Study on Unbalanced Weight Problem’s Existence of 25m Rail Based on Simulation Analysis
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Abstract—Aiming at the unbalanced weight problem of 25m rail in the railway transportation, this paper firstly uses the principle of moment balance and bending deformation principle to make theoretical analysis, and then establishes the finite element model of 25m rail for simulation, and get the weight that the vehicle steering frames bear, and then judge the existence of unbalanced weight. The results show that current 25m rail loading scheme in our country has led to unbalanced weight problem.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of Chinese railway enterprise, rail transportation demand every year is very huge, of which 25m rail transportation occupies a large proportion. At present, Chinese 25m rail transport mainly adopts six fulcrum cargo bogie straddle transport way, as shown in Figure 1, but this mode of transport in the actual operation usually leads to unbalanced weight problem. Unbalanced weight means the weight of the goods shelf to withstand is more than half of the allowable load truck, or the difference in weight which two bogies withstand is greater than 10t. When unbalanced weight problem takes place, it may lead to train crash accident and cause large lose. Therefore, it is very important to study on the unbalanced problem of 25m rail.

The current study shows that, the bearing and the vehicles suspension state, the fulcrum and the car floor height, and line state can cause unbalanced weight to a certain extent, using four fulcrums to bear 25m rail, using two supporting cargo bogie, and developing special 25m rail transport vehicle can reduce unbalanced weight to a certain extent, but these ways can not fundamentally solve the problem.

This paper uses simulation to analysis, and get the weight that the vehicle steering frames bear, and then judge the existence of unbalanced weight.

II. ANALYSIS OF RAIL MECHANICAL MODEL

A. The calculation principle of weight fulcrums bear

When analysising the stress of rail, the rail is regarded as a simply supported beam, and due to its four redundant constraints, it is statically indeterminate beam whose statically indeterminate number is 4. Assuming 2~5 pivot

Figure 1. The 25m rail transported by six fulcrum cargo bogie
point constraint is redundant constraints, and envisaging the restrictions are lifted, it gets static basis for statically indeterminate beams, and then by using constraint force $F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5$ to instead redundant constraints respectively, it gets pretty system of statically indeterminate beams, as shown in figure 2\(^5\).

In order to ensure equivalent system and the original statically indeterminate beams have the same force

$$
(\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2 - \ell_{12}^2)F_{26} + (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2 - \ell_{12}^2)F_{21} + (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2 - \ell_{12}^2)F_{23} + (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2 - \ell_{12}^2)F_{25} = 0
$$

(1)

$$
[ (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2)F_{21} + \ell_{21}^2F_{21} + (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2)F_{23} + \ell_{23}^2F_{23} + (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2)F_{25} + \ell_{25}^2F_{25}]
$$

(2)

$$
+ (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2 - \ell_{12}^2)L_1L_3F_3 - 0.25qL_1L_3(\ell^2 - 2L_{13}^2 + L_{13}^2) = 0
$$

(3)

$$
[ (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2)L_1F_1 + \ell_{11}^2L_1F_1 + (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2)L_3F_3 + \ell_{33}^2L_3F_3 + (\ell^2 - \ell_{26}^2)L_5F_5 + \ell_{55}^2L_5F_5]
$$

(4)

In order to ensure equivalent system and the original statically indeterminate beams have the same force

$$
F_2 = F_3 = F_4 = F_5
$$

Then by using constraint force $F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5$ to instead redundant constraints respectively, it gets pretty system of statically indeterminate beams, as shown in figure 2\(^5\).

According to the principle of moment balance, calculates the supporting force is equal to the gravity:

$$
T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = T_4 = T_5 = 0.5L
$$

(5)

$$
F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + F_5 + G = 0
$$

(6)

Calculate $F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5$ by formula (1), (2), (3), (4).

Then by using the balance of torque available:

$$
L_1F_2 + L_3F_3 + L_5F_5 - 0.5L = 0
$$

(7)

The supporting force is equal to the gravity:

$$
F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + F_5 = 0
$$

(8)

Calculate $F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5$ by formula (5), (6).

B. The calculation principle of weight vehicle bogies bear

As shown in Figure 3: analyse the force on the car floor, and $T_1, T_2, T_3$ represent pressure on the car floor, and they are equal to $F_1, F_2, F_3$ and $N_1, N_2$ represent the vehicle bogie supporting force on the car floor. According to the principle of moment balance, calculates the vehicle bogie bearing weight, and to determine whether there is unbalanced weight.

$$
T_2 \times L_{12} + T_2 \times (L_{12} + L_{23}) = N_2 \times (L_{12} + L_{23})
$$

(9)

$$
T_3 \times L_{12} + T_3 \times (L_{12} + L_{23}) = N_2 \times (L_{12} + L_{23})
$$

(10)

III. ESTABLISH THE FINITE ELEMENT MODEL OF RAIL

A. Establish 3D entity model

Establish 3D entity model in Solidworks\(^5\). As shown in Figure 4.

B. Definition of materials and mesh

Mesh in Hypermesh, and use steel as material. The attribute data: density is 7.8g/cm\(^3\), elastic modulus 202000MPa, Poisson’s ratio of 0.3.
Considering the precision and speed of calculation, mesh on the rail section\[^6\], and the size of the grid use 10mm, and mesh on the beam element, the size of the grid use 150mm, as shown in figure 5.

Figure 5. Mesh map of rail

C. The constraints and the load sets

When calculating in Ansys, there is need to set constraints. In the rail loading scheme, fulcrum 2, 4 are cargo bogies, which need to be make full constraint. Fulcrum 1, 3, 5, 6 are the slipway, which need to be make longitudinal displacement constraint, so as to ensure that the deflection of the fulcrum is zero\[^7\].

When adding load, add gravity which is vertical downward and equal 1 gravity acceleration\[^8\].

D. Various working condition setting

As shown in Figure 1, vehicle with fulcrum 1, 2, 3 represent the front vehicle, and vehicle with fulcrum 4, 5, 6 represent the back vehicle, and the weight difference between two vehicle steerings on the same vehicle represent deviation value. Bogies on fulcrum 1, 3, 4, 6 are respectively bogie 1, bogie 2, bogie 3, bogie 4, and all working conditions are as follows:

- Working condition 1: The height of two vehicle floor is equal and rail doesn’t move.
- Working condition 2: The difference in height between two vehicle floor is 20mm and rail doesn’t move.
- Working condition 3: The height of two vehicle floor is equal and rail move 150mm.
- Working condition 4: The front vehicle’s floor is 20mm lower than the back vehicle’s floor and rail move 150mm towards fulcrum 1.
- Working condition 5: The front vehicle’s floor is 20mm lower than the back vehicle’s floor and rail move 150mm towards fulcrum 6\[^9\].

IV. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS RESULTS

Make finite element calculation in Ansys, and the calculation results are shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working condition</th>
<th>Pressure on bogie 2</th>
<th>Pressure on bogie 1</th>
<th>deviation value of front vehicle</th>
<th>Pressure on bogie 3</th>
<th>Pressure on bogie 4</th>
<th>deviation value of back vehicle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.683</td>
<td>22.694</td>
<td>6.989</td>
<td>30.324</td>
<td>22.299</td>
<td>8.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.807</td>
<td>21.904</td>
<td>7.903</td>
<td>30.254</td>
<td>23.036</td>
<td>7.218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From table 1, in various working conditions, there is unbalanced weight happening, and the weight bogie 3 bears is 30.411t in working condition 4, which is the maximum value in all working conditions, so working condition 4 is the worst working condition. The weight bogie bears is 30.193t in working condition 1, which is the minimum value in all working conditions, so working condition 1 is the best working condition. The calculation result is consistent with the actual situation\[^10\].

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper establishes the rail finite element mode and conducts simulation calculation, and the simulation results show that our 25m rail transport scheme has unbalanced weight problem, which is consistent with the actual situation. For the further study of the problem to solve the unbalanced weight problem, it can change the height and location of pivot to improve the loading scheme, and finally obtains the feasible scheme to solve the unbalanced weight problems.
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