

# On extension of fuzzy measures to aggregation functions

Anna Kolesárová<sup>1</sup> Andrea Stupňanová<sup>2</sup> Juliana Beganová<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute IAM, FCHFT, Slovak University of Technology,  
Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia

e-mail: anna.kolesarova@stuba.sk

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Civil Engineering, Slovak University of Technology,  
Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava, Slovakia

e-mail: {andrea.stupnanova@stuba.sk;juliana.beganova@stuba.sk}

## Abstract

In the paper we study a method extending fuzzy measures on the set  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $n$ -ary aggregation functions on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The method is based on a fixed suitable  $n$ -ary aggregation function and the Möbius transform of the considered fuzzy measure. This approach generalizes the well-known Lovász and Owen extensions of fuzzy measures. We focus our attention on the special class of  $n$ -dimensional Archimedean quasi-copulas and prove characterization of all suitable  $n$ -dimensional Archimedean quasi-copulas. We also present a special universal extension method based on a suitable associative binary aggregation function. Several examples are included.

**Keywords:** Aggregation function, Choquet integral, copula, fuzzy measure,  $n$ -monotone function, quasi-copula, Archimedean quasi-copula

## 1. Introduction

In [13] we have introduced a method extending any fuzzy measure on the set  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  to an  $n$ -ary aggregation function by means of a (fixed) suitable aggregation function and the Möbius transform of the considered fuzzy measure. Recall that a *fuzzy measure*  $m$  on the set  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  is a non-decreasing set function  $m: 2^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the properties  $m(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $m(N) = 1$ . An  *$n$ -ary aggregation function* ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ) on the interval  $[0, 1]$  is a function  $A: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is non-decreasing in each variable and satisfies the boundary conditions  $A(\mathbf{0}) = 0$  and  $A(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ . We briefly outline the proposed method.

To any fuzzy measure  $m$  on  $N$  and a given fixed  $n$ -ary aggregation function  $A$  we assign the function  $F_{m,A}: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$F_{m,A}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{I \subseteq N} M_m(I) A(\mathbf{x}_I), \quad (1)$$

where  $M_m: 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M_m(I) = \sum_{K \subseteq I} (-1)^{|I \setminus K|} m(K)$ , is the Möbius transform of  $m$  and  $\mathbf{x}_I = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is the  $n$ -tuple assigned

to an input  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$  and a subset  $I$  of the set  $N$  by

$$u_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in I, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We say that the function  $F_{m,A}$  extends the fuzzy measure  $m$  if  $F_{m,A}|_{\{0,1\}^n} = m$ . However, in general, the functions  $F_{m,A}$  defined by (1) are neither aggregation functions (monotonicity can fail) nor extensions of  $m$ . Denote the set of all  $n$ -ary aggregation functions by  $\mathcal{A}_{(n)}$  and the set of all fuzzy measures on  $N$  by  $\mathcal{M}_{(n)}$ . In [13] we have completely characterized all aggregation functions which are suitable for this construction, i.e., all  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$  which together with any fuzzy measure  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{(n)}$  give via (1) an aggregation function  $F_{m,A}$  extending  $m$ . Such aggregation functions  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$  are briefly called *suitable aggregation functions*.

For example, all  $n$ -dimensional copulas are suitable aggregation functions. On the other hand, not all  $n$ -dimensional quasi-copulas possess this property. In this contribution we focus our attention on the special class of  $n$ -dimensional Archimedean quasi-copulas and prove characterization of all suitable  $n$ -dimensional Archimedean quasi-copulas.

Our approach was motivated by the Lovász and Owen extensions of fuzzy measures, [14, 21]. If  $A = \text{Min}$ , where  $\text{Min}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , then the expression on the right-hand side of formula (1) coincides with the the expression

$$\sum_{I \subseteq N} M_m(I) \min_{i \in I} x_i,$$

which is equal to the Choquet integral  $C - \int_N \mathbf{x} dm$ ,

[5], and also to the Lovász extension of the fuzzy measure  $m$ , [15]. Similarly, for  $A = \Pi$ , where  $\Pi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n$ , the expression on the right-hand side of formula (1) coincides with the expression

$$\sum_{I \subseteq N} M_m(I) \prod_{i \in I} x_i,$$

which is known as the Owen extension of  $m$ . Note that the Lovász and Owen extensions can be applied universally, for any arity  $n$ , while the proposed

method produces (if  $A$  is a suitable  $n$ -ary aggregation function)  $n$ -ary aggregation functions extending  $m$ . In the last section a special universal extension method based on a suitable associative binary aggregation function is proposed. The method is illustrated by examples.

## 2. Characterization of suitable aggregation functions

As mentioned above, in [13] we have characterized all suitable aggregation functions  $A$ . For completeness of information let us recall two main results. The first of them characterizes all aggregation functions  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$  for which  $F_{m,A}$  is an extension of  $m$  for each  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{(n)}$ .

**Theorem 1** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$ . The function  $F_{m,A}$  defined by (1) is for each  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{(n)}$  an extension of  $m$  if and only if  $A$  is an aggregation function with zero annihilator.*

Recall that  $0$  is the annihilator of  $A$  if  $A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  whenever  $0 \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

In general, extensions  $F_{m,A}$  need not be monotone. Before giving conditions ensuring the monotonicity of  $F_{m,A}$ , we introduce some notations.

Fix  $i \in N$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  be any elements in  $[0, 1]^n$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u} &= (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n), \\ \mathbf{v} &= (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n),\end{aligned}$$

with  $x'_i > x_i$ . For any subset  $E \subseteq N \setminus \{i\}$  denote by  $\mathbf{u}^E$  and  $\mathbf{v}^E$   $n$ -tuples with coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}u_i^E &= x_i & v_i^E &= x'_i, \\ u_j^E &= x_j & v_j^E &= 1, \text{ if } j \notin E \cup \{i\}, \\ u_j^E &= 0 & v_j^E &= x_j, \text{ otherwise.}\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Finally, recall that for an  $n$ -ary aggregation function  $A$ , the  $A$ -volume of an  $n$ -box  $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$  in  $[0, 1]^n$ ,  $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = [u_1, v_1] \times \dots \times [u_n, v_n]$ , is defined by

$$V_A([\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]) = \sum (-1)^{\alpha(\mathbf{c})} A(\mathbf{c}),$$

where the sum is taken over all vertices  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  of the  $n$ -box  $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$  (i.e., each  $c_k$  is equal to either  $u_k$  or  $v_k$ ), and  $\alpha(\mathbf{c})$  is the number of indices  $k$ 's such that  $c_k = u_k$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{(n)}$  be an aggregation function with zero annihilator. The function  $F_{m,A}$  is for each  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{(n)}$  non-decreasing in the  $i$ th variable ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) if and only if for all  $n$ -tuples  $\mathbf{u} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$  in  $[0, 1]^n$  with  $x'_i > x_i$ , it holds that for each  $E \subseteq N \setminus \{i\}$ ,  $A$ -volumes  $V_A([\mathbf{u}^E, \mathbf{v}^E])$ , where the end-points of  $n$ -boxes  $[\mathbf{u}^E, \mathbf{v}^E]$  are defined by (2), are non-negative.*

For example, by Theorem 2, for a binary aggregation function  $A$  with zero annihilator the function  $F_{m,A}$  is non-decreasing for each  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{(2)}$  if and only if  $A$ -volumes of all possible 2-boxes  $[(x_1, x_2), (x'_1, 1)]$  and  $[(x_1, x_2), (1, x'_2)]$  in  $[0, 1]^2$  with  $x'_1 > x_1$  and  $x'_2 > x_2$ , are non-negative. Note that  $A$ -volumes of 2-boxes of the type  $[(0, x_2), (x_1, x'_2)]$  and  $[(x_1, 0), (x'_1, x_2)]$ , which in binary case are also obtained from conditions (2), are trivially non-negative because of the monotonicity of  $A$ .

From Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain the following characterization.

**Corollary 1** *An  $n$ -ary aggregation function  $A$  is a suitable aggregation function if and only if it has zero annihilator and satisfies the conditions for the monotonicity of  $F_{m,A}$  given in Theorem 2 for each variable.*

For example, all  $n$ -copulas [24, 19] are suitable aggregation functions for our construction. Recall that  $n$ -copulas are defined as functions  $C: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying

- (C1) the boundary conditions:
  - if  $0 \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  then  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ ,
  - $C(1, \dots, 1, x_j, 1, \dots, 1) = x_j$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and each  $x_j \in [0, 1]$ ,
- (C2) the  $n$ -increasing property:
  - $V_C([\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]) \geq 0$  for each  $n$ -box  $[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$  in  $[0, 1]^n$ .

It is easy to see that aggregation functions described in the following proposition also possess zero annihilator and the  $A$ -volumes of all  $n$ -boxes in  $[0, 1]^n$  are non-negative.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $C$  be an  $n$ -copula,  $f_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , non-decreasing functions such that  $f_i(0) = 0$ ,  $f_i(1) = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the function  $A: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by*

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n)),$$

*is a suitable  $n$ -ary aggregation function.*

Not only copulas and distorted copulas from Proposition 1 are suitable aggregation functions.

**Example 1** Consider the function  $A: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , given by

$$A(x, y, z) = xyz \min(1, x + y + z).$$

The function  $A$  is a ternary aggregation function with zero annihilator. It is not a copula, because, e.g., for  $\mathbf{u} = (0.3, 0.3, 0.3)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (0.35, 0.35, 0.35)$  the  $A$ -volume of the corresponding 3-box is  $V_A([\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]) = -0.0019 < 0$ . After quite tedious computations one obtains that  $A$  is a suitable aggregation function. This example can be generalized for any  $n > 3$ .

The aggregation function  $A$  from the previous example is a 3-quasi-copula. In general,  $n$ -quasi-copulas are functions  $Q: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which satisfy the same boundary conditions (C1) as  $n$ -copulas do and which are non-decreasing (in each variable) and 1-Lipschitz, see [20, 4].

In contrast to  $n$ -copulas, not all  $n$ -quasi-copulas are suitable aggregation functions.

**Example 2** The function  $W: [0, 1]^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by  $W(x, y, z) = \max\{0, x + y + z - 2\}$  is a proper 3-quasi-copula. As the  $W$ -volume

$$V_W([(0.5, 0.5, 0.5), (1, 1, 1)]) = -0.5 < 0,$$

$W$  is not a suitable aggregation function, because Theorem 2 requires the  $W$ -volume of the 3-box  $[(0.5, 0.5, 0.5), (1, 1, 1)]$  to be non-negative.

If we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{(n)}$  the set of all  $n$ -copulas, by  $\mathcal{Q}_{(n)}$  the set of all  $n$ -quasi-copulas and by  $\mathcal{F}_{(n)}$  the set of all  $n$ -ary aggregation functions suitable for our construction, then, supported by the previous results, we can write  $\mathcal{C}_{(n)} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_{(n)}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{(n)} \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(n)}$ .

### 3. Special sets of suitable aggregation functions

In this section we focus our attention on the set of Archimedean  $n$ -quasi-copulas. Let us introduce several preparatory notions.

**Definition 1** An  $n$ -quasi-copula  $Q: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi^{(-1)}(\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n)),$$

where  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a continuous strictly decreasing convex function with  $\varphi(1) = 0$  and pseudo-inverse  $\varphi^{(-1)}$ , is called an Archimedean  $n$ -quasi-copula.

The function  $\varphi$  is called an additive generator of  $Q$ . Its pseudo-inverse  $\varphi^{(-1)}: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$\varphi^{(-1)}(u) = \varphi^{-1}(\min(\varphi(0), u)).$$

For  $n$ -copulas we have the following result, see [17].

**Theorem 3** A function  $C: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  given by

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varphi^{(-1)}(\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n)),$$

where  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a continuous strictly decreasing convex function with  $\varphi(1) = 0$  and pseudo-inverse  $\varphi^{(-1)}$ , is an  $n$ -copula if and only if there exists an  $n$ -monotone function  $f: [-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\varphi^{(-1)}(-x) = f(x), \quad x \in [-\infty, 0].$$

Note that a real function  $f$  is called  $n$ -monotone on an interval  $I$  if all its differences of order  $1, \dots, n$  are non-negative. This means that  $f$  is  $n$ -monotone if and only if for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , each  $x \in I$  and all  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k > 0$  such that  $x + \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_k \in I$

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} f\left(x + \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i\right) (-1)^{|I|+k} \geq 0,$$

compare with [2, 16, 11]. Now, we can formulate the result.

**Theorem 4** Let  $Q$  be an Archimedean  $n$ -quasi-copula. Then the following is equivalent

- (i)  $Q$  is a suitable aggregation function.
- (ii)  $Q$  is an  $n$ -copula.

*Proof.* (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). The claim is evident.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $x'_1$  be any elements in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $x'_1 > x_1$ . Consider the  $n$ -box  $[x_1, x'_1] \times [x_2, 1] \times \dots \times [x_k, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \dots \times [0, 1]$ . By Theorem 2 it holds

$$V_Q([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0] \times [x'_1, 1, \dots, 1]) \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, as 0 is the annihilator of  $Q$  and  $Q$  is generated by  $\varphi$  ( $\varphi(1) = 0$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & V_Q([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, 0, \dots, 0] \times [x'_1, 1, \dots, 1]) \\ &= \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} \varphi^{(-1)}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \varphi(x_i) + \varphi(x'_1) \mathbf{1}_{I^c}(1)\right) (-1)^{|I|} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If we denote

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \varphi(x'_1), \quad b_1 = \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x'_1), \\ b_2 &= \varphi(x_2), \dots, b_k = \varphi(x_k), \end{aligned}$$

then from (4) and (3) we obtain that for each  $k \leq n$  it holds

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} \varphi^{(-1)}\left(a + \sum_{i \in I} b_i\right) (-1)^{|I|} \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

For  $x \in [-\infty, 0]$  define  $f(x) = \varphi^{(-1)}(-x)$  and denote  $u = -\left(a + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i\right)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{(-1)}\left(a + \sum_{i \in I} b_i\right) &= f\left(-a - \sum_{i \in I} b_i\right) \\ &= f\left(u + \sum_{i \in I} b_i\right) (-1)^k. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Finally, from (5) and (6) it follows that

$$\sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} f\left(u + \sum_{i \in I} b_i\right) (-1)^{|I|+k} \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

which means that  $f$  is  $n$ -monotone and by Theorem 3,  $Q$  is an  $n$ -copula.  $\square$

**Remark 1** Observe that based on the results presented in [25], Theorem 4 can be generalized to the case of associative  $n$ -quasi-copulas. The associativity of  $n$ -ary functions in the Post sense [22] is considered, i.e., the associativity of an  $n$ -ary quasi-copula  $Q$  means that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in [0, 1]$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(Q(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \\ &= Q(x_1, Q(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), \dots, x_{2n-1}) = \dots \\ &= Q(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, Q(x_n, \dots, x_{2n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

An associative  $n$ -quasi-copula is a suitable aggregation function if and only if it is an ordinal sum of  $n$ -ary Archimedean copulas. For the later concept see [18].

#### 4. A universal extending method

As mentioned in Introduction, the Lovász and Owen extensions can be applied universally, independently of the arity  $n$ . To obtain another universal extension method, consider a suitable binary aggregation function  $A: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . In [13] we proved the following characterization of suitable binary aggregation functions. Note that Example 2 shows that this characterization is valid for binary case only.

**Theorem 5** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{(2)}$ . The function  $F_{m,A}$  given by (1) is for each  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{(2)}$  an aggregation function extending  $m$  if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$  it holds*

$$A(x, y) = Q(f(x), g(y)), \quad (8)$$

where  $Q$  is a 2-quasi-copula and  $f, g$  are non-decreasing  $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  functions with  $f(0) = g(0) = 0, f(1) = g(1) = 1$ .

Suppose that the considered suitable binary aggregation function  $A$  is associative. The associativity of  $A$  means that for all  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$  it holds  $A(A(x, y), z) = A(x, A(y, z))$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(f(Q(f(x), g(y))), g(z)) \\ &= Q(f(x), g(Q(f(y), g(z))))). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $y = z = 1$  one obtains  $f(x) = f(f(x))$ . Similarly, the equality  $g(z) = g(g(z))$  can be proved. To obtain a continuous extension, the continuity of  $f$  and  $g$  is required, and so the only possibility for  $f$  and  $g$  is the identity function. Thus  $A = Q$ , where  $Q$  is an associative 2-quasi-copula. Its  $n$ -ary extension is a suitable aggregation function if and only if it is an  $n$ -copula. Following the results in [18] we can conclude:

**Theorem 6** *An associative binary aggregation function  $A: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  generates a continuous extended aggregation function  $\bar{A}: \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for each  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\bar{A}|_{[0, 1]^n}$  is a suitable  $n$ -ary*

*aggregation function, if and only if  $A$  is an ordinal sum of Archimedean copulas,  $A = (\langle a_k, b_k, C_k \rangle)$ , where each  $C_k$  is generated by an additive generator  $\varphi_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , such that the function  $f_k: [-\infty, 0] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , given by  $f_k(x) = \varphi_k^{(-1)}(-x)$ , is totally monotone, i. e.,  $f_k$  has all derivatives on  $] - \infty, 0[$  which are non-negative.*

**Example 3** (i) Let  $A = T_0^H$  be the Hamacher product given by  $A(x, y) = \frac{xy}{x+y-xy}$ .  $A$  is an Archimedean copula generated by the additive (strict) generator  $\varphi: \varphi(x) = \frac{x}{1-x} - 1$ . The function  $f(x) = \varphi^{-1}(-x) = \frac{1}{1-x}, x \in [-\infty, 0]$ , has derivatives  $f^{(n)}(x) = \frac{n!}{(1-x)^{n+1}}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which are non-negative. The function

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i} - n + 1 \right)^{-1}$$

is a suitable  $n$ -ary aggregation function and moreover, for any  $n$ .

(ii) Let  $A$  be the copula ordinal sum,  $A = (\langle 0, 1/2, \Pi \rangle)$ , i.e.,

$$A(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2xy & (x, y) \in [0, 1/2]^2 \\ \min\{x, y\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The product copula  $\Pi$  is generated by the additive generator  $\varphi(x) = -\log x$ . For the function  $f(x) = \varphi^{-1}(-x) = e^x, x \in [-\infty, 0]$ , all derivatives are non-negative. The function

$$\begin{aligned} & A(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^n \min\{2x_i, 1\} & \text{if } \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

is a suitable aggregation function for any  $n$ .

Observe that the extension of fuzzy measures based on  $A$  can be seen as a mixture of the Lovász and Owen extensions in the following sense: if  $\mathbf{x} \in [1/2, 1]^n$  then  $F_{m,A}(\mathbf{x}) = F_{m,Min}(\mathbf{x})$ , i.e.,  $F_{m,A}$  is just the Lovász extension, and if  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1/2]^n$  then  $F_{m,A}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}F_{m,\Pi}(2\mathbf{x})$ , i.e.,  $F_{m,A}$  is a linear transform of the Owen extension.

**Acknowledgment** The authors acknowledge the support of the grant VEGA 1/0198/09 and the project of Science and Technology Assistance Agency under the contract No. APVV-0012-07.

#### References

- [1] C. Alsina, R.B. Nelsen, B. Schweizer, On the characterization of a class of binary operations on distributions functions, *Stat. Probab. Lett.*, 17:85–89, 1993.
- [2] A. G. Bronevich: On the closure of families of fuzzy measures under eventwise aggregation, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 153:45–70, 2005.

- [3] T. Calvo, A. Kolesárová, M. Komorníková, R. Mesiar, Aggregation Operators: Properties, Classes and Construction Methods. In *Aggregation Operators. New Trends and Applications*, T. Calvo, G. Mayor, R. Mesiar, eds. Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 3-107, 2002.
- [4] I. Cuculescu, R. Theodorescu, Copulas: diagonals and tracks, *Revue Romaine de Mathématique Pures et Appliquées*, 46:731-742, 2001.
- [5] A. Chateauneuf, J.Y. Jaffray, Some characterizations of lower probabilities and other monotone capacities through the use of Möbius inversion, *Math. Soc. Sciences*, 17:263-283, 1989.
- [6] G. Choquet, Theory of capacities, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 5:131-295, 1953-54.
- [7] C. Genest, J.J. Quesada Molina, J.A. Rodríguez-Lallena, and C. Sempi, A characterization of quasi-copulas, *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 69:193-205, 1999.
- [8] M. Grabisch, T. Murofushi, and M. Sugeno, *Fuzzy Measures and Integrals. Theory and Applications*, Physica Verlag, Heidelberg, 2000.
- [9] M. Grabisch, J.-L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap, *Aggregation Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [10] E.P. Klement, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap, *Triangular norms*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [11] E.P. Klement, M. Manzi, R. Mesiar, Aggregation functions with stronger types of monotonicity. In E. Hüllermeier, R. Kruse, F. Hoffmann, eds., *Proc. IPMU 2010*, LNAI 6178, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 418–424, 2010.
- [12] A. Kolesárová, 1-Lipschitz aggregation operators and quasi-copulas, *Kybernetika*, 9:615-629, 2003.
- [13] A. Kolesárová, A. Stupňanová, and J. Beganová, Aggregation-based extensions of utility functions. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, submitted.
- [14] L. Lovász, Submodular function and convexity. In *Mathematical Programming: The state of the art*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 235-257, 1983.
- [15] J.-L. Marichal, Aggregation of interacting criteria by means of the discrete Choquet integral. In *Aggregation Operators. New Trends and Applications*, T. Calvo, G. Mayor, R. Mesiar, eds. Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, pp. 224-244, 2002.
- [16] M. Marinacci, L. Montrucchio: Ultramodular functions, *Math. Oper. Res.*, 30:311–332, 2005.
- [17] A.J. McNeil, J. Nešlehová, Multivariate Archimedean copulas, d-monotone functions and  $l_1$ -norm symmetric distributions, *The Annals of Statistics*, 37:3059-3097, 2009.
- [18] R. Mesiar, C. Sempi, Ordinal sums and idempotents of copulas, *Aequationes Mathematicae*, 79:39-52 2010.
- [19] R.B. Nelsen, *An Introduction to Copulas*, Lecture Notes in Statistics 139, Springer Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [20] R.B. Nelsen, J.J. Quesada-Molina, B. Schweizer, and C. Sempi, Derivability of some operations on distributions functions. In L. Rüschendorf, B. Schweizer, M.D. Taylor, eds., *Distributions with Fixed Marginals and Related Topics*, CA: IMS Lecture Notes - Monograph Series Number 28, Hayward, pp. 233-243, 1996.
- [21] G. Owen, Multilinear extensions of games. In A.E. Roth, ed., *The Shapley value. Essays in Honour of Lloyd S. Shapley*, Cambridge University Press, pp. 139-151, 1988.
- [22] E.-L. Post, Polyadic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48:208-350, 1940.
- [23] D. Schmeidler, Integral representation without additivity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 97:255-261, 1986.
- [24] A. Sklar, Fonctions de répartition à  $n$  dimensions et leurs marges, *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris*, 8:229-231, 1959.
- [25] A. Stupňanová, A. Kolesárová, Associative  $n$ -dimensional copulas. *Kybernetika*, in press, 2011.
- [26] Z. Wang, G.J. Klir, *Fuzzy Measure Theory*, Plenum Press, New York, 1992.