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Abstract—In this paper, different methods were used to track the maximum power point of output power of photovoltaic cells (PV) by MATLAB/Simulink. First of all, the PV and Boost circuit models were built. The output change of voltage and current could be detected when light intensity was changed. Secondly, four circuits of Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) of PV were designed. The four methods are perturbation and observation method, Incremental conductance method, fuzzy control method and neural network method. Then, all the models were built and simulated. According to simulation results, the maximum output power changed with light intensity differently. In the end, a comparative study of the maximum power output waveform of various methods was made. The experimental results show that using neural network method can complete MPPT more quickly and more accurately.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the exhaustion of fossil energy and the increasing environment problems, it is imminent to seek an energy that is sufficient and easy to use. As a free and renewable energy, the solar energy is more and more popular. Based on the photovoltaic effect, the PV can directly convert solar energy into electrical energy to be used by people and can alleviate the energy crisis.

However, low conversion rate and high cost seriously hinder the development of PV[1]. Therefore, it is very necessary to make researching on MPPT deeply.

II. SIMULATION OF PV MODEL AND PRINCIPLE OF MPPT

The equivalent circuit of PV is shown in Figure 1.

\[
I = I_{ph} - I_s \exp\left[\frac{q(V + IR)}{AKT} - 1\right] - (V + IR) / R_s
\]

(1)

Where \( I \) is output current of PV, \( V \) is output voltage, \( I_{ph} \) is photocurrent, \( I_s \) is saturation current of diode, \( q \) is charge of electron, \( R_c \) is the series resistor, \( R_s \) is the parallel resistor, \( A \) is characteristic factor of diode, \( k \) is Boltzmann constant and \( T \) is temperature of PV. This paper designs a practical engineering mathematical model of PV by using the software MATLAB/Simulink and is shown in Figure 2[2].

The electrical characteristic curve of PV is unimodal function and as shown in Figure 3.

In this Figure 3, \( P \) is the output power of PV, \( V_{oc} \) and \( I_{sc} \) are voltage and current at the maximum output power point. The whole simulation model of MPPT of PV is shown as Figure 4[3].
III. COMPARATIVE STUDY ON METHODS OF MPPT

A. Perturbation and Observation Method

The flow chart of perturbation and observation method is shown as Figure 5[4].

![Flow Chart of Perturbation and Observation Method](image)

**Figure V. Flow Chart of Perturbation and Observation Method.**

**Figure VI. Simulation Model of MPPT Based on Perturbation and Observation Method.**

Figure 6 is the MPPT control simulation model based on perturbation and observation method.

B. Incremental Conductance Method

The P-V characteristic curve of PV is shown in Figure 7. Figure 8 is the flow chart of MPPT control[5].

![P-V Characteristic Curve of PV](image)

**Figure VII. P-V Characteristic Curve of PV.**

**Figure VIII. Flow Chart of Incremental Conductance Method.**

Figure 9 is the simulation model of MPPT control based on incremental conductance method.

C. Fuzzy Control Method

This paper uses two-dimensional fuzzy controller and it determines that input variables are power variation $\epsilon(n)$ at n time and the duty cycle step $a(n-1)$ at $n-1$ time, and output variable is the duty cycle step $a(n)$ at n time. $E(n)$ is the value corresponding to $\epsilon(n)$ in the field of fuzzy set. The structure of fuzzy control is shown in Figure 10[6].

![Structure of Fuzzy Control](image)

**Figure X. Structure of Fuzzy Control.**

The range of $E(n)$, $A(n)$ and $A(n-1)$ is [-3,3], [-1.5,1.5] and [-3,3]. Fuzzy sets are as follows[7]:

- $E(n)=\{NB,NM,NS,NO,PO,PS,PM,PB\}$;
- $A(n)=\{NB,NM,NS,PS,PM,PO\}$;
- $A(n-1)=\{NB,NM,NS,PS,PM,PO\}$.

Where NB, NM, NS, NO, PO, PS, PM, PB respectively represents high negative, moderate negative, small negative, negative zero, positive zero, small positive, moderate positive, large positive. The membership function of $\epsilon(n)$, $a(n-1)$ and $a(n)$ are shown in Figure 11.

![Membership Function](image)

**Figure XI. Membership Function of $\epsilon(n)$, $a(n-1)$ and $a(n)$.**

The table of fuzzy control rules is obtained as Table 1.
According to the conditions above, get the MPPT control simulation model based on fuzzy control as in Figure 12.

**TABLE I. TABLE OF FUZZY CONTROL RULES.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D. BP Neural Network Method**

Assuming that the number of neurons in input layer is M, hidden layer is I, and output layer is J. Then the network structure is as shown in Figure 13[8].

According to BP algorithm, flow chart of BP neural network as is shown in Figure 14[9].

In this paper, the input is light intensity, and the target vector is maximum power of PV in the neural network. The number of hidden layer is determined as five. Using the neural network toolbox in MATLAB, design BP neural network, and programs are as follows:

```
P=0:1:1000;T=P;net=newff(P,T,5);net.trainParam.Fcn='traingd';net.trainParam.goal=0.001;net=train(net,P,T);Y=sim(net,P);
```

After training, model of MPPT control based on neural network is shown as Figure 15.

**FIGURE XV. BP MPPT CONTROL MODEL OF NEURAL NETWORK.**

**IV. ANALYSIS ON SIMULATION RESULTS**

In this paper, as shown in Figure 1, the temperature is 25°C, and the light intensity turns into 950 W/m² from 750 W/m² at 0.1 second. In the Boost circuit, the value of L is 220 µH, C₁ is 50 µF, C is 60 µF and R is 12.8 Ω. The sampling period is set to 0.00001s. Using the algorithm ode23tb(stiff/TR-BDF2) with variable step size, the maximum and minimum step size, the initial step size and the absolute error is set to auto, and the relative error is set to 1e-3, and then simulate it. The simulation result of each method of MPPT is shown as Figure 16 to 19.

**FIGURE XVI. SIMULATION RESULTS OF PERTURBATION AND OBSERVATION METHOD.**

**FIGURE XVII. SIMULATION RESULTS OF INCREMENTAL CONDUCTANCE METHOD.**

**FIGURE XVIII. SIMULATION RESULTS OF FUZZY CONTROL METHOD.**
Comparing results of each method of MPPT, the advantages and disadvantages are shown as in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perturbation and</td>
<td>Less parameters, easy to implement, fast</td>
<td>Easy to produce oscillations, bigger power loss,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>observation</td>
<td>tracking</td>
<td>cannot take both accuracy and response speed into</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental conductance</td>
<td>Weak oscillation, small power loss</td>
<td>Slow response speed, complex structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy control</td>
<td>Fast response, easy to cooperate with other</td>
<td>Strong oscillation, bigger power loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>methods to achieve fast and accurate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tracking of maximum power.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural network</td>
<td>Fast response, weaker oscillation, smaller</td>
<td>Need to get training samples, complex design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>power loss.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

MPPT can effectively improve electric efficiency of PV. According to mathematical model of PV, this paper builds a PV simulation model by MATLAB/Simulink. The whole simulation model combined PV, Boost circuit and MPPT is built. Four different methods are used to realize MPPT, and advantages and disadvantages of each method are obtained through simulation results. It shows that the effect of neural network is best. Through theoretical analysis, the results of the combining of fuzzy control and incremental conductance method will be better.

The research and study in this paper has a great significance on study and application of MPPT. A further study can be made on the basis of this paper, which can develop a control method that is ideal on all aspects.
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