Ignition of HTPB-based Fuels Loaded with and without Micron-sized Metals
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Abstract—Hybrid propulsion is becoming more and more attractive in both military and commercial fields for its safety, low cost, and throttability. Most of the works on hybrid propulsion so far carried out is connected with the understanding of the combustion of hybrid fuels. However, the ignition of hybrid fuels, as the first step of fuel application, has received little attention. This paper discusses an experimental investigation focused on ignition phenomena of hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) based fuels, using a continuous CO₂ laser with a wavelength of 10.6 μm as a radiation source. Gaseous oxygen was selected as oxidizer for ignition tests. Experiments were carried out at the pressure of 0.1 MPa and 1.0 MPa. Ignition delay of HTPB was found to decrease with the increasing of radiant flux, and the relationship between ignition delay (tᵢ) and incident radiant flux (qᵢ) can be described as tᵢ = aqᵢⁿ, with n = −1.16 at 0.1 MPa and n = −1.00 at 1 MPa. Ignition delay of HTPB based fuels did have a dependence on pressure. Mg, MgB, and am_ Al powers are demonstrated to have a positive effect on decreasing ignition delay of HTPB fuels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Hybrid propulsion systems have many advantages over conventional solid- and liquid-propulsion systems, such as low-cost, safety, throttability, making them more and more attractive for both military and commercial applications [1, 2]. Numerous works about hydroxyl-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB) fuels have already been carried out over the main field on increasing regression rate [1, 3–6]. However, ignition of hybrid fuels, as the first step of fuel application, has received little attention. Pioneering studies on solid fuel ignition were performed by Ohlemiller and Summerfield [7], who conducted radiative ignition test on polystyrene and an epoxy in oxygen/nitrogen as early as 1971. Effects of radiant flux, pressure, oxygen percentage, and fuel absorption coefficient on ignition delay were discussed. In 1973, a radiative ignition model of a solid fuel including the gas phase reaction and the in-depth absorption of the incident radiation by its solid phase was proposed by Kashiwagi; however, the pressure effect was not considered [8]. In order to accelerate the development of hybrid propulsion, it is necessary to investigate the ignition phenomena of these fuels.

Ignition test of solid fuel under CO₂ irradiation was carried out with gaseous oxygen flow at 0.1 MPa and 1.0 MPa. Experiments were implemented to check pressure effects on the ignition delay, while ignition characteristic of solid fuel under different radiant flux was investigated at the same time. Innovative micron sized metal powders such as MgB and amorphous aluminum (am_Al) were selected to check its effect on ignition delay of HTPB based fuels.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Fuel Formulation

Detailed formulation on HTPB fuel used in this investigation is presented in Table I. Isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI) is used as curing agent, while dibutyltin diacetate (TIN) is used as curing catalyst in order to avoid possible subsidence. Curing level (−NCO−OH) is 1.04 for all the formulations. Manufacture is carried out in vacuum with a mechanical mixer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Mass Fraction, %</th>
<th>Density, ρ, kg/m³</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxy-Terminated Polybutadiene (HTPB R45)</td>
<td>78.86</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>Sartomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioctyl Adipate (DOA)</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>ACROS/Carlo Erba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI)</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>Alfa Aesar GmbH &amp; Co. KG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutyltin diacetate (TIN)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>ACROS/Carlo Erba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTPB binder</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two innovative micron sized metal powders (MgB and am_Al) and one traditional metal particle (Mg) were selected to be added into HTPB binder in order to check their effect on ignition delay under laser irradiation. Average diameter of MgB and am_Al is 5.17 μm and 83.67 μm, respectively, while diameter of Mg is less than 47 μm. Mass fraction of metal additives is presented in Table II, while the remaining mass fraction is HTPB binder. Although mass fraction of additives in each fuel is different, the molar content of main metal (Mg, B, and Al) was maintained to 0.37 mol/100 g in each fuel. Samples for tests were cut into 8-mm-diam, 5-mm-high cylinders. The surface to be irradiated was carefully cleaned by acetone before the ignition tests.

### Table II: Composition and Density of Tested Fuels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Additives (by wt%)</th>
<th>Theoretical Density, $\rho_{TMD}$, kg/m$^3$</th>
<th>Measured Density, $\rho_{MD}$, kg/m$^3$</th>
<th>Porosity, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>922±1.9</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.9%Mg</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>957±1.8</td>
<td>0.1±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8%MgB$^a$</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>935±1.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.2%am_Al$^b$</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1022±0.5</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$MgB is a dual metal composed by magnesium (Mg) and boron (B). MgB used in this study is composed by 20% Mg and 80% B with 90% purity.

$^b$am_Al is an alloy of aluminum (Al), iron (Fe) and yttrium (Y), with mass fraction of 70.30%, 13.54%, and 16.16% respectively.

### B. Experimental Setup

All of the tests were conducted in a stainless cylinder chamber. A scheme of the implemented setup is presented in Figure 1. Gaseous oxygen was selected as oxidizer. Mass flow of oxygen was injected into the chamber, adjusted to 5 LPM during all of the ignition tests, and it was controlled by a mass flow meter. A continuous CO$_2$ laser emits a beam with a wavelength of 10.6 μm and spot diameter of 1.5 cm. However, only 4 mm in the center of the beam, which is nearly uniform, was used to ignite the fuel. The power of the laser could be varied from 0 to 180 W by adjusting the current through the laser discharge tube. The radiation flux was measured with a dedicated setup for CO$_2$ laser. Two optical sensors were used in the experiment, one for the signal of laser and the other one for the ignition of fuel. A high speed camera was used to record ignition progress.

![Figure 1. Scheme of experiment setup.](image)

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ignition tests were carried out at 0.1 MPa and 1.0 MPa with laser flux density in the range of 50–200 W/cm$^2$. More than three tests were performed for each condition in order to reduce possible errors.

A typical result of an ignition test is shown in Figure 2. Beam emitted from the laser becomes stable in about 5 ms, illustrating that it could be used for ignition test of hybrid fuels. Time between the start of stable laser and the first flame of the fuel was regarded as ignition delay. Signal for the flame of the fuel after the ignition is nearly a straight line, suggesting that fuel firmly burned as soon as it was ignited.

![Figure 2. Typical result of an ignition test.](image)
A. Pressure Effect

Ignition delay of fuels at 0.1 MPa and 1.0 MPa is presented in Figure 3. In general, ignition delay was decreased greatly when the ambient pressure was increased from 0.1 MPa to 1.0 MPa over the whole range of radiant flux investigated in this study. With laser radiant flux of 56 W/cm$^2$, ignition delay at 1.0 MPa was decreased to 54% of the one at 0.1 MPa. However, when laser radiant flux comes to 134 W/cm$^2$, ignition delay at 1.0 MPa is about 69% of that at 0.1 MPa.

Pressure dependence under higher radiant flux was impaired since irradiation is too strong. Laser was so strong that pressure dependence of ignition delay was decreased. High pressure dependence of HTPB fuel’s ignition delay is consistent with the results of epoxy under CO$_2$ laser irradiation, conducted by Ohlemiller and Summerfield in 1971 [7]. Since the distance between reaction zone and solid phase was decreased with the increasing of pressure, this could be one reason for the pressure dependence. Higher concentration of gaseous fuel on condensed surface of HTPB fuel at higher pressure, as soon as the fuel was irradiated, could be another important reason for this diffusion ignition progress.
Healy et al. [9] reported that the ignition temperature of n-C4H10 decreased with the increasing of ambient pressure. If this is true to hybrid fuel too, lower ignition temperature at higher pressure should be main reason for high pressure dependence of ignition delay.

B. Laser radiant Flux Effect

In Figure 4, ignition delay of solid fuels under different laser irradiation in oxygen is presented. Ignition delay of all of the fuels decreased with the increasing of radiant flux. Relationship between ignition delay \( t_i \) and radiant flux \( q \) can be described by Eq. (1), which coincides with classic theory of solid propellant under laser irradiation [10].

\[
    t_i = Aq^n
\]

where \( A \) and \( n \) are constants. \( A \) and \( n \) of all the fuels tested are listed in Table III. As can be seen from Table 3, all of the index number \( n \) is very close to 1.0; however, the factor \( A \) for each fuel is quite different.

![Figure 4. Ignition delay of solid fuels in oxygen under CO2 laser irradiation; O2, 5 LPM.](image)

### Table III. Solid Fuels Ignition in Oxygen under Laser Irradiation, Relationship between Ignition Delay vs Radiant Flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Pressure, MPa</th>
<th>( A )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( R^2 ), Eq. (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTPB</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7702.7±3387.8</td>
<td>1.159±0.095</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2130.48±265.17</td>
<td>0.997±0.027</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTPB loaded with Mg</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7045.15±7738.19</td>
<td>1.189±0.236</td>
<td>0.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4038.45±419.79</td>
<td>1.029±0.024</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTPB loaded with MgB</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4123.19±1104.67</td>
<td>1.134±0.047</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, metal additives have positive effect on decreasing ignition delay of HTPB fuels; nevertheless, ignition delay were not shortened greatly with the help of metal additives (less than 30%) indicating that Mg, MgB, and am_Al are insensitive to CO2 laser irradiation, and the absorption effect of these metal particles at 10.6 µm laser irradiation is less than that of carbon black used in solid propellant [7, 11, 12]. Magnesium, as a conventional metal additive, shows a better effect on decreasing ignition delay with respect to any other additive.

IV. CONCLUSION

Ignition tests of HTPB based solid fuel were conducted in oxygen at both 0.1 MPa and 1.0 MPa under CO2 laser irradiation. Ignition delay of HTPB based fuel was found to decrease as the increasing of radiant flux, and the relationship between ignition delay \( t_i \) and the incident radiant flux \( q \) can be described by \( t_i = Aq^n \), with \( n = 1.16 \) at 0.1 MPa and \( n = 1.00 \) at 1 MPa. Ignition delay of solid fuel was decreased to 54% when pressure was increased from 0.1MPa to 1.0 MPa with radiant flux of 56 W/m². However pressure effect on ignition delay was impaired as the increasing of laser radiant flux. Compared to MgB and am_Al, traditional micron sized Mg powers are demonstrated to have a better effect on decreasing ignition delay of HTPB fuels.
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