The Influence of pH Spitting on the Internal Resistance in Microbial Fuel Cells
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Abstract—Membrane separator in microbial fuel cell (MFCs) is one of the main factors that could significantly affect the performance of MFC. Proton exchange membranes (PEMs) are typically used in two-chamber microbial fuel cells to separate the anode and cathode chambers while to allow the transfer of protons from anode to the cathode. However, protons will accumulate in the anode chamber, and therefore the pH balance will be broken if the MFC works for a long time. In this study, effects of two types of separator membranes (Proton Exchange Membrane; 0.45μm Synthetic Fabric Membrane) on the pH spitting and MFC performance were investigated. Membrane internal resistance, membrane biofouling and oxygen diffusion were also analyzed. The fouling layer attached on membranes consisted of microorganisms was demonstrated from imaging analysis coupled with SEM. We found that pH splitting might influence MFC internal resistance more than biofouling. This was attributed to the proton transfer process, which was influenced by cathode pH value.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Microbial fuel cells (MFCs) have been of concern worldwide due to their dual functionality for organic waste degradation as well as energy production[6]. MFCs are devices that convert a portion of the chemical energy within organic matter to usable biogenic electrical energy with the help of bacteria as biocatalysts[2-4].

Separator is one of the most important components in MFCs. Nafion has been widely used as separator for MFCs[5], and has large advantage of being very selective for stability. However, continuous operation of MFC with Nafion causes alkalinization at the cathode as a result of consumption of protons, and acidification is observed on the anode side due to the continuous accumulation of protons, which result from slow and incomplete proton diffusion and migration through the membrane[6]. The driving force of a typical MFC using glucose as fuel can be articulated at anode and cathode, respectively, as follows[6]:

\[
C_6H_{12}O_6+6H_2O \rightarrow 6CO_2+24H^++24e^- \quad (1)
\]

\[
4O_2+24H^++24e^- \rightarrow 12H_2O \quad (2)
\]

These phenomena lead to a membrane pH spitting which puts an electrochemical/thermodynamic limitation on MFC performance[6].

In anolytes of MFC acidic conditions inhibits the oxidation activity of bacteria and reduces proton production[9-12]. According to the Nernst equation, the increased pH in the cathode compartment can significantly decrease current generation, while a balance of pH value between two chambers would be benefit for the potential of the oxygen reduction reaction. The oxygen reduction should increase with a decrease of the operational pH, and the current output from MFCs would be increased[6; 9-11].

However, up to now, few studies have been systematically conducted on the relevance of the membranes pores to pH spitting. Therefore, due to the important role of the pH gradient in the MFC[13], the focus of this study is to examine the effect of membrane with 0.45μm pore on pH gradient, internal resistance, power generation, chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal and columbic efficiency for MFC. Biofouling of the membranes is also investigated.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. MFC construction and operation

A dual-chamber MFC was configured with the anode and cathode each in a 288 mL chamber. Two ports for sampling and introducing electrodes in the top of anode-chamber, and sealed with thick rubber stoppers during operation. The anode was a carbon fiber felt (4×2cm²,
Q-CARBON MATERIAL CO., China), the cathode was carbon paper with Pt on it (4×2 cm², 1 mg/cm², River’s electric co., LTD., Shanghai, China). Proton exchange membrane (PEM, N117CS, DuPont) and 0.45 μm synthetic fabric membrane (0.45 μm-SFM, Haining guodian taoyuan medical chemical factory) were used to separate the anode and cathode chamber. The PEM is consisted of a hydrophobic fluorocarbon backbone (-CF₂-CF₂-) and hydrophilic sulfonate groups(SO₃⁻). 0.45μm-SFM (Φ3 cm) is made from cellulose acetate and cellulose nitrate.

All exposed metal surfaces were sealed with a nonconductive epoxy resin. The schematic diagram of experimental set-up of the MFC is in Fig. 1.

The anode chamber of the reactor was filled with 100 ml excess sludge from wastewater plant cultured as microbial bioanodes and glucose (COD=1000 mg/L) as fuel. Both cathode and anode compartments of all MFCs were filled with 50 mM phosphate buffer solution (0.31 g/L NH₄Cl, 0.13 g/L KCl, 3.32g/L Na₂HPO₄ • 12H₂O, 10.32 g/L NaH₂PO₄•2H₂O, pH=7.0), and add 1 ml per liter trace elements electrode buffer(CoCl₂•6H₂O, 0.10g/L; CuSO₄•5H₂O, 0.01g/L; MnSO₄•H₂O, 0.50g/L; NaCl, 1.00g/L; CaCl₂•2H₂O, 0.10g/L; MgSO₄•7H₂O, 3.00g/L; ZnCl₂, 0.13g/L; FeSO₄, 0.10g/L)[14]. The MFCs were operated at ambient temperature conditions in the laboratory (20± 3°C) with a 1000 Ω resistor except as noted. Nitrogen gas was flushed for 5 min into the anodic chamber to remove dissolved oxygen in order to maintain anoxic conditions.

The pH value of cathode solution was measured by pH meter (Puxico, P4-036). Polarization curves were obtained by using varying external resistance from 2000 to 100Ω, cell voltage data were recorded ever 10 min[15] for each resistance with a digital multimeter (VC88E, Shenzhen Victor Hi-tech CO., LTD. China). The polarization curves of the MFC with the fouled membrane were plotted. After one more month, all the performance parameters of MFC were similarly at initial stage.

Dissolved oxygen analyzer (HACH sensION6) was placed in the anode chamber. And the water was flushed with nitrogen gas to remove DO. The cathode chamber was continuously aerated to maintain the saturated DO concentration. The mass transfer coefficient of oxygen in the membrane, kₒ, was determined by monitoring the DO concentration over time and using the equation by Kim and co-workers[16]

\[ kₒ = -\frac{V}{A t} \ln \left( \frac{Cₒ - C₁}{Cₒ} \right) \]  

Where V is the liquid volume in the anode chamber, A is the membrane cross-sectional area, cₒ is the saturated oxygen concentration in the cathode chamber and c₁ is the DO in the anode chamber at time t. The diffusion coefficient Dₒ was calculated as Dₒ= kₒ*L, where L is the membrane thickness.

The coulombic efficiency (CE) was calculated as

\[ CE(\%) = \frac{C_p}{C_T} \times 100\% \]  

where Cₚ is the total coulombs calculated by integrating the current over time and Cₜ is the theoretical amount of coulombs based on the COD removed by assuming 4 mol of electrons per mol of COD.

B. Scanning electron microscope (SEM)

For SEM analysis, part of the fouled membrane was cut into pieces and immersed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 1h. They were then subjected to dehydration using a serial diluted ethanol (30%, 50%, 70%, 80% and 90%, 15 min for each concentration; 100%, 15 min twice) and then dried completely at ambient temperature. The microscopic structure and elemental components of the membrane surface was analyzed using JSM-200CX SEM (JEOL Co., Japan).

C. Fluorescence spectroscopy

The excitation-emission matrices (EEMs) were obtained using a Hitachi F7000 spectra fluorimeter. The samples were taken from cathode chamber and anode chamber. Each sample was centrifuged 5min in 5000x r and analyzed in a 10 mm quartz cuvette maintained at a constant room temperature of 20 °C. For each sample, a simultaneous scan was performed of excitation and emission wavelengths from 200~600 and from 200~600 nm, respectively, with intervals of 10 nm. A 10 nm slit, both for excitation and emission, was used, with a scanning rate of 1200 nm/min.

III. RESULT

A. The characteristics of the MFCs

The polarization curve of the MFCs under steady conditions were plotted (Fig. 2) during the changing of pH value. The maximum power densities, internal resistance, COD removal and CE of 0.45μm-SFM and PEM were analyzed (TABLE 1). The maximum power densities of two MFCs were similarly at initial stage. However, after a long period running, the maximum power density of PEM-MFC increased by 24.6%, and that of 0.45μm-SFM-MFC increase by 49.5%. These difference may be ascribed to the reason that a few days running internal resistances in MFCs with 0.45μm membrane did not change obviously while that with PEM decreased significantly.

![Figure 1. Dual chamber MFC](image)
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The excitation-emission matrices (EEMs) were obtained using a Hitachi F7000 spectra fluorimeter. The samples were taken from cathode chamber and anode chamber. Each sample was centrifuged 5min in 5000x r and analyzed in a 10 mm quartz cuvette maintained at a constant room temperature of 20 °C. For each sample, a simultaneous scan was performed of excitation and emission wavelengths from 200~600 and from 200~600 nm, respectively, with intervals of 10 nm. A 10 nm slit, both for excitation and emission, was used, with a scanning rate of 1200 nm/min.
Figure 2. Polarization curves and voltage-current curves of two kinds of double-chamber MFC. (A) Voltage-current curves (Cathode pH=7); (B) Polarization curves (Cathode pH=7); (C) Voltage-current (The pH of PEM-MFC cathode chamber>9); (D) Polarization curves in the end (The pH of PEM-MFC cathode chamber>9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Maximal power density (mW m⁻³)</th>
<th>Internal resistance (Ω)</th>
<th>COD removal (%)</th>
<th>Coulombic efficiency(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cathode pH=7</td>
<td>Cathode pH&gt;9*</td>
<td>Cathode pH=7</td>
<td>Cathode pH&gt;9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM</td>
<td>639±13</td>
<td>796±49</td>
<td>498.4±26.6</td>
<td>318.8±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45μm-SFM</td>
<td>647±42</td>
<td>967±49</td>
<td>448.5±12.8</td>
<td>443.3±69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cathode pH: The pH of PEM-MFC cathode chamber.

In order to investigate the influence of pore size of membranes on the protons transfer and the MFC performance, the oxygen diffusion coefficients (Do) through the membranes were measured. The oxygen diffusion coefficients and mass transfer (Kₒ) increased in the medium of 0.45μm-SFM-MFC as compared to that of PEM-MFC (TABLE II). Kim[16] demonstrated that the oxygen transfer coefficient in ultrafiltration membranes increased with the membrane pore size which may cause CE decrease. So the CE of 0.45μm-SFM-MFC was lower than that of PEM-MFC.

**B. pH**

Figure 3. pH in the cathode chamber as a function of operating time.
Phosphate buffer is typically used to minimize pH generation was initiated, and it increases over time. The pH of the cathode started to increase when current generation was initiated, and it increases over time. Phosphate buffer is typically used to minimize pH variation in MFCs, and the 0.45μm-SFM permit H⁺ and OH⁻ transfer easily between cathode and anode chamber. Therefore, the pH spitting of 0.45μm-SFM-MFC and PEM-MFC was 0.08 and 2.94 respectively.

In situ pH variations at the cathode chamber were measured to determine the real OH⁻ cathode profiles during MFC operation. Oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) occurred in the cathode regions causing the alkaline under closed-circuit way (Fig. 3), and variations in pH change large related to the aperture of membranes (Fig 4). The pH of the cathode started to increase when current generation was initiated, and it increases over time. Phosphate buffer is typically used to minimize pH variation in MFCs, and the 0.45μm-SFM permit H⁺ and OH⁻ transfer easily between cathode and anode chamber. Therefore, the pH spitting of 0.45μm-SFM-MFC and PEM-MFC was 0.08 and 2.94 respectively.

Low pH value at cathode can inhibit the proton transfer process, and therefore, result in the increase of internal resistance at the cathode[10]. Therefore, internal resistance of the PEM-MFC is much lower than that of 0.45μm-SFM when the pH value of the PEM-MFC cathode chamber increased to 9.

C. SEM

Figure 5. SEM image of the layers on the membranes. (A) Fouled PEM (anode); (a) Fouled PEM (cathode); (B) Fouled 0.45μm-SFM (anode); (b) Fouled 0.45μm-SFM (cathode).

The morphology of the fouling layer of the membrane used in the MFC was imaged using SEM. The SEM images (Fig. 3 A, B) show that a lot of microbial extracellular polymeric formed on the anode surface of PEM and 0.45μm-SFM. Oppositely, only few microorganisms can be observed on the cathode surface of PEM. But, it should be noted that 0.45μm-SFM had a large aperture (Fig.3) and contributed to the proton transferring from anode chamber to the cathode one. It also proved that, the 0.45μm pore could overcome the problem of blockage and reduced the membrane resistance. However, the internal resistance of 0.45μm-SFM-MFC is higher than the one of PEM-MFC, which result from the pH spitting.

In conclusion, the pH spitting might influence MFC internal resistance more than biofouling.

D. Analysis of spectroscopic data

Figure 6. Example EEM illustrating positions of peaks T₁, T₂ and C recognized in present investigation. Scale of fluorescence intensity is expressed in arbitrary units.

Based on analysis of the EEM illustrating, the T₁ fluorophore in the excitations (λex=275-296 nm) and emission (λem=340-380 nm) ranges were determined; while peak T₂ exhibited fluorescence between 216–237 nm and 340–380 nm for excitation and emission wavelengths. Peak C was found between excitation wavelengths 300–370 nm and emission wavelengths 400–500 nm (Fig.5). Peaks T₁, T₂ and C appeared in all samples. Peaks that represent biological substances were the tryptophan (T₁, lux em=275-296/340-380; T₂, lux/em=216-237/340-380) and humic (C, lux/em=300-370/400-500)[19]. The concentrations of organic matter in the 0.45μm-SFM-MFC cathode were higher than that in the PEM-MFC cathode, which proved the 0.45μm pore membrane could improve the proton transfer.

Combination with the electrochemical property analysis (Fig 2), the power density and the tryptophan concentration increased in 0.45μm-SFM-MFC cathode after MFC operation for a long period of time. Sono (1986) reported that tryptophan can react with O₂ in producing formylkynurenine in cathode chamber[20]. Also, it is reported[21] that an intervening tryptophan residue can facilitate electron transfer between distant metal redox centers in a mutant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Therefore, tryptophan would improve the electron transfer rate so as to promote the output power of MFC.

IV. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the smaller the difference in pH value between cathode and anode, the bigger the resistance of the proton transfer was, although the fouling on the PEM was more thicker than that on SFM. The pH spitting has a great impact on the internal resistances of MFCs. Also, we can predict that the tryptophan in cathode affected the output power density to some extent.
However, more work will be done in future to verify the role of tryptophan.
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