















can be treated in a uniform way throughout the different system stages.

Hence, the presented extension of fuzzy classifiers allows an explicit treatment of trustworthiness at runtime, although it is fairly simple and easy to compute. The approach presented here basically acts similar to a gradual dynamic selection of the most trustworthy input signals. It is thus easy to understand as well as to engineer. And what is more, with the proposed approach, the uncertainty is handled in the most general way which is easy to integrate into a fuzzy classifier. This contributes to our idea of a system wide and easy to engineer trust management in (complex) embedded systems.

## 6. Conclusion

To summarize, this paper has introduced a concept for extending rule based fuzzy classifiers in order to deal explicitly with dynamic uncertainties at runtime. It is independent of specific kinds of membership functions and inference methods. It hence can be used as an addition to existing fuzzy classifier methods. Its simplicity allows an easy understanding of its effect in order to keep the classification system transparent. The degree of certainty of the classification result expresses the uncertainty implied in the classifier itself as well as the dynamic uncertainty of the current feature vector. Thus it fits well into the trust management framework, where the trustworthiness of the output can be processed further. This enables a trustworthy operation for critical applications because misclassifications are avoided as far as possible and the trustworthiness of a made classification decision can be judged. Nevertheless, the approach is simple, easy to handle and fast to engineer.

In future work we will take a more detailed look at uncertainty induced by the training process. E.g., more detailed information about conflicting rules will be incorporated into the trust level of a result and thus be a valuable contribution towards a overall trustworthy classification.

## Acknowledgment

The work has been partly supported by the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology, support code KF2312001ED9.

## References

- [1] A. Avizienis, J.C. Laprie, and B. Randell. Dependability and its threats: a taxonomy. In *Building the information society: IFIP 18th World Computer Congress, Toulouse, France*, pages 91–120. Kluwer Academic Pub, August 2004.
- [2] W. Brockmann, A. Buschermöhle, and J. Hülsmann. A Generic Concept to Increase the Robustness of Embedded Systems by Trust Management. In *Proc. Int. Conf. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, pages 2037–2044. IEEE, 2010.
- [3] J. Hühn and E. Hüllermeier. FR3: A Fuzzy Rule Learner for Inducing Reliable Classifiers. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 17(1):138–149, 2009.
- [4] L. Gonçalves, C. Fonte, and M. Caetano. Using uncertainty information to combine soft classifications. In *Computational Intelligence for Knowledge-Based Systems Design*, volume 6178 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 455–463. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2010.
- [5] T. Denoeux and L.M. Zouhal. Handling possibilistic labels in pattern classification using evidential reasoning. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 122(3):409–424, 2001.
- [6] J. Yang and S.R. Gunn. *Knowledge-Based Intelligent Information and Engineering Systems*, chapter Input Uncertainty in Support Vector Machines, pages 148–155. Springer, 2007.
- [7] R.O. Duda, P.E. Hart, and D.G. Stork. *Pattern Classification*. Wiley-Interscience, 2001.
- [8] E. Lughofer. On-line incremental feature weighting in evolving fuzzy classifiers. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 163(1):1 – 23, 2011.
- [9] V. Tresp, R. Neuneier, and S. Ahmad. Efficient methods for dealing with missing data in supervised learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 7:689–696, 1995.
- [10] A. Buschermöhle, N. Rosemann, and W. Brockmann. Stable Classification in Environments with Varying Degrees of Uncertainty. In *Proc. Int. Conf. on Computational Intelligence for Modelling Control & Automation*, pages 441–446. IEEE Computer Society, 2008.
- [11] R. Jain and A. Abraham. A comparative study of fuzzy classification methods on breast cancer data. *Australasian Physical & Engineering Science in Medicine*, 27:213–218, 2004.
- [12] P. Angelov, X. Zhou, D. Filev, and E. Lughofer. Architectures for Evolving Fuzzy Rule-based Classifiers. *Proc. Int. Conf. on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 2007.
- [13] E. Lughofer, P. Angelov, and X. Zhou. Evolving Single- and Multi-Model Fuzzy Classifiers with FLEXFIS-Class. *Proc. Int. Conf. IEEE on Fuzzy Systems*, pages 363–368, 2007.
- [14] J. Roubos, M. Setnes, and J. Abonyi. Learning fuzzy classification rules from data. *Proc. RASC Conference. Leichester, UK*, 2000.
- [15] D.J. Newman A. Asuncion. UCI machine learning repository, 2007.