

The Investigation on Multimodal Biometric Recognition

Ai-Li Geng^{1,a}, Liyang Liu²

¹ The electronic commerce department, GuangDong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou (510006), P. R. China

² College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun (130012), P. R. China

^a. gengaili@126.com

Keywords: recognition systems, fingerprint and iris biometry, recognition rate, multimodal biometric systems

Abstract. Biometrics is used to identify individual in groups by their characteristics, such as fingerprint, face, iris, voice and behavioral characteristics like hand written signature and keystroke. Normally, a majority of biometrics recognition systems adopt methods by using unique feature to discriminate different individual from groups. But there is some forgery, subjective modifications like making up exiting as usual. A multimodal biometric recognition system using multiple biometrics to identify is proposed. In addition, it can support better Equal Error Rate (EER), False Acceptance Rate (FAR) and False Rejection Rate (FRR) by combining two or more physical or behavioral features. With lots of approaches have been proposed, experts also pay much attention to the methods mentioned in recent years. The objective of this paper is to support a survey of multimodal biometric recognition system and approach using face, fingerprint recognition and enhanced iris characters that commonly used recently.

Introduction

Biometric recognition as defined by ISO/IEC is the process of searching against a biometric enrolment database to find and return the biometric reference identifier(s) matching a submitted biometric sample of a single individual^[1]. Biometric recognition systems are being adopted widely in many applications and security systems requiring high levels of security, for enhancing security for Internet banking, ATM, Airports, Law enforcement applications etc.^[2]

But normal biometric systems operate on a single character. Captured sensor data are often affected by noise due to the environmental conditions (insufficient light, powder, etc.) or due to user physiological and physical conditions (cold, cut fingers, etc); not all biometric features have the same distinctiveness degree (for example, hand-geometry based biometric systems are less selective than the fingerprint-based ones); and all biometric features are universal, but due to the wide variety and complexity of the human body, not everyone is endowed with the same physical features and might not contain all the biometric features^[3].

Multimodal biometric system is a recent approach developed to conquer these problems. It combines more than one co-related biometric features, for e. g. many impressions of a finger, many images of a face and like. It may also combine different features for example finger print and face images, or finger print, Iris and face images, or finger print and spoken password (i. e. voice recognition)^[4]. Multi-modal biometric system holds in possession numerous merits like, improvement in the matching accuracy by improving Equal Error Rate (EER), False Acceptance Rate (FAR) and False Rejection Rate (FRR), vanquishing the problem of non-universality by supporting for another biometric trait in case if a human is rejected to enroll using one trait, better quality of data i.e. effectively addresses the problem of noisy data, enhancing security by making tampering task extremely difficult, supporting to operate even if some of the biometric sources become trustworthy, easy searching in the database^[5].

Biometric Modalities

1) Face Recognition Biometrics

Face recognition is one of identification technology adopting automated ways to verify the identity of a person due to his/her physiological characteristics^[6], such as location, shape and spatial relationships between facial attributes like eyes, eyebrows, nose, lips and so on^[7]. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is one of the best global compact representations, which were used by Kirby and Sirovich for the first time to apply the Karhunen-Loeve expansion to face recognition^[8]. PCA gives an s -dimensional vector representation of each face in a training set of images^[9]. At the beginning of face recognition, the researcher only adopted a small quality of features as represent the dataset. Only they had reconstructed the small number of features to form images, they contained the images resembled faces, which owned the name of Eigen images^[10]. Then they hope to discover a t -dimensional subspace with basis vectors corresponding to the maximum variance direction in the initial image area. Then, fast PCA is mentioned for improve the computational efficiency, with some limitation mainly about convergence which the images own high resolution and mean square error.

2) Fingerprint Biometrics

It is another common biometric approach for recognition a person. It is obviously that even fingerprint of recognition twins are not unique. It contains ridges and valleys of the surface of a finger. The location and direction of some important points called minutiae points are the object of patching process.

Weizhou Zhao et al. describe a novel approach to recognize finger print based on Frobenius norm. The random mapping result avoids attacking original fingerprint feature. But the FAR and FRR is not perfect. An EER of 0.21 % is reported with FVC2002 database^[11]. Yang and Ma used fingerprint, palm print, and hand geometry to implement personal identity verification^[12]. Compare with other multimodal biometric systems, these three biometric characters can be got from the same image. They deal with matching score fusion at different levels to establish identity, besides, it performs a fast fusion of the fingerprint and palm-print character successively, a matching-score fusion within the palm-geometry uni-modal system and the multimodal system. Therefore, it improves the system more stability.

3) Iris Biometrics

Iris is a thin circular diaphragm, and locates around the pupil and the sclera. It has a unique epigenetic pattern throughout adult life. This feature makes it very obvious that iris catches much attractive to be used as a biometric for separate individuals with each other.

Yogendra Narain Singh et al. explain different vitality detection techniques for biometric features like fingerprint, face and iris techniques. The result reviews that the result of Fingerprint techniques Image power spectrum (EER=0.6%-6.3%), Perspiration Pattern (Classification Accuracy=90%), Fingertip morphology (EER is N/R), Skin Deformation (EER= 11.24%-19.63%) are reported. In Face recognition techniques Face Spatial features (Classification error rate=0.75%, Movement of eyes are achieved. It also reports results of multimodal vitality detection techniques. The voice with Face (EER=1%-5.1%), Audio-Visual (EER=17%-32%) is obtained. ^[13]

Discussions and Conclusion

An important investigation of literature existing mainly on biometric recognition system incorporating face recognition, fingerprint recognition and iris matching have been introduced and analysis in this paper. Inside, uni-model biometric system can only deal with unique character of the subject, and the consequence is partial, then multimodal is proposed for optimal EER, FAR and FRR indexes improving system dependability.

The pursuits of knowledge on the diverse biometric systems envisage single biometric feature is not sufficient to provide strong authentication. This dictates the importance of multi-modal biometrics. A few multi-modal biometric related works have been reported. But, the most of the multimodal techniques are lacking in security aspects. Table 2 shows experimental comparative

analysis of different biometric traits and technologies with respect to the parameters listed in Table 1. In general, the consequence of fingerprint is better than other two biometric recognition under these parameters mentioned before. The summary of our experimental results dedicates that the fingerprint, face recognition and iris are having edge over other biometric traits.

Table 1 biometric parameters

Number	Parameters	Details
1	Universality	Each person should have the characteristic
2	Uniqueness	Is how well the biometric separates individuals from another
3	Performance	Accuracy, speed and robustness of technology used

Table 2 comparison of biometric parameters and technologies

Biometrics	Face	Fingerprint	Iris
Universality	High	Med	High
Uniqueness	Low	High	High
Performance	Low	High	High
EER	NA	High	Low
FAR	Med	High	Low
FRR	High	Med	Low

Reference

- [1] Standing document 2, harmonized biometric vocabulary, version 8, Aug.22, 2007.
- [2] Vincenzo Conti, Carmelo Militello, Filippo Sorbello, and Salvatore Vitabile, A Frequency-based Approach for Features Fusion in Fingerprint and Iris Multimodal Biometric Identification Systems
- [3] Debnath Bhattacharyya, Rahul Ranjan, Farkhod Alisherov A, Minkyu Choi, Biometric Authentication: A Review, International Journal of u- and e- Service, Science and Technology, Vol. 2, No. 3, Page 13-27, September 2009.
- [4] Ashraf A Darwish, Walaa M. Zaki, Omar M. Saad, Nadia M. Nassar, Gerald Schaefer, Human Authentication using Face and Fingerprint Biometrics, Second International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Communication Systems and Networks, ISBN: 978-0-7695-4158-7/10 \$26.00 @ 2010 IEEE, Page 274-278, 2010.
- [5] Naveena Marupudi, Eugene. John, Fred Hudson, Fingerprint Verification in Multimodal Biometrics, ISBN: 1-4244-0359-6/06 \$20.00 @ 2006 IEEE, Page 130-136, 2006.
- [6] Yea-Shuan Huang, Chu-Yung Li, An effective illumination compensation method for face recognition, Journal of Marine Science and Technology, DOI: 10.6119/JMST-012-0829-4
- [7] Debnath Bhattacharyya, Rahul Ranjan, Farkhod Alisherov A, Minkyu Choi, Biometric Authentication: A Review, International Journal of u- and e-Service, Science and Technology, Vol. 2, No. 3, Page 13-27, September 2009.
- [8] M. Kirby and L. Sirovich, Application of the Karhunen-Loeve procedure for the characterization of human faces, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intel. 12(1) (1990) 103-108.
- [9] Gagandeep Kaur, Gurpreet Singh, Vineet Kumar, A Review on Biometric Recognition, International Journal of Bio-Science and Bio-Technology Vol. 6, No. 4 (2014), pp. 69-76.
- [10] M. Parisa Beham, S. Mohamed Mansoor Roomi, A Review of Face Recognition Methods, International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence Vol. 27, No. 4 (2013) 1356005 (35 pages)

- [11] Weizhou Zhao, Hui Zhang, Secure Fingerprint Recognition Based on Frobenius Norm, International Conference on Computer Science and Electronics Engineering, ISBN: 978-0-7695-4647-6/12\$26.00@2012IEEE, Page 388-391, 2012.
- [12] Zin Mar Win, Myint Myint Sein, Fingerprint recognition system for low Quality Images, SICE Annual Conference, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan, PROOII1110000-1133#400@2011 SICE, Page 1133-1137, 13-18 September 2011.
- [13] Yogendra Narain Singh, Sanjay Kumar Singh, Vitality Detection from Biometrics: State-of-the-Art, World Congress on Information and Communication Technologies, ISBN: 978-1-4673-0126-8/11/@2011 IEEE, Page 106-111, 2011.