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Abstract-In the absence of sufficient and useful literature in the area of Marketing Intelligence Systems (MKTi), this study seeks to propose and infer a MKTi conceptual framework, through consensus, of a group of information systems (IS) and Marketing system experts. This research assumes that expert opinion can be of significant value in situations where knowledge or theory is incomplete, as in the case of MKTi systems in a Pervasive Business Intelligence (PBI) context. The Delphi method is used to conduct this study; this method is particularly suitable for this research situation where personal contact is not desirable among participants, annihilating the existence of opinion leaders, and ensuring democratic participation. This paper intends to take that first step in filling a gap in the MKTi, by proposing a conceptual framework for Marketing Intelligence systems in the context of PBI systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the business world, knowledge comes from information, and the information comes to the data. Knowledge assists managers in making timely and assertive decision. In any organization, it is critical to have a good understanding of the internal environment (processes, products, services, employees, customers, suppliers, and partners) and external of it (competitors, stakeholders, regulatory authorities). The rapid growth in the volume of information in organizations may become a problem due to lack of capacity to handle this information. Data is raw and unorganized, while information is the result of organized, structured and processed data.

At present, organizations are faced with an aggressive competitive environment, which will make difficult to maintain competitive advantage. According Guarda et al.[1] competitive advantage can be understood as seeking unique opportunities that will give the enterprise a strong competitive position.

Marketers and analysts need to dig deep into their data in order to rightly understand the impact of marketing campaigns, in order to be successful, the operationalization of BI throughout the organization is required, enabling business intelligence systems (BI) reach all levels of the organization, at the right time and with the necessary information [2], being pervasive.

II. RESEARCH AND OUTPUTS

A. Motivation

In recent years, global growth slowed, markets have matured and become more competitive. For many organizations, the only way to grow is at the expense of its competitors [3]. Companies are dependent on the evolution of marketing, to better adapt their strategies [4]. This study crosses several areas; it is intended the construction of a framework for integration of Marketing Intelligence in the Pervasive Business Intelligence systems, providing greater flexibility in adapting to changes in business and information needs, and providing better support for marketers in the decision-making process.

B. Research Objective

The research problem was identified considering the needs of marketer’s to have information to support timely decision, allowing them to be proactive and face competition, and the capabilities of PBI, that enable BI systems reach all levels of the organization, at the right time and with the necessary information.

The objective of this research is to develop a conceptual framework to assist marketers in decision making process, leveraging various technologies, strategies, and resources for sustainable innovation.

Given the complexity of MKTi and its integration into PBI systems, it is intended exploit the best form to improve the approach of MKTi to PBI, taking the first step to the pervasive marketing Intelligence (PMKTi).

III. METHODOLOGY

The Delphi method was used for gathering and analyzing data for this study. We apply the Delphi method to assess the proposed framework. This method is suitable as a research tool in incomplete knowledge of situations on a phenomenon or problem, but it is not suitable for all kinds of problems in IS and works well when the goal is to improve the understanding of the problems, opportunities, solutions, and also develop forecasts. The Delphi methodology is used for the identification of future events and trends, operationalized by consulting a group of experts. The Delphi method is one of the most popular qualitative methods, is effective as structured and independent surveys of experts do not provide biased estimates of alternative futures [5]. This is a decision-making method in a group that is characterized by the fact that each member of the group present their ideas independently, each element isolated from the rest of influence. Many variations of
the Delphi method have been used in the fields of information
[6,7,8,9,10].

To do this, we invited experts from different areas with
interests in the areas of BI, PBI and MKTi such as companies
in the area of information technology, online sales companies,
teachers, and researchers. On the 1st round 21 candidates
agreed to read the framework description and give feedback to
the presented questions. The final expert panel is composed by
21 personalities, which are distributed in different areas:
information technology companies; online sales companies;
marketing researcher and information systems researcher. All
the communication process with the expert panel was made by
e-mail. Whole process was conducted in the absence of any
dialogue between members of the expert panel. This qualitative
research was developed by questionnaire, through a set of
multiple choice questions and open questions questionnaire.

IV. DESCRIPTIVE FRAMEWORK DIMENSIONS

There is no a generic model for PBI implementation
success, and one of the reasons is the fact that different
implementations processes are unique in their own way. In
spite of its complexity, we can see the PBI as a framework for
organizing the data, information management and tools and
technologies that are used to build BI across all organizational
departments, for reporting and data analytics[11,12], and
when it’s well implemented produces positive results for the
end users[13].

The process for satisfying user’s information needs is
complex and composed by different activities to be exploited.
The propose framework has been designed primarily to access
the outputs of qualitative inquiry (Fig. 1), and comprises three
dimensions: data in, data storage and data out. The
1st dimension defines the information needs of the end user. Then, in the 2nd, based on the information requirements defined in the previous dimension, data is acquired from multiple sources (internal and external), extracted, cleaned and transformed into information. Finally, in the 3rd dimension, are used analytical tools that transform information into knowledge that will be delivered to the end user for evaluation and possible further feedback if necessary, representing a three-dimensional iterative cycle. The cycle begins again or continues, as the information needs until the decision makers meet their needs appropriately.

The first dimension is leveraged by the process mining
(PM) for evaluation and suitability of process models to the
requirements defined in the planning of necessary decision
making information. Then, the collection process, oriented by
the appropriate process model, extract, transforms and load
(ETL) organization internal and external data sources, and that
include CRM, prospects data, market data and competition.
The ETL is a process for extracting data from a database
system, where the data are processed, modified, and then
inserted into another database[14,15]. In the second dimension,
data from the first dimension are loaded, organized and coded
information on the data warehouse data marts, specifically in
marketing data mart, and is made available for direct querying. The metadata provides transparency as data moves
from sources to the warehouse, and from DW to end users [13],
and helps to create a common way of describing information
assets across the organization, integrating the several metadata
models in use in organization.

In the third dimension, the data access and analysis process,
access marketing datamart applying the marketing metadata
models to process organization reporting, querying, and other
analytical and predictive applications for representing
information from marketing perspective, and the results, or
valuable intelligence produced [11], will be distributed to end-
users (marketers) for review and posterior feedback if needed,
and the results must be clear and understandable enough for the
required decision-makers [16].
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FIGURE I. PERSASTIVE MARKETING INTELLIGENCE FRAMEWORK.

V. EXPERT PANEL EVALUATION

The Delphi process was applied in three rounds. In order to
close each interaction with expert panel members, after all
answers received, we have made a resume and which then was
validated by the expert panel. The objective of the 1st round was to
test the desirability and feasibility of the framework, the
questionnaire was designed to evaluate framework in terms of
its comprehensiveness, conciseness, completeness, applicability and usability. We used the 5 points Likert scale (1. Strongly disagree, 2. Disagree, 3. Neither agree nor disagree, 4. Agree, 5. Strongly agree). Analysing the reaction of participants (n = 21), it was found that 38.10% do not agree
with the structure of the three dimensions, arguing that the 2nd
and 3rd dimension complement each other, not being necessary for their division. Regarding the general assessment of the framework, were not detected major reservations. Based on Cronbach’s Alpha, α = .829, it can be concluded, that results indicates good reliability. It should be noted that an
alpha of .8 is probably a reasonable goal. In the 2nd round, we
sent the results to the participants, and have been sent a new
questionnaire to evaluate the processes that compose each of
the three dimensions, in terms of completeness, correctness,
maintainability, interactivity, accuracy. After analysing the
results, in the analysis of all dimensions was verified that α
= .848, reflecting a slight increase, and values per dimension
not exhibit great variance ($\alpha = .897$; $\alpha = .888$; $\alpha = .724$). The consensus holds up among the participants. In the last round, the results were sent to participants, leaving open the possibility of revising. No further changes were observed.

VI. CONCLUSION

This research was achieved through exploring some prospective information systems areas, strategic factors, and measuring related judgments from expert panels. This study develops a research framework that can assist decision makers in emerging economies. This theoretical framework should be followed for implementing PMKTi as an enabler for their competitiveness. The participants in this Delphi process achieved consensus on a generic quality criteria list. The adoption of this core set by the expert panel may be the first step toward a minimum reference standard of quality measures, for the framework quality criteria.
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