

Evaluating the Independent Intellectual Property base on Multiple Goals Decision-Making Method Model for Mechanical and Electrical Enterprises in Jilin Province

Jing Kang 1 Na Wang 1,2 Fuxia Wei 1

1Changchun University of Science and Technology

2Department of business, Jilin University

Abstract

This article introduced Multiple Goals Decision- Making Method applying in the analysis of intellectual property of Jilin Province. First it was established evaluation index system of intellectual property, made the appropriate assignment to the influencing goals of the intellectual property by using expert evaluation then evaluated the current situation of intellectual property in Jilin Province through Multiple Goals Decision- Making Method .

Keywords : intellectual property index system evaluation model Multiple Goals Decision-Making Method

1 Introduction

Intellectual property was intangible property right, which involved intellectual laborers who taking apart in the creation of intelligence and the right which according to law of intellectual fruits. As different country had different legal provisions, the concept of intellectual property rights of different county varied, the author prefer the definition: intellectual property meant special rights for all the people in the activity of commercial operation after their completion of creative intellectual fruits in the fields of science, technology, culture, arts and industrial or commercial areas. Evaluation of intellectual property was an important link for fastening transformation of scientific

and technological achievements meanwhile directly affected economic interests and enthusiasm of two property rights transactions sides. As evaluation of intellectual property started relatively late in China and no mature evaluation system. The evaluate methods which adopted at present were costing method, income method, market method or different combination of these three methods. These evaluate methods had fatal weakness that evaluation of intellectual property was restricted with personal level, the knowledge structure, a sense of responsibility and other human factors. Multiple Goals Decision-Making Method was a new method to evaluate intellectual property and its results was fair and resonable.

2 General principle of Multiple Goals Decision-Making Method

Multiple Goals Decision-Making Method considered multiple goals in fuzzy environment to making decision.

Given two limited sets:

$$U = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\};$$

$$V = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$$

U represents multiple goal set; V represents differernt evaluation of decision , evaluation set. Ganerally, different goals in goal set have differernt effec on evalutation, A represent weight

distribution of goals:

$$A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in F(U)$$

a_i represent weight of i-goal which satisfies:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$$

In addtion, m-evaluations are not absolutely affirmation or negation, B is fuzzy set of V :

$$B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in F(V) \quad b_j$$

represent the weight of j-evaluation in total

Given fuzzy relation $R = (r_{ij})_{n \times m}$, then we can get fuzzy transformation T_R, A mathematical model of comprehensive fuzzy evaluation:

a.goal set $U = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$

b.evaluation set $V = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$

c.fuzzy transformation:

$$T_R: F(U) \rightarrow F(V)$$

$$A \mid \rightarrow A \bullet R$$

In which R represents fuzzy related matrix, $R = (r_{ij})_{n \times m}$, then U, V, R constuct mathematical model of comprehensive fuzzy evaluation. Given $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in F(U)$, we can get a comprehensive evalutaion:

$$B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in F(V),$$

That is: $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1m} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & r_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$$

r_{ij} is fitting degree of m-evaluation and parameters needed for comprehensive evaluation.

3 Multiple Goals Decision- Making Method of mechanical and electrical enterprises

In order to use Multiple Goals Decision-Making Method apply to mechanical and electrical enterprises in Jilin province we should construct model on the base of Multiple Goals Decision-Making Method. First, used general principles of evaluation intellectual property formulate index system, and then setted goal set, evaluation set and goal weight form the piont of experts, drew evaluation of experts. Through rearrangement and calculation of the evaluation from experts we could get evaluation matrix.

The evaluation process as shown:

a. determine evaluation set (U)

$$U=(X_1, X_2, \cdots X_n)$$

We should consider all the goals which affect the price of intellectual property. There were different content of different intellectual property, the goals which influenced price were different, so we should determine different evaluation set

for different intellectual property. Table 1 gave us index system in the evaluation process of intellectual property in Jilin.

b. evaluation set and its score (W)

$$V=(y_1, y_2, \cdots y_m)$$

Evaluation set was the rank of total evaluation conclusions made by relative experts. Evaluation set could not be set too many, nor too little, too many was difficult for expert to master standards and less was not conducive to distinguish between the good and the bad. As the ultimate evaluate subject was U, its evaluation set was:

$$V=(\text{higher, high, average, poor poorer})$$

Determined its realtive scores:

$$W=(90,80,65,50,30)$$

c. detemined singel goal evaluation matrix

Evaluation matrix could be drawn by expert interview and Delphi method. Firs, made certain of experts, and divided them into n groups, gave experts questionnaire about each goal. The evaluation of goals, high or low, made a conclusion proportion of experts by average- r_{ij} . For the other goals used the same method. For example, j-goals, solicit the views of 100 experts in which 60 experts belived that was reasonable, 20 experts belived that was lower and 20 experts belived that was higher, then the results of j-goals were $R_j=(0 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.6 \quad 0.2 \quad 0)$. Comprehensive finishing solicit views of all goals we could conclude

$$R=(r_{ij})_{n \times m} .$$

d. determined weight set(A)

$$A=(a_1, a_2, \dots a_n)$$

Weight set represented the important degree of each goal.

e. comprehensive fuzzy evaluated

$$B=A \times R=(b_1, b_2, \dots b_m)$$

Fuzzy operated weight set A multiplied by evaluation matrix R, we could get comprehensive evaluation matrix B.

f. calculated scores

Comprehensive evaluation matrix B multiplied by scores matrix, we could get comprehensive situation scores of intellectual property U:

$$U = W \cdot B^T$$

Through the above operation, we could get comprehensive situation scores of intellectual property in Jilin.

4 Comprehensive situation fuzzy analysis index system for mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin

In order to enhance the objectivity and practicality of intellectual property evaluation, on the base of scientific principle, advanced and applicability principle, cost-effective and reliable principle, security and confidentiality principle, supply and demand principle, highest and best principle, expectations

principle, relevant principles and environmental change principle, this paper established comprehensive situation fuzzy analysis index system for mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin, abide by the following evaluation of principles: principle of combining mathematical analysis and mechanism analysis, principle of combining state evaluation and trend evaluation and principle of combining ability evaluation and capacity-building measures designed.

Comprehensive situation of mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin should be evaluated from the point of independent innovation ability, independent intellectual property evaluation and management performance of independent intellectual property, namely $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\} = \{$ independent innovation ability, independent intellectual property evaluation, management performance of independent intellectual property}; first grade indexes independent innovation ability had four second grade indexes: technological innovation resources ability, technological innovation operation ability, technological innovation environmental resistance and technological innovation new output value, namely $u_1 = \{ u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14} \} = \{$ technological innovation resources ability,

technological innovation operation ability, technological innovation environmental resistance, technological innovation new output value}; first grade indexes independent intellectual property evaluation had three second grade indexes: input-output ratio, technical degree and economic benefit, namely $u_2 = \{u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}\} = \{\text{input-output ratio, technical degree, economic benefit}\}$; first grade indexes management performance of independent intellectual property had five second grade indexes: intellectual property strategy, information system of intellectual property, the development and operation of intellectual property, intellectual property protection and intellectual property management, namely $u_3 = \{u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}, u_{35}\} = \{\text{intellectual property strategy, information system of intellectual property, the development and operation of intellectual property, intellectual property protection, intellectual property management}\}$, as the table 1 shown:

Table 1 comprehensive situation of mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin

| | first grade | weight | second grade | weight |
|-----|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| U | u_1 | 0.4 | u_{11} | 0.3 |
| | | | u_{12} | 0.2 |
| | | | u_{13} | 0.2 |
| | | | u_{14} | 0.3 |
| | u_2 | 0.4 | u_{21} | 0.4 |
| | | | u_{22} | 0.4 |
| | | | u_{23} | 0.2 |

| | | | |
|-------|-----|----------|-----|
| u_3 | 0.2 | u_{31} | 0.1 |
| | | u_{32} | 0.3 |
| | | u_{33} | 0.2 |
| | | u_{34} | 0.3 |
| | | u_{35} | 0.1 |

5 Comprehensive situation fuzzy analysis evaluation for mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin

We adopted the method of fuzzy analysis to evaluate mechanical and electrical enterprises independent intellectual property in Jilin. We had retained 10 groups of experts as our evaluation groups to evaluate mechanical and electrical enterprises independent intellectual property in Jilin, evaluative steps as follow:

a. given goal set: $U = \{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$,

U_i represent goals, $i=1,2,3,4,5$;
 $U_1 = \{u_{11}, u_{12}, u_{13}, u_{14}\}$,
 $U_2 = \{u_{21}, u_{22}, u_{23}\}$,
 $U_3 = \{u_{31}, u_{32}, u_{33}, u_{34}, u_{35}\}$, as table 1 shown.

b. evaluation set and its score:

$V = \{V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5\}$, V_j represent evaluation results, $j=1,2,\dots,5$, as table 2 shown:

| Rank V | evaluation | scores W |
|--------|------------|----------|
| V_1 | higher | 90 |
| V_2 | high | 80 |
| V_3 | average | 65 |

| | | |
|----------------|--------|----|
| V ₄ | poor | 50 |
| V ₅ | poorer | 30 |

Table2 evaluation set and its score

c.determined singel goal evaluation

matrix $R_i = \{ r_{ij} \}$

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

d. determined weight set(A)

$$A_1 = U_1 = (0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.3)$$

$$A_2 = U_2 = (0.4, 0.4, 0.2)$$

$$A_3 = U_3 = (0.1, 0.3, 0.2, 0.3, 0.1)$$

comprehensive fuzzy evaluation and its index

$$B_1 = A_1 \times R_1 = (0.21, 0.13, 0.21, 0.22, 0.23)$$

$$B_2 = A_2 \times R_2 = (0.22, 0.2, 0.26, 0.2, 0.12)$$

$$B_3 = A_3 \times R_3 = (0.27, 0.15, 0.17, 0.24, 0.17)$$

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

As $U = \{ u_1, u_2, u_3 \}$ singal goal

evaluation matrix,its weight distribution was:

$$A = (0.4, 0.4, 0.2)$$

e.second comprehensive fuzzy evaluation

$$B = A \times R = (0.4 \ 0.4 \ 0.2) \times$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.21 & 0.13 & 0.21 & 0.22 & 0.23 \\ 0.22 & 0.2 & 0.26 & 0.2 & 0.12 \\ 0.27 & 0.15 & 0.17 & 0.24 & 0.17 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (0.226, 0.162, 0.222, 0.216, 0.174)$$

f. evaluate mechanical and electrical enterprises independent intellectual property in Jilin U,

$$U = W \cdot B^T = [90, 80, 65, 50, 30] \cdot$$

$$[0.226, 0.162, 0.222, 0.216, 0.174]^T$$

$$= 63.75$$

From the above calculation results known, comprehensive situation of mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin belongs to V₃, we could conclude comprehensive situation of mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin was general. In order to enforce the situation of mechanical and electrical enterprises intellectual property in Jilin, improve innovation ability of mechanical and electrical enterprises in Jilin and enhance the competitive ability of mechanical and electrical enterprises in

Jilin, we should take measures from the aspects of independent innovation ability, independent intellectual property evaluation and management performance of independent intellectual property.

6 Reference

- [1]Cheng Shuyan, Wu Mingzan. The application of Multiple Goals Decision- Making Method in evaluation of enterprise rescouces [M].Operation reseach and management, 2002, (6): 101-105
- [2]Yu Shulian. intangible assets appraisal[M]. Beijing: Publishing House of University of International Business and Economics,2003
- [3]Han Zheng zhong, Fang Ninsheng. Fuzzy mathematical application [M]. Nanjing: Publishing House of southeast university,1993
- [4]Changyu, Liu Xiandong. The application of multiple goals and fuzzy evaluation in enterprise technology innovation[J]. the Science and Technology Progress,2002(9):25-27
- [5]Jiang Qiu, Wang Nin. Intellectual property evaluation based on Goals Decision- Making Method [D].thechnology and innovation management, 2005, (6):73-76