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Abstract—Complicated minerals, high total organic carbon (TOC) content, and thin interbeded layers aggravated the complexity of logging responses and made it very difficult to find the target zone of the tight oil reservoir in the Jimusaer depression in northwestern China. Horizontal well drilling is extremely difficult in the formation due to high heterogeneity and uncertain fault, it caused hundreds of millions of dollars’ loss to drill one horizontal well without targeting the zone. To maximize oil production with low cost, an integrated solution is provided. First, we used an unconventional evaluation approach to integrate an elemental capture spectroscopy log with other logs to get accurate reservoir characteristics. Second, we built a 3D structural model to target the best reservoir. Third, we combined Reservoir Quality (RQ), Completion Quality (CQ), and 3D structural models to optimize the stimulation design and enhance production. With this approach, which integrates the RQ and CQ parameters into the 3D structural model, not only can we evaluate the effective porosity, saturation, minerals, fractures, TOC, and stress profile along the wellbore, but also can get a clear picture of these properties in 3D distribution so that multistage hydraulic fracturing design can be made more effectively and at lower cost. Application of this approach on several wells proved that it is an effective way for tight oil reservoir evaluation and production enhancement.
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I. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of the world economy, the exploration and development of unconventional oil and gas resources have become a new hot frontier in China. As an important subset of unconventional reservoirs, low-permeability tight oil reservoirs have aroused general interest. The study area is located in Junggar basin in northwestern China. The target formation is the Lucaogou formation of Permain age. Based on regional study, two high-quality pay zones are identified, named “Upper sweet spot” and “Lower sweet spot.” But both of the sweet spots are characterized by complex lithology and low permeability [1,2].

Natural fractures are very important for tight oil reservoirs to improve permeability. However, only a few fractures are identified in the pilot well sweet spot zone from images. To increase the oil production, the operator had to drill horizontal wells and conduct multistage stimulation with the objective of increasing reservoir contact to improve the production [3]. However, although they had attempted both of these, few wells got good production performance.

Complex lithology and high heterogeneity, including quartz, albite, potassium feldspar, calcite, dolomite, and kerogen content, aggravated the complexity of logging responses and made it very difficult to target and evaluate the zone of interest. Besides that, there is a common misunderstanding that regardless of where the borehole is positioned within the structure, as long as the borehole is located within or close to the target reservoir, hydraulic stimulation can connect the well to the reservoir and the well will produce efficiently. Heterogeneity of properties along horizontal wells has a significant impact on the quality of completion as well as on production from each stage [4]. This heterogeneity can be seen in the quality of the reservoir, such as changes in kerogen content and maturity, free porosity, and water saturation, and in factors that directly affect the course of induced fracturing in the well. These factors can be faults, natural fractures, stress-regime changes, etc [5].

Our study provided an innovative approach for tight oil reservoir development, from reservoir evaluation for the pilot well to optimization of horizontal well drilling and stimulation. First, in the pilot well, we integrated an elemental capture spectroscopy log with a high-resolution...
resistivity image log, magnetic resonance log, dipole sonic data, and conventional logs to get accurate lithology, TOC, porosity, oil saturation and stress profile with an unconventional evaluation approach. Second, we conducted an accurate well correlation and built a 3D structural model with new technology based on resistivity image data and conventional logs to target the best reservoir as much as possible when drilling the horizontal well. Third, we combined Reservoir Quality (RQ), Completion Quality (CQ), and 3D structural models to optimize the stimulation design and enhance the oil production.

II. CONVENTIONAL UNCONVENTIONAL EVALUATION FOR PILOT WELL

For unconventional evaluation, the parameters can be classified into two unique classes, RQ and CQ. Variables included in the class of reservoir quality usually have to do with the intrinsic quality of the rock, such as preserved and converted organic components, free porosity distribution, pore space structure, hydrocarbon versus water saturation in the pore spaces, adsorbed volume components of gas, and lithological makeup.

Properties grouped under completion quality (Fig.1) are those which will have an effect on production even if the reservoir quality remains the same. Natural fractures, faults, changes in stress state of the rock, completion design and type, and even quality of cement can be included in this category.

Because of the heterogeneity of the reservoir, an advanced acquisition program is highly recommended. From X-ray diffraction and local experience, minerals and their volumes can be solved in ELAN using ECS and HNGS data. And then we can calculate TOC content through different direct methods. In unconventional reservoirs, combinable magnetic resonance can be a perfect indicator for porosity and pore structure, and formation microimaging (FMI) combined with SonicScanner data can be used for fracture identification and evaluation. SonicScanner data can be used for geomechanical analysis, which has been covered by many papers. After all these steps, RQ can be evaluated by combing kerogen, effective porosity, saturation, mineral content, and fractures. And CQ can be evaluated from geomechanical analysis.

However, for horizontal wells, beside the conventional RQ and CQ evaluation, how these parameters are distributed in the 3D area also becomes very important, which is why we bring the 3D structure modeling into the unconventional evaluation.

III. 3D STRUCTURE MODELING

For horizontal well correlation and structure analysis, we developed a new workflow using Schlumberger’s Petrel and eXpandBG structural modeling software with image and conventional logs (Fig.2).

First, dips were picked from FMI images. FMI images play a very important part in horizontal well correlation and structure analysis; they can not only indicate the presence of a formation, but can also show the relationship between the well trajectory and the formation. If the bedding emoticon shows a sad face, we know the well is drilling down the formation; if the bedding shows a smiling face, then we know the well is drilling up the formation, so we can correlate the logs up and down and can get a more accurate correlation.

![Figure 1. Unconventional Evaluation Workflow for Tight Oil Reservoir](image1)

When we do the true stratigraphic thickness (TST) computation, we can check our correlation result. The TST of the bottom line is zero, and the layers should appear at more or less the same level on the TST and FMI images. After we project dips from a pilot well and horizontal well dips picked from FMI, we get the structural modelling. It shows the structure, the relationship of the well, and the formation in 3D.

![Figure 2. Structure model with eXpandBG](image2)

Figure 3 shows another case illustrating the importance of the well correlation and structure analysis. Two sweet spots are identified in the pilot well. Obviously, the lower sweet spot is much better than the upper sweet spot. So the operator decided to drill a horizontal well targeting the lower sweet spot. However, although they drilled 400 m of the horizontal section, they didn’t find the lower sweet spot. They thought they had already passed it, so they decided to discard the first horizontal section and drilled another
horizontal section of 1000 m above the first section. Unluckily, the same thing happened: they didn’t find the lower sweet spot.

By using eXpandBG, we analyzed why they failed to target the lower sweet spot zone. After we checked the FMI images, we found fault zones in both the vertical and the horizontal well. Then we did a well correlation and found a repeated interval in the horizontal well, which proved that there was a fault just between the horizontal section and the vertical well. Then we did TST computation and found that the fault throw is about 170 m. After that, we did multi-well dip projection and structural modeling. The results show a high-angle normal fault located between the vertical well and the horizontal well, and the target reservoir is still about 120 m below it.

Fig .4 shows another successful case where we helped the operator to target the reservoir. Based on the vertical well, the operator decided to drill horizontal wells in the upper sweet spot (No.8 to No.11, 28 m). First, they drilled the sidetracking well and asked us to do the analysis and give them advice on next drilling direction. Based on our study, the best reservoir (No.8) is about 7 m higher, so we suggested the operator drill up. They accepted our advice and finally drilled back to the sweet spot.

![Figure 4. Case study of one successful well using eXpandBG](image)

IV. FRACTURE EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS

With effective stimulation techniques, horizontal wells have demonstrated favorable economics compared to vertical wells in the same reservoir because they create a much larger hydraulic fracture surface area. To achieve optimum horizontal well stimulation, the lateral section must be characterized and the perforation placement customized to account for the changes in RQ and CQ along the borehole.

Fractures play an important role in RQ and CQ evaluation. Here we provided four steps for fracture evaluation, including fracture identification, occurrence statistics, quantitative evaluation, and multiwell analysis (Fig .5). After single-well interpretation; we did multiwell analysis to find the fracture characteristics in this area. We found that the fractures propagate differently between the vertical and horizontal wells: In 3 vertical wells, the fractures propagated in many directions with low angles; in 5 horizontal wells, the fractures propagated in only one direction with high angles.

Second, fracture density in horizontal wells is much higher than in vertical wells. Because this area only developed high-angle fractures, the vertical well cannot cut through many of them.

Third, by combining the natural fractures and in-situ stress direction, we suggested the drilling direction: from northeast to southwest. In this direction, the wells can cut a lot of nature fractures, the wellbore will be more stable, and hydraulic fractures are more likely to stay open.

V. STIMULATION DESIGN OPTIMIZATION

How to optimize the stimulation design has been elaborated in many papers [6–11]. Before, the stimulation design was based on the lithology and porosity along the horizontal well and information from offset wells. But now, based on previous study, we combined them with the RQ and CQ parameters from petrophysicists and geomechanical experts to provide a better solution for stimulation design (Fig .6).

First, we projected the RQ and CQ properties to the wellbore, and we could easily tell how the RQ and CQ vary.

Then we combined the RQ and CQ with the 3D property model, which showed how the reservoir develops away from the wellbore.

All this information was taken into account by the stimulation engineer to optimize the stimulation design.

This workflow has been applied to all the horizontal wells of the study area. The productivity performance is better than before.

![Figure 6. Workflow for stimulation design optimization](image)
VI. CONCLUSIONS

Base on the integrated study of the tight oil reservoir in Jimusaer Depression, the following statements are concluded.

Reservoir Quality and Completion Quality do vary significantly along horizontal tight oil wells in the Jimusaer depression. The effects of changes in these properties may demonstrate themselves in the form of changes in production.

By combining these with the 3D property model, not only can we tell the RQ and CQ variations along the wellbore, but we also can tell how the properties develop away from the wellbore. All this information can be taken into account by the stimulation engineer to optimize the stimulation design.

Additional measurements along the lateral facilitate the assessment and understanding of individual well performance. At the same time, they provide a foundation for operators to compare production results between nearby wells on the same basis. While evaluating horizontal well completion and stimulation design changes, differences due to well positioning in relation to the geological target, reservoir property changes, hydrocarbon saturation disparity, and geomechanical property variations can be incorporated. This information accelerates the learning curve and saves on completion costs while attaining more efficient and consistent results.
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