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Abstract—Polymer flooding has already been the preferred development mode for the efficient development in offshore, aim at the conditions of the reservoirs in offshore, this paper has used two-dimensional physical model to conduct three tube parallel experiments to study the displacement efficiency of water flooding and polymer flooding, used real-time monitoring system of saturation to monitor the conditions of the use and swept for the heterogeneous reservoirs. The results showed that when the composite water cut reached 95% during the water flooding, from the overall perspective, the recovery efficiency was 26.5%, the swept efficiency was 48%, the oil displacement efficiency was 55.2%; the polymer was injected when the water cut was 70% during the stages of water flooding, from the overall perspective, the recovery efficiency was 36.2%, the sweep efficiency was 65%, the oil displacement efficiency was 59.4%. It could be seen from the curve of shunt index that the shunt index for the middle permeability layer during the stage of polymer flooding increased apparently, which turned out that the efficiency of expanding swept volume is better than the efficiency of enhancing displacement efficiency during the stage of polymer flooding.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The sustained growth of the offshore oil production has become an important part of oil production capacity to replace, it is imperative to develop the enhanced oil recovery technology for offshore reservoirs [1]. The chemical flooding technology has developed gradually towards the offshore reservoirs with the high risk whose reservoir conditions are complex, whose injection conditions are extremely hard [2-3]. Because of the complex reservoir conditions, high temperature, hypersalinity, high viscosity of crude oil and high expense cost of conducting chemical flooding in the offshore reservoirs [4-5], the practices of polymer flooding in land oilfield [6-8] show that the mechanical capture, the chemisorption and the function of retention of the polymer molecule during the process of the seepage for pore medium in the reservoirs can lead to that the permeability of the pore medium decreases, the flow resistance increases, and the higher resistance coefficient and residual resistance factor could be established in the high permeability layers, consequently, the oil recovery could be enhanced [9-10]. Polymer flooding as the most potential chemical flooding technology has been the preferred way of displacement after water flooding. Aim at the reservoir conditions and exploitation situation of typical block in offshore reservoirs, this paper used heterogeneous physical model which contained three different thickness layers to conduct the parallel physical simulation experiments, conducted comparison of displacement efficiency between water flooding and polymer flooding, and studied the dynamic seepage rules.

II. THE SECTION OF EXPERIMENTS

A. Experimental Conditions and Schemes

The experimental water is the simulated formation water of offshore oilfield whose salinity is 9374 mg/l; the experimental oil is the simulation oil which is mixed with crude oil and kerosene oil and whose viscosity is 70mPa•s when the temperature is 65 °C; the physical models which are used in the experiments are heterogeneous cores whose saturation can be monitored and which are cemented by quartz sand and epoxy resin, whose specification is 300 mm × 300mm × 30mm and the electrodes are laid in the different permeable layers and permeability of each layer is respectively 4800×10-3μm2, 2200×10-3μm2, 500×10-3μm2; the polymer which is used in the experiments in the stage of polymer flooding is AP-P4 hydrophobic associative polymer whose concentration is 2000 mg/l and the viscosity is 42 mPa•s; The experiments are conducted under the temperature condition of 65 °C; the speed of injection in the experiments is 3 ml/min. The experiments adopt the form of parallel and the experimental sketch map is as shown in Figure 1.The real-time monitoring system of saturation can monitor the conditions of the oil saturation for every monitoring point, the condition of swept and use...
are judged by whether the saturation values of the monitoring points change or not in the experiments. There are two experiments, one (Scheme1) is that water flooding is conducted until the water cut is 95%, the other (Scheme2) is that when the water cut is 70% during the water flooding, polymer flooding are conducted, and the injection of polymer is 0.3 times of the pore volume injected, and then further water flooding is conducted until the water cut is 95%.

Figure the sketch map of parallel displacement experiments

**B. Experiment Process**
1. Evacuate the cores, saturate water for the cores, then saturate oil for the cores saturated water;
2. Weld for the eletrodes;
3. Conduct the displacement experiments according to the experiment schemes, and record liquid producing capacity, water production and the pressure at every time quantum, and acquire the values of resistances.

**III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

**A. Experimental Results and Analysis or Every Stages**

The experimental results and analysis for every stage is as shown as Table I.

**TABLE I. THE DEGREES OF RESERVE RECOVERY IN THE DIFFERENT DISPLACEMENT STAGES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Scheme 1</th>
<th>Scheme 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swept Efficiency (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall sweeping efficiency (%)</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement efficiency (%)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low permeability layer</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recovery efficiency (%)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle permeability layer</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recovery efficiency (%)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High permeability layer</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recovery efficiency (%)</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II. RESULTS OF SWEEP EFFICIENCY AND OIL DISPLACEMENT EFFICIENCY WITH DIFFERENT SCHEME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Scheme 1</th>
<th>Scheme 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall efficient recovery (%)</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement efficiency (%)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low permeability layer</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recovery efficiency (%)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle permeability layer</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recovery efficiency (%)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High permeability layer</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall recovery efficiency (%)</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from the Table I that the overall recovery efficiency of the model for the Scheme 1 is 26.5%, when the water cut is from 70% to 95% during the water flooding, the low permeability layer hasn’t been employed, the degrees of reserve recovery of middle permeability layer is 1.6%, and high permeability layer’s is 16.6%. The overall recovery efficiency of the model for the Scheme 1 is 36.2%, from the beginning of polymer flooding to the end of further water flooding, the degrees of reserve recovery of high permeability layer is 8.6%, low permeability layer’s is 5.7%, and middle permeability layer’s is 14.6%, the overall recovery efficiency of the model has increased by 9.7%, so it mainly enhances the degrees of reserve recovery of middle permeability layer during the stage of polymer flooding.

**The dynamic recovery curves contain the stage of water flooding and the stage of polymer flooding.**

The displacement situation can be seen from calculating and statistics for every scheme as shown as Table 2, it can be seen from the swept efficiency of every layer that the high permeability layer is mainly employed during the stage of water flooding, and the swept efficiency are high which is 0.901%. In the Scheme 2, the swept efficiency of the low, middle, and high permeability layers are increasing based on the water flooding at the end of displacement, but because the high permeability layer has already been employed on the whole at the stage of water flooding, its swept efficiency only enhances by 0.4%; and the middle and low permeability layers’ enhance by 20%, this experiment result shows that the polymer mainly enlarges the swept efficiency of middle and low permeability layers, and enhances the displacement efficiency for the high permeability layer.

**B. The Dynamic Recovery Curve of Every Scheme and Analysis.**

The dynamic recovery curves contain the stage of water flooding and the stage of polymer flooding.

1. The dynamic recovery curve of every scheme and analysis for the water flooding stage which is as shown as Figure II.
It can be seen from Figure V that polymer starts to be injected when the composite water cut reaches at 70%, the pressure starts to increase after the polymer is injected, but composite water cut increases first and then decreases, the hysteresis effect of the polymer has appeared. The pressure begins to increase with the injection of polymer, the shunt ratio of high permeability layer starts to decrease, but middle and low’s increase gradually, and the rising trend of low permeability layer is more apparent than water flooding. During the stage of further water flooding, the pressure starts to appear downtrend, it decreases quickly after the mainstream channel of high permeability is opened completely, and it fluctuates stably around a certain value, at the moment, the shunt ratio of high permeability layer has reached at above 90% and the middle and low’s are very small, and middle and low permeability layers can’t continue to be exploited because the liquid entering into is rarely.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

1. The overall swept efficiency is 48% when the total water cut is 95% during the water flooding, polymer starts to be injected when the water cut reaches at 70%, and overall swept efficiency is 65%, the shunt ratio of middle permeability layer increases apparently during the polymer flooding, which turns out that the efficiency of enlarging swept volume for polymer is apparent.

2. The overall recovery efficiency is 26.5% when the total water cut is 95% during the water flooding, polymer starts to be injected when the water cut reaches at 70%, and overall recovery efficiency is 36.2%, which turns out that polymer injected whose volume is 0.3 times than pore volume can enhance the overall recovery efficiency by 9.7%, and the displacement efficiency of polymer flooding is apparent.
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