A Text Visualization Process for the Recognition of Writing Style
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Abstract—This study aims to compare the structure of medical reports of same type medical examination but composed by different physicians. An analysis and compare method was proposed based on formal concept to produce different concept structure for medical reports. Important concepts of medical reports can be described in a hierarchy structure. The concepts and its relational structures can be observed by different concept lattice produced from different physicians. Synonym terms and writing style variations for different concept lattice will be noted from the variations of different hierarchical level and thus can be used for the improve for better medical report writing.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Medical reports of different examination results and interpretations from clinical physicians are important evidences for the correctness of diagnosis. The medical reporting service and quality comes from these important examination reports. Interventions such as computer-added results and standardized format of reports may facilitate more timely and correctness transfer of pertinent patient information to the physician of patients and more correctly during follow-up care [1].

From a medical perspective, some studies reviewed different situations needed to improve the quality of medical reports. In a retrospective study, their analysis of 752 consecutive medical reports of patients with insulin or non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, standardized methods of documentations are required for many diabetic inpatients [2]. Another empirical evaluation of the completeness of medical records from 607 randomly selected patients, reveal that the history and physical examination usual lack of key medical elements. There are study shows that patients treated with better hospital medical records quality have significantly lower mortality [3]. The relationship between better medical records and better medical care could be better to monitor patients and improve the quality of medical care.

This study proposed that medical reports is the redefining the composition and structure of the medical examination

but composed by different physicians. It strives to identify the variations for the same type of medical examination report composed by different physicians. These variations found by this study will provide valuable suggestions for the improvement of medical report writing quality.

II. WRITING STYLE AS CONCEPT REPRESENTATION

Medical reports are the only means for the communication between medical examinations and referring physicians. It serves as legal document, as well as a permanent part of the patient’s record. The issues for the improvement of the quality of medical report is important for the overall quality of a hospital medical service. In this section, we try to define the good quality of medical report and its structure and lexicon.

A. Medical Report Writing and Defining a Good Report

Despite the importance placed on medical reporting, not much guidelines exist for the proper criteria of good or bad medical reports. The American College of Radiology (ACR) Standard for Communication [5] provides only brief, common sense guidelines for the wording of reports for radiology reports. There is no strict guidance offered to radiologists in the construction of the radiology report begins in medical school training. Minimal formal instruction is provided produced of the lack of consensus on what constitutes a good quality of radiology report [6]. According to studies, the criterions of a good radiology report can be summarized as the Six Cs. [7-9]. Medical reports should be clear, correct, concise, complete, consistent, distinct, and have high confidence level.

The one of most frequent complaint from referring clinic physicians about the understanding of radiology report is poor content and structure. A large analysis of 8,426 reports on chest radiographs found that there are 14 different terms used to describe a single common abnormality, and 23 synonyms for reporting the presence of the same pathology [10].

B. Structure and Lexicon

For more than hundred years, the structures, format, and the content of the medical report have remained unchanged, despite dramatic advances in medical techniques. However, as the progress of computer science, interest is growing in redefining the composition and structure of the medical...
report. With the popular of PACS (Picture Archiving and Communication System), HIS/RIS (Health Information System / Radiology Information System), and the eventual replacement of paper medical records into EMR (Electronic Medical Record), digital tools are now available to redefine the manner and methods in which physicians create medical reports.

In general, any content of formally represented knowledge is based on a conceptualization structure. The objects, concepts and other entities are the elements explicitly or implicitly exist in the knowledge networks that we have [11]. Concept lattice is an explicit specification of a conceptualization of the knowledge networks that we just mentioned [12]. Concept is the basic unit of human thought and also the basic structure of logic. Concepts and its logical structures presented the outside information systems and also the basic structure of logic. Concepts and its logical structures presented the knowledge networks that we just mentioned [12]. Concept is the basic unit of human thought and also the basic structure of logic. Concepts and its logical structures presented the outside information systems that we know. In this study, we adopt concept lattices based on mathematical abstraction of concept systems to present the human information style and the characteristics of text content [13]. A number of studies attempted to build concept lattice for knowledge representation and applications [14, 15].

III. MEDICAL REPORT CONTENT ANALYSIS
A. Setting and Raw Data

Research sample raw data were derived from RIS database (radiology information system), an example of the stemming words were eliminated in term parsing process. Differences between concept lattices can be measured by the relationship degree of two concepts. A concept appear more often in a report the greater the relation degree.

In every writing report, words that best represent the key concepts of the radiology reports have to abstract from its context. This study define two different sets of ‘report set’ and ‘word set’, which a formal context \( C = (R, W, I) \) consists of two sets \( R \) and \( W \) and a relation \( I \) between \( R \) and \( W \). Elements of \( R \) (reports) are objects, and elements of \( W \) (words) are attributes of the ‘formal context’. An object \( r \) is in a relation \( I \) with an attribute \( w \), we can write \( rIw \) or \( (r, w) \in I \) and red it as “the object \( r \) has the attribute \( w \).”

A set \( A \subseteq R \) of objects we can define

\[
A' = \{w \in W \mid rIw \text{ for all } r \in A\} \quad (1)
\]

Correspondingly, for a set \( B \) of attributes we define

\[
B' = \{r \in R \mid rIw \text{ for all } w \in B\} \quad (2)
\]

A formal concept of the context \((R, W, I)\) is a pair \((A, B)\) with \( A \subseteq R, B \subseteq W, A = B \text{ and } B = A \). \( A \) is the extent and \( B \) is the intent of the concept \((A, B)\). \( I(R, W, I) \) denotes the set of all concepts of the context \((R, W, I)\).

If \((A_1, B_1)\) and \((A_2, B_2)\) are concepts of a context, \((A_2, B_2)\) is called a sub-concept of \((A_1, B_1)\), and can denote \((A_1, B_1) \leq (A_2, B_2) \). The relation \( \leq \) is the expression of hierarchical order of the concepts. The set of all concepts of \((R, W, I)\) is defined as \( I(R, W, I) \), which is the concept lattice of the context \((R, W, I)\) [17].

D. Many-valued Contexts

In standard language the word ‘attribute’ is not only used for properties which an object may or may not have. Attributes such as ‘color’, ‘weight’, ‘sex’, and ‘height’ have measurement degree. We call it many-valued attributes, and is the expand and variant of one-valued attributes [17].

A ‘many-valued context’ \((R, W, M, I)\) has sets of \( R, W, \text{ and } M \), and a ternary relation \( I \) between \( G, M, \text{ and } W \) for which it holds that

\[
(r, w, m) \in I \text{ and } (r, w, v) \in I \text{ always imply } m = v \quad (3)
\]

E. Interrelation between concepts

After the construction of the hierarchy between concepts, the next process is the definition of interrelation degree between concepts. A concept appear more often in a report document is definitely more important than singly appearing concept in a single report. We can define \( r(C, C_0) \) as the relationship degree of two concepts.

After the process consisting of the above methods, a matrix table denoting the relation of concepts that appear in medical reports can be completed as the format of formal context, as in Figure 2, in which the conceptual hierarchies generated. Table III is the example of relation degree values between concepts computed from \( r(C, C_0) \). Combine with the results of

Figure 2 and Table III, an concept lattice with relation degree can be produced as shown in Figure 3.
The nodes appear in the upper part (root of the trees) of the concept lattice of Figure 3 are the most common concept in different radiology reports, while the nodes appear in the lower part which are more sensitive and variant in different radiology reports. In the concept lattice produced from this study, the hierarchy structure of concepts represent the different nature of writing style of different radiologist or physicians. The graphical structure of concept lattice produced from medical reports composed by different radiologist or physicians can be a useful visual tools for further writing style or quality comparison analysis. In next section, we will show some analysis examples from different medical reports authors.

IV. WRITING STYLE AND SYNONYMS ANALYSIS

In order to evaluate different writing style and detect synonym for different physicians, this study measures the similarity between two given lattice. Different nodes in the concept lattice graph can be treated as different concepts. In this way, we can measure the similarity between different concept lattice charts by the method of weighted graphic matching.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTR_NAME_A</th>
<th>ATTR_NAME_B</th>
<th>R_VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td>1.0611253737049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>change</td>
<td>0.71137057952477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>enlargement</td>
<td>1.0611253737049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>gross</td>
<td>1.0611253737049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>lateral</td>
<td>0.9962636888888842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>lesions</td>
<td>1.0611253737049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>mild</td>
<td>0.9962636888888842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>note</td>
<td>0.84872957414716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>obstructing</td>
<td>1.0611253737049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>over</td>
<td>0.9962636888888842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>parietal</td>
<td>1.0611253737049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adenoids</td>
<td>posterior</td>
<td>1.0611253737049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This study compares different skull examination concept lattice for different physicians and finds the variations for different concept lattices. Table II is the result of the measure of similarities, value larger than the others represent more different between two concept lattices. It shows the writing style of radiology RX03 is more different with others. Table III shows the concept lattice charts and the analysis of the writing style and also the synonym observed.
TABLE II. SIMILARITIES FOR DIFFERENT RADIOLOGISTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiologist ID</th>
<th>RX01</th>
<th>RX02</th>
<th>RX03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RX01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>1029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RX02</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RX03</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>2710</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III. THE CONCEPT LATTICE CHARTS AND THE ANALYSIS RESULT OF RADIOLOGY REPORT

V. CONCLUSION

This study proposed a process to analyze medical report content for different physicians of same examination item. The important writing variations of medical report for different physicians are elucidated through the observation of concept lattices. In the level of synonym, the different styles of concept lattices indicates an important point of view that the radiologists can improve the report consistency by using consistent words in writing medical report documents. Our findings suggest that writing style and the usage of words should be systematically and consistently re-organize for different composers to improve the well communication between radiologists and clinical physicians.
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