contradiction that there exists a common subsequence \(a\) of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences, whose length is greater than \(l-1\). Then the concatenation of \(a\) and \(x_i\) will result in a common subsequence of \(X[1:i]\) and \(Y[1:j]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences, whose length is greater than \(l\). This is a contradiction.

5. Since \(x_i \neq y_j\) and \(z_i \neq x_i\), \(Z[1:l]\) must be a common subsequence of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences. It is obvious that \(Z[1:l]\) is also an LCS of \(X[1:i-1]\) and \(Y[1:j]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences.

6. Since \(x_i \neq y_j\) and \(z_i \neq y_j\), \(Z[1:l]\) must be a common subsequence of \(X[1:i]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences. It is obvious that \(Z[1:l]\) is also an LCS of \(X[1:i]\) and \(Y[1:j-1]\) including \(P[1:k]\) and \(Q[1:r]\) as subsequences.

The proof is completed. ■

A Simple Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Let \(f(i,j,k,r)\) denote the length of an LCS in \(S(i,j,k,r)\). By the optimal substructure properties of the SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS problem shown in Theorem 1, we can build the following recursive formula for computing \(f(i,j,k,r)\). For any \(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq s\), and \(0 \leq r \leq t\), the values of \(f(i,j,k,r)\) can be computed by the following recursive formula (1).

\[
f(i,j,k,r) = \begin{cases} 
\max \{f(i-1,j,k,r), f(i,j-1,k,r)\} & \text{if } x_i \neq y_j \\
1 + f(i-1,j-1,k-1,r-1) & \text{if } k > 0, r > 0, x_i = y_j = p_k = q_r \\
1 + f(i-1,j-1,k-1,r) & \text{if } k > 0, x_i = y_j = p_k \land (r = 0 \lor x_i \neq p_k) \\
1 + f(i-1,j-1,k-1,r) & \text{if } r > 0, x_i = y_j = q_r \land (k = 0 \lor y_j \neq q_r) \\
1 + f(i-1,j-1,k,r) & \text{if } x_i = y_j = (k = 0 \lor y_j \neq p_k) \land (r = 0 \lor x_i \neq q_r) 
\end{cases} \tag{1}
\]

The boundary conditions of this recursive formula are \(f(i,0,0,0) = f(0,j,0,0) = 0\) and \(f(i,0,k,r) = f(0,j,k,r) = -\infty\) for any \(0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq s\), and \(0 \leq r \leq t\).

Based on this formula, our algorithm for computing \(f(i,j,k,r)\) is a standard dynamic programming algorithm. By the recursive formula (1), the dynamic programming algorithm for computing \(f(i,j,k,r)\) can be implemented as the following Algorithm 1.
It is obvious that the algorithm requires $O(nmnt)$ time and space. For each value of $f(i,j,k,r)$ computed by algorithm $\text{Suffix}$, the corresponding LCS of $X[1:i]$ and $Y[1:j]$ including $P[1:k]$ and $Q[1:r]$ as subsequences, can be constructed by backtracking through the computation paths from $(i,j,k,r)$ to $(0,0,0,0)$. The following algorithm $\text{back}(i,j,k,r)$ is the backtracking algorithm to obtain the LCS, not only its length. The time complexity of the algorithm $\text{back}(i,j,k,r)$ is obviously $O(n + m)$.

**Algorithm 1** $\text{SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS}$

**Input:** Strings $X = x_1 \ldots x_n$, $Y = y_1 \ldots y_m$ of lengths $n$ and $m$, respectively, and two constrained sequences $P = p_1 p_2 \ldots p_s$ and $Q = q_1 q_2 \ldots q_t$ of lengths $s$ and $t$.

**Output:** $f(i,j,k,r)$, the length of an LCS of $X[1 : i]$ and $Y[1 : j]$ including $P[1 : k]$ and $Q[1 : r]$ as subsequences, for all $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq s$, and $0 \leq r \leq t$.

1: for all $i, j, k, r$, $0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq s$ and $0 \leq r \leq t$ do
2: $f(i,0,k,r),f(0,j,k,r) \leftarrow -\infty, f(i,0,0,0), f(0,j,0,0) \leftarrow 0$ (boundary condition)
3: end for
4: for all $i, j, k, r$, $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq s$ and $0 \leq r \leq t$ do
5: if $x_i \neq y_j$ then
6: $f(i,j,k,r) \leftarrow \max\{f(i-1,j,k,r), f(i,j-1,k,r)\}$
7: else if $k > 0$ and $x_i = p_k$ then
8: if $r > 0$ and $x_i = q_r$ then
9: $f(i,j,k,r) \leftarrow 1 + f(i-1,j-1,k-1,r-1)$
10: else
11: $f(i,j,k,r) \leftarrow 1 + f(i-1,j-1,k-1,r)$
12: end if
13: else if $r > 0$ and $x_i = q_r$ then
14: $f(i,j,k,r) \leftarrow 1 + f(i-1,j-1,k,r-1)$
15: else
16: $f(i,j,k,r) \leftarrow 1 + f(i-1,j-1,k,r)$
17: end if
18: end for

**Algorithm 2** $\text{back}(i,j,k,r)$

**Input:** Integers $i, j, k, r$

**Output:** The LCS of $X[1 : i]$ and $Y[1 : j]$ including $P[1 : k]$ as a subsequence and $Q[1 : r]$ as a suffix.

1: if $i < 1$ or $j < 1$ then
2: return
3: end if
4: if $x_i \neq y_j$ then
5: if $f(i-1,j,k,r) > f(i,j-1,k,r)$ then
6: $\text{back}(i-1,j,k,r)$
7: else
8: $\text{back}(i,j-1,k,r)$
9: end if
10: else if $k > 0$ and $x_i = p_k$ then
11: if $r > 0$ and $x_i = q_r$ then
12: $\text{print } x_i$
13: else
14: $\text{back}(i-1,j-1,k-1,r-1)$
15: $\text{print } x_i$
16: end if
17: else if $r > 0$ and $x_i = q_r$ then
18: $\text{back}(i-1,j-1,k,r-1)$
19: $\text{print } x_i$
20: else
21: $\text{back}(i-1,j-1,k,r)$
22: $\text{print } x_i$
23: end if
Summary

We have suggested a new dynamic programming solution for the new generalized constrained longest common subsequence problem SEQ-IC-SEQ-IC-LCS. The new dynamic programming algorithm requires $O(nmst)$ in the worst case, where $n, m, s, t$ are the lengths of the four input sequences respectively. It is not difficult to show that this problem can also be solved in $O(\min(n, m)st)$ space based on Hirschberg’s Algorithm [5].

Many other generalized constrained longest common subsequence (GC-LCS) problems have similar structures. It is not clear that whether the same technique of this paper can be applied to these problems to achieve efficient algorithms. We will investigate these problems further.
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