Surrogate Assisted Teaching Learning Based Optimisation for Process Design of a Non-circular Drawing Sequence
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Abstract—In this study, surrogate assisted teaching learning based optimisation was conducted for designing a non-circular drawing (NCD) sequence in order to improve the deformation homogeneity of the drawn wire. The objective function was introduced to minimise inhomogeneous distribution of effective strain at the cross-section of the drawn wire by selecting the design variables such as major to minor axes ratio, semi-die angle, and reduction of area. Three surrogate models were used to predict the effective strains at the cross-section of the drawn wire while the teaching learning based optimiser was used to obtain the optimum results. Finite element analysis was employed for simulation of the NCD sequence in order to determine accurate effective strain distribution. The accuracy of all surrogate models was investigated while optimum results were compared with the previous studies available in the literature. The optimum results found in the present study showed better effective strain homogeneity at the cross-section of the drawn wire with the same total reduction of the area available in the literature for the less number of passes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Steel wires are products of a wire drawing (WD) process, which is widely used in various engineering applications. In the steel wire manufacturing, deformation inhomogeneity between the surface and centre regions of the wires is one of the major issues affecting mechanical properties of the drawn wires. Generally, a design parameter of a conventional WD process is limited to only a semi-die angle. Since the area of reduction usually set to be constant while the dies shape is usually circular. This makes the WD have some limitations since increasing the semi-die angle leads to the increase of inhomogeneous deformation of the wire. On the other hand, decreasing the semi-die angle leads to the decreasing of the wire strength [1]. Therefore, developing new wire manufacturing process to improve mechanical properties of the drawn wires by imposing relatively homogeneous deformation on the wire compared to the conventional WD is beneficial.

In this regard, a non-circular drawing (NCD) sequence was proposed by Hwang et al. [2] and applied to low carbon steel up to 10 passes of the NCD sequence by Lee et al. [3]. Then, Baek et al. [4] introduced two processing routes for the NCD sequence and applied up to 12th pass for pearlitic steel wire. All of these work showed better strength and ductility compared to one of the conventional WD by imposing relatively high and homogeneous deformation on the wire during the process.

However, processing parameters of the NCD sequence such as major to minor axes ratio, semi-die angle, and reduction of area used in the previous works were set to be constant. Thus, it might be of interest to find optimum values of such processing parameters for further improvement of the NCD sequence.

In this work, surrogate-assisted teaching learning based optimisation for numerically designing the NCD sequence was conducted. The objective function was assigned to minimise the deformation inhomogeneity of the drawn wire by selecting the design variables such as major to minor axes ratio, semi-die angle, and reduction of area. In order to reduce the computational time for the process optimisation of the NCD sequence, the surrogate models were used to predict effective strain distributions at the cross-section of the drawn wire for calculating the objective function of deformation inhomogeneity. Teaching learning based algorithm [5] was used as an optimiser while three well known surrogate models such as polynomial regression model [6], radial basis function [7] model, and Kriging model [8] were employed for comparison. The most efficient surrogate model for the NCD optimisation problem...
will be obtained and the optimum results will be compared to the best results available in the literature.

II. FORMULATION OF OPTIMISATION DESIGN PROBLEM OF THE NCD SEQUENCE

Optimisation of the NCD sequence was carried out in order to find the optimal processing parameters including the reduction of area and die geometry for each pass. Figure 1 shows a non-circular shaped die used for the NCD sequence and several examples of the possible sequence combinations of the NCD.

The design variables considered were the reduction of area (RA), axes ratio (r), semi-die angle for each pass (θ). The reduction of area and axes ratio can be expressed as:

\[ R_A = \frac{A_{i+1} - A_i}{A_i} = \frac{\pi a_i b_i - \pi a_{i+1} b_{i+1}}{\pi a_i b_i} \quad (1) \]

where \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) are the major and minor axis lengths of die number \( i \). With the given values of the reduction of area and axes ratio, \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) can be computed from Eqs. 1 and 2.

The objective function is to minimize the inhomogeneity of the effective strain distributions at the cross-section of the drawn wire. The total number of passes (npass), total reduction of area (RA_total), and final diameter of the wire were constrained to be 11, 0.93, and 3.41 mm, in that order. Then, the objective function can be expressed as follows:

Algorithm 1: Modification of the axes ratio to avoid the under filling during the numerical simulation of the NCD sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input: design variables (x)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output: repaired design variables (x')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Set ( x' = x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Set ( r = {r_{2npass}, \ldots, r_{2npass-2}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3. For \( i = 1 \) to \( npass \):
|   a. If \( r_{i} > 1 \) and \( r_{i+1} < 1 \)
|      i. If \( r_{i} - r_{i+1} < 0.3 \)
|       a. Take \( r_{i+1} = 1 \) and \( r_{i} = 1 \)
|      b. Else if \( r_{i+1} < 1 \) and \( r_{i} > 1 \)
|         i. If \( r_{i} - r_{i+1} < 0.3 \)
|            a. Take \( r_{i+1} = 1 \) and \( r_{i} = 1 \)
|      end
|   end
| 4. End For
| 5. Set \( x'_{2npass} = \ldots, x'_{2npass-2} = r_{1}, \ldots, r_{npass-1} \)

Min: \[ f(x) = IF = \frac{STD(\varepsilon)}{MEAN(\varepsilon)} \quad (3) \]

Subject to:

\[ 0 < RA_{\text{final}} \leq 0.30 \]
\[ 0.15 \leq RA_i \leq 0.25 \quad i = 1, \ldots, npass-1 \]
\[ |RA_i - RA_{i-1}| \leq 0.2 \quad i = 1, \ldots, npass \]
\[ 0.702 \leq r_i \leq 1.425 \quad i = 1, \ldots, npass-1 \]
\[ 3 \leq \theta_i \leq 10 \text{ degrees} \quad i = 1, \ldots, npass \]

where \( x = [RA_1, \ldots, RA_{\text{pass}-1}, r_1, \ldots, r_{\text{pass}-1}, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{\text{pass}}]^T \) is a vector of design variables and \( \varepsilon \) is the effective strain at the cross-section of the drawn wire. IF is an inhomogeneity factor which can be defined as the standard deviation (STD) divided by the mean value of the effective strains [9] at the cross-section of the drawn wire. The RA_final and \( R_A \) are the reduction of area, major axis and minor axis lengths of the final die, in that order. In addition, the “under filling” phenomenon which might cause irregular surface roundness in the drawn wire after the process was also taken into account in this study. One of such cases occurs when a sudden change of the axes ratio between two successive dies happens, swapping the positions of the major and minor axes. In this case, Algorithm 1 was applied to check and modify axes ratio before performing function evaluation in order to avoid the under filling. However, this algorithm cannot guarantee to avoid the under filling perfectly because of complex factors such as deformation behaviour of the material, die geometry, and processing parameters entwined with the under filling. Therefore, the under filling will be checked again during the optimum process.

In this study, finite element analysis (FEA) for the NCD sequence was carried out using CAMP/form3D, developed by Kim and Im [10]. Three-dimensional FEA model of one quarter of the work piece with increasing density of the mesh system along the drawing direction similar to the previous work [4] was used in this study. Since each simulation for the NCD sequences is time-consuming, a surrogate model was introduced for the optimisation process by approximating the effective strains for twenty-one points, as shown in Fig. 2. Then, the objective function can be calculated from these predicted strain values for the twenty one points based on Eq. 3.

The common process for surrogate assisted optimisation can be performed in such a way that sampling points are initially generated throughout design domain by using design of experiment (DOE) method. Then, the objective function values of all sampling points will be calculated based on the numerical simulation or actual function evaluation. Thereafter, the model for estimating an objective function will be constructed and the optimisation based on the surrogate model will be performed. Finally, the actual objective function of the optimal result obtained will be calculated.
In this study, polynomial regression model (PR), radial basis function model (RBF) and Kriging model (KG) were used as surrogate models while the optimal Latin hyper cube sampling technique proposed in [11] was used to generate sampling points. Sixty two sampling points for 31 total number of design variables were generated and used for constructing the surrogate models. Teaching learning based algorithm was used as an optimiser while optimisation parameters like the population size and the number of generation were set to be 100 and 300, respectively. In addition, the fuzzy penalty function technique was used to handle constraints.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Having performed the optimization of the NCD sequence described in Eq. 3 based on the three surrogate models, the actual values of the effective strains at the reference points (refer to Fig. 2) of the optimal results were calculated by using FEA. For an optimum solution, the total percentage errors for all the reference points at the cross-section of the drawn wire were used to measure the accuracy of the models, and Table 1 shows actual objective function values of the optimum points obtained from the various surrogate models. Figure 3 shows boxplots of the percentage errors obtained from the various surrogate models, and the KG was the most accurate model while the second and the third accurate models were RBF and PR, respectively. From Table 1, the under filling phenomena were checked and the results were also indicated in this table. The feasible solution with lower objective function value was the better optimum design. The optimum results obtained from the RBF was the best. Based on the results in Fig. 3 and Table 1, it should be noted that, although the KG was said to be accurate, it cannot guarantee the optimum solution. This is due to the so-called the blessing and curse of uncertainty, as stated in reference [12].

Table 2 shows the optimal results obtained from the RBF while Fig. 4 shows comparative standard deviation (STD) of effective strains at the cross-section of the drawn wire after the 11th pass for the RBF, the 12th pass for the conventional WD, and the best NCD obtained from the previous work [4]. The results indicate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of passes</th>
<th>Major axis length (mm)</th>
<th>Minor axis length (mm)</th>
<th>Semi-die angle (degree)</th>
<th>Reduction of area per each pass</th>
<th>Total reduction of area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>12.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD [4]</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCD [4]</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD and NCD [4]</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD and NCD [4]</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD and NCD [4]</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD and NCD [4]</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD and NCD [4]</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Optimum results obtained from the three surrogate models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Actual objective function value (IF)</th>
<th>Underfilling detected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>0.0375</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>0.0342</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG</td>
<td>0.0634</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reduction of area, the optimum solutions obtained from RBF in this study give better effective strain homogeneity than the conventional WD and the best NCD obtained from the previous work.
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