Abstract—In this paper, we propose a finite-state markov fading channel (FMFC) model to investigate the dynamic spectrum access in fading channel cognitive radio networks. Most existing work failed to take the channel fading into consideration. Based on the important characteristic of fading for wireless channel, we consider both the idle probability of the primary user and the capacity of channel which is related to the channel fading. To obtain the better performance and acceptable complexity, we design an expected channel capacity based dynamic spectrum access strategy. Simulation results verify the improvement on throughput of the proposed approach.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Dynamic Spectrum Access (DSA) [1] has drawn great attention in recent years, since it is the promising solution to the spectrum shortage. In the cognitive radio networks (CRNs) [2], the secondary users (SUs) which have the ability to carry out spectrum sensing and dynamic spectrum accessing channel could use the idle channel which is not occupied by the primary user (PU). How to design an efficient approach for DSA is an important problem in the CRN.

Recent years, many researches paid much attention to the strategies based on the partially observable markov model (POMDP) to improve the performance of DSA. A decentralized MAC protocol based on the POMDP framework was investigated to maximize the expected throughput in [3]. The cost and the throughput gain were analyzed in [4]. In [5], a multi-channel MAC protocol based on cooperative spectrum sensing was designed. The authors analyzed the optimality and complexity, and the impacts of sensing errors in [6] and in [7] respectively. The condition where the un-slotted time frame was assumed was analyzed in [8]. Also, the channel selection problem in multi users scenario was also studied by using the game theory [9]-[11]. The channel sequentially exploring methods by using the optimal stopping approach for OSA systems were also studied in [12]-[13], where the expected performance and the cost paid were both taken into consideration, and a rational action that stopping or proceeding to observe would be made by considering the balance. The Multi-armed bandit was used in the OSA problem in [14]-[15], where a user collect the statistics of the candidate channels and then chooses one or more channels among several candidate channels when the statistics information are unknown.

However, one of the most important characteristic of wireless channels is the fading, which would bring important effect on the channel capacity. Most existing work failed to consider the channel fading in their DSA model and the access approach, which limits their application in practical scenarios.

To design an efficient DSA approach in the practical scenarios, towording the fading channel character in wireless networks, we propose a finite-state markov fading channel (FMFC) model, and design the greedy algorithm based on the POMDP, considering both the idle probability of the primary user and the capacity of channel which is related to the channel fading.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. FMFC model and the greed channel choosing algorithm is proposed in Section II. The simulation results and the discussion are presented in section III. We draw the conclusion in section IV.

II. THE FMFC MODEL AND GREED ALGORITHM

The scenario we considered is a time-varying CRN consisted by \( N \) fading PU channels. Define
\[ P(t) = [P_1(t), P_2(t), \ldots, P_N(t)] \] as the PU channel state, where \( P_i(t) \) is the state of the \( i \)-th PU channel:

\[
P_i(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{busy} \\ 0, & \text{idle} \end{cases}
\] (1)

The \( M = 2^N \) states network is depicted as a finite-state Markov fading channel (FMFC) model, the state transition probability matrix is get as follows:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
t_{00} & t_{01} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ t_{10} & t_{11} & t_{12} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & t_{21} & t_{22} & t_{23} & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & t_{k-3,k-2} & t_{k-2,k-1} \\ \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)
\]

where \( t_{k,j} \) is given by the adjacent transfer method, calculated as:

\[
t_{k,k+1} = \sigma \int_{\lambda_{k+1}}^{\lambda_k} \frac{\chi(\gamma)d\gamma}{\gamma_0} = e^{-\lambda_k/\gamma_0} - e^{-\lambda_{k+1}/\gamma_0},
\]

\( k = 0, 1, \ldots, K-2; \)

\[
u_{k,k-1} = \sigma \int_{\lambda_{k-1}}^{\lambda_k} \frac{\chi(\gamma)d\gamma}{\gamma_0} = e^{-\lambda_k/\gamma_0} - e^{-\lambda_{k-1}/\gamma_0},
\]

\( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K-1; \) \) (3)

In equation (3), \( \lambda_k = e^{\eta \beta / h} - 1, k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, K-1\} \), and \( \eta \) is the rate increment between adjacent channel quality states. \( \sigma \) is packet duration time. \( \gamma \) is the instantaneous signal-to-noise ratio, when \( \lambda_k \leq \gamma < \lambda_{k+1} \), the data rate on this fading channel would be:

\[
\lambda_2 = B \ln(1 + \lambda_e)
\] (4)

We adopt typical multipath propagation for the fading channel, and the \( \gamma \) would be exponential distribution, i.e., the probability density function is as follow:

\[
\chi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma_0} e^{-\gamma/\gamma_0}
\]

where \( \gamma_0 \) is the average value of signal-to-noise ratio.

The proposed greedy algorithm is based on POMDP [3], because the SUs can usually only observe a part of the system state limited by the hardware capability and energy constrain. Although the quality of channel would not be observed when the channel is busy, it is still existing and changing over time in fact. We define the channel state information as \( S = \{ q_0, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{K-1} \} \), where \( q_k \) denotes the channel is occupied by PU and the quality state cannot be observed, while \( q_{i,k} \) denotes that the channel is idle and the capacity state is \( k \). The transition probability is given by:

\[
\Pr[\square_{n+1} = q_{s_2,i} | \square_n = q_{s_1,k}] = t_{s_1,k,s_2}
\]

\[
(s_1, s_2) \in \{ b, i \}, (k, l) \in \{ 0, 1, \ldots, K-1 \}, \quad (5)
\]

Where

\[
t_{s_1,k,s_2} = \begin{cases} q_{b,i} u_{k+1} & s_1 = s_2 = b \\ q_{b,i} u_{k+1} & s_1 = b, s_2 = i \\ q_{b,i} u_{k+1} & s_1 = i, s_2 = b \\ q_{b,i} u_{k+1} & s_1 = s_2 = i \\ q_{b,i} u_{k+1} & s_1 = i, s_2 = b \\ \end{cases}
\] \) (6)

This following matrix (7) shows the transition probabilities that the channel state changes from one to another.

The SUs do the following two actions at the beginning of slot: determining a channel to sense and then making the decision whether to access this channel according to the sensing result. Denote the action as \( m(t) \), and
denote $R_i(\Omega(t),\nu_j(t))$ as the received reward after implementing the action, where $R(t), \nu_j(t)$ are the belief vector and the quality vector respectively. In the proposed greedy algorithm, in order to reduce the complexity, we focuses the immediate reward. When channel quality state is $\hat{k}$, the data rate $\lambda_k = B \ln(1 + \Lambda_k)$. If the SU select channel $a$ in slot $t$, it will obtain the expected reward as follows:

$$\Theta_a(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \ell_k(t) \beta_k^a \lambda_k \sigma$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \ell_k(t) \beta_k^a B \ln(1 + \Lambda_k) \sigma$$

where $\ell_k(t)$ is the conditional probability that channel is idle and $\beta_k^a(t)$ is its quality state is $k$. The optimal channel $m^*(t)$ is selected to maximize the expected immediate reward:

$$m^*(t) = \arg \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,N_i} \Theta_i(t)$$

In order to maximize the total expected reward:

$$\mathcal{Z}^* = \arg \max_{\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{Z}} E_\mathbf{Z} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \Theta_{g(t)}(t)R(t), \nu(t) \right]$$

We make the channel choosing decision according to the strategy policies:

$$\mathcal{Z} = [\xi(1), \xi(2), \ldots, \xi(t), \ldots, \xi(T)]$$

where $\phi^*(t)$ is given by:

$$\xi^*(t) = \arg \max_{\mathcal{Z}} E_\mathbf{Z} \left[ \Theta_{g(t)}(t)\right]R(t), \nu(t)$$

$$t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$$

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We verify the proposed approach by the MATLAB simulation. Without the generality, the system is set up as follows: the number of channels is 5, and the independent

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix}
t_{00}u_{00} & t_{00}u_{01} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
t_{10}u_{00} & t_{10}u_{01} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
t_{00}u_{10} & t_{00}u_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
t_{10}u_{10} & t_{10}u_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & t_{00}u_{21} & t_{00}u_{22} & t_{00}u_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & t_{10}u_{21} & t_{10}u_{22} & t_{10}u_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{00}u_{K-2,k-3} & \cdots & t_{00}u_{K-2,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{10}u_{K-2,k-3} & \cdots & t_{10}u_{K-2,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{00}u_{K-1,k-2} & \cdots & t_{00}u_{K-1,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{10}u_{K-1,k-2} & \cdots & t_{10}u_{K-1,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{00}u_{K-2,k-2} & \cdots & t_{00}u_{K-2,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{10}u_{K-2,k-2} & \cdots & t_{10}u_{K-2,k-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{00}u_{K-1,k-2} & \cdots & t_{00}u_{K-1,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{10}u_{K-1,k-2} & \cdots & t_{10}u_{K-1,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{00}u_{K-2,k-1} & \cdots & t_{00}u_{K-2,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{10}u_{K-2,k-1} & \cdots & t_{10}u_{K-2,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{00}u_{K-1,k-1} & \cdots & t_{00}u_{K-1,k-1} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & t_{10}u_{K-1,k-1} & \cdots & t_{10}u_{K-1,k-1} 
\end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 3. The proposed approach vs the idle-probability oriented approach in [3].

Markov states is 8. The channel bandwidth is 1MHz, the average SNR is 10 dB, the doppler frequency is 0.033, and the packet duration time is $\sigma = 10ms$. We show the simulation result when three different parameters are set respectively, i.e., we set the $t_{00} = 0.55$, $t_{10} = 0.45$; $t_{00} = 0.7$, $t_{10} = 0.3; t_{00} = 0.3, t_{10} = 0.7$ to simulate three typical scenarios.

The simulation results are shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen in Fig. 3 that the proposed approach outperforms the idle-probability oriented approach in [3], which is the typical method in existing work. In the idle-probability oriented approach, the channel choosing decision is depend on the information of channel idle probability in the next slots, the channel capacity variation caused by the fading was neglected. While in the proposed
IV. CONCLUSIONS

This paper formulated a finite-state markov fading channel (FMFC) model for the dynamic spectrum access decision in fading channel environment. In most existing work, the channel fading, which is an important characteristic of wireless channel, was not taken into consideration in the process of channel selection process based on the traditional POMPD approach. The main motivation was that the channel choosing should take the channel fading into consideration in the wireless networks. In the proposed channel choose approach, we considered both the channel idle probability and the achievable data rate on the channel which is determined by the fading. Simulation results showed that the proposed approach could achieve better performance compared with the traditional POMPD based idle-oriented channel selection approach in existing work.
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