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Abstract. Constituent elements of master of engineering education quality evaluation system, is analyzed from aspects such as assurance of master of engineering dominant position, training conditions, supervisor team, management, four first-class indexes including education basis, management link, process control, education result; and its corresponding second-class index. Meanwhile master of engineering education quality evaluation system is constructed with second-class index decomposed into specific third-class index. The scoring of third-class index education basis evaluation is taken as an example to show the specific scoring for each item. As to master of engineering education quality evaluation index system, the practice result, weights parameter to be extended and improved, and others are described as reference for master of engineering education quality evaluation.

Analysis of Constituent Elements about Master of Engineering Education Quality Evaluation System

Master of engineering education quality results from factors like society, students, supervisors, management, and construction of training conditions. Their impacts should be analyzed comprehensively in the master of engineering education quality evaluation[1].

Protecting Master of Engineering Dominant Position Is the Basis of the Education Quality Assurance. The quality of student pool is an important basis and premise of quality of education. However the structure of student pool, quantity and quality for masters of engineering are to be improved. Universities and enterprises should adhere to the people-oriented concept, and provide better environment and conditions of education and completely respect postgraduates’ rights[2].

Excellent Education Conditions Is the Premise to Assure the Master of Engineering Quality. Master of engineering education needs support from the project with engineering background. With good training conditions, masters of engineering can perform practical service, and create some valuable results so as to completely improve the master of engineering education quality[3].

Supervisors are the Key to Master of Engineering Education Quality Assurance. It should be pointed out interdisciplinary or cross-school supervisors should be encouraged to instruct postgraduates. Integrated with engineering project, supervisors’ collaboration and instruction can motivate themselves to improve their professional levels and focus on education quality of postgraduates[4].

anonymous review, and system of tutor avoidance should be adhered to consistently[5]. When they are strict with postgraduates, supervisors are required to set examples at the same time.

**Construction of Master of Engineering Postgraduate Education Quality Evaluation System**

Master of engineering postgraduate education is a systematic project. By analytic hierarchy process in this paper, the postgraduate education quality is decomposed into four first-class index such as education basis (B), management link (C), process control (D) and the education result (E). Weight coefficients are respectively 0.2, 0.1, 0.3, 0.4. The first-level index is divided into 16 second-class index[6]. The lay-out of postgraduate education quality evaluation is shown in Figure 1. The second-class index postgraduate education quality evaluation decomposition is demonstrated in Table 1.

---

**Figure 1. The Lay-out of Master of Engineering Education Evaluation System**

Education Basis (B) is specifically evaluated from four aspects: Student Basis (B1), Supervisor Team (B2), Subject Choice (B3) and Education Condition (B4), of which weights are respectively 0.2, 0.3, 0.3, and 0.2, calculated as follows[7]:

\[
B_1 = B_1B_2B_3B_4, \quad B_2 = B_2B_2B_2B_2B_4, \quad B_3 = B_3B_3B_3B_3, \quad B_4 = B_4B_4B_4B_4B_4B_4,
\]

\[
B = 0.2B_1 + 0.3B_2 + 0.3B_3 + 0.2B_4.
\]
### Three-class Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Three–class Index</th>
<th>Specific Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entrance Exam School Report $B_{11}$</td>
<td>average score of subject under percentage grading system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Level $B_{12}$</td>
<td>undergraduate attending entrance examination is 1, graduate 1.2, dual degree 1.1, undergraduate without attending entrance examination 0.9, junior college degree 0.7, junior college degree below 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English, Computer level $B_{13}$</td>
<td>College English Test Band 4 and National Computer Test Band 2 is 1, College English Test Band 6 and National Computer Test Band 3 above (below) respectively plus (minus) 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Experience $B_{14}$</td>
<td>base is 1, one more year plus 0.05, the upper limit 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Background</td>
<td>base is 1, one more person plus 0.05, the upper limit 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Academic Structure $B_{21}$</td>
<td>master is 1, doctor 1.2, undergraduate 0.8, undergraduate below 0.6, total averaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Title structure $B_{22}$</td>
<td>associate professor is 1, professor 1.2, lecturer 0.8, lecturer below 0.6, total averaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Research Fund $B_{23}$</td>
<td>average of 10,000 yuan a year per person is 1, every other 10,000 yuan added plus 0.1, the upper limit 1.2, every other 2,000 yuan subtracted minus 0.1[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject Source $B_{31}$</td>
<td>national level is 1.4, provincial &amp; ministry level 1.2, university’s level 1.1, lateral 1.0, optional 0.8 and others 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Level with Enterprise $B_{32}$</td>
<td>range between 0.8 to 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Subject Fund $B_{33}$</td>
<td>10,000 yuan is 1, every other 10,000 yuan added plus 0.1, the upper limit 1.2; every other 2,000 yuan subtracted minus 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Level $B_{34}$</td>
<td>range between 0.6 to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Status $B_{41}$</td>
<td>national level is 1.4, provincial ministry level 1.2, university key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory $B_{42}$</td>
<td>laboratory 1, average laboratory 0.8[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Status $B_{43}$</td>
<td>associate professor is 1, professor 1.1, lecturer 0.8, lecturer below 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline Construction Status $B_{44}$</td>
<td>first-level discipline-based doctor degree program is 1.4, first-level discipline-based master degree program 1.2, second-level discipline-based master degree program 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Fund Per Capital $B_{45}$</td>
<td>average of 10,000 yuan a year per person is 1, every other 10,000 yuan added plus 0.1, the upper limit 1.2; every other 2,000 yuan subtracted minus 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 1 Scoring of Three–class Index Education Basis Evaluation

Management Link (C) mainly contains three aspects: Ideological Quality (C1), Routine Management (C2) and Comprehensive Evaluation (C3), of which weights are respectively 0.4, 0.3, 0.3, calculated as follows:

$$C_1 = C_1 C_{12} C_{13}, \quad C_2 = C_2 C_{22}, \quad C_3 = C_3 C_{32} C_{33}.$$ 

And Management Link is counted like this:

$$C = 0.4C_1 + 0.3C_2 + 0.3C_3.$$

Process Control (D) mainly contains two stages, one for Master of Engineering courses study and the other for subject research[10]. It can be specifically divided into five aspects such as
Academic Report (D1), Research Proposal (D2), Mid-term Examination Report (D3), Anonymous Paper Review (D4), and Thesis Oral Defense (D5), of which weights are respectively 0.2, 0.3, 0.15, 0.2, 0.15, calculated as follows:

\[ C_1 = C_{11}C_{12}C_{13}, \quad C_2 = C_{21}C_{22}, \quad C_3 = C_{31}C_{32}C_{33}, \]

Education Result (E) is mainly measured by four indexes, such as indexes of Postgraduate Academic Achievement (E1), Postgraduate Ability Education (E2), Postgraduate Employment (E3), and of Social Recognition (E4), of which weights are respectively 0.35, 0.3, 0.2, 0.15, calculated as follows:

\[ E = 0.35E_1 + 0.3E_2 + 0.2E_3 + 0.15E_4. \]

Among them: \[ E_1 = E_{11}E_{12}E_{13}E_{14}E_{15}, \quad E_2 = E_{21}E_{22}E_{23}E_{24}, \quad E_3 = E_{31}E_{32}E_{33}. \]

As is comprehensively analyzed above, available in Table 1(A) are Master of Engineering education quality, calculated as follows: \[ A = 0.2B + 0.1C + 0.3D + 0.4E. \]

Being equal to 1 or so, A characterizes the quality of postgraduates educated in a certain condition of society, graduate, supervisor, administration and conditions of construction.

**Some Explanations for Master of Engineering Postgraduate Education Quality Evaluation System**

**Practical Results.** The above-mentioned weight indexes of each second-class index are for reference only. Universities should adjust it according to master of Engineering education goal and education characteristics and should reflect the level of Master of Engineering education specifically, scientifically and objectively. The Master of engineering postgraduate quality evaluation index system runs through the whole process, from the time before and after postgraduates are enrolled, to the time after postgraduates graduate. By calculating the above-mentioned index system, training quality (A) above 0.8 is defined as excellent, more than 0.6 qualified [11].

**Weight Parameters to be Expanded and Improved.** Index choice, the application of calculation and index weight coefficient of this evaluation system needs to put into consideration the actual situation in various other different universities and perfected them. Through the practical application, postgraduates from different universities, majors and grades are chosen as subjects, whose above-mentioned indexes are respectively traced and recorded, and then their scores are chosen in the corresponding method. Then the study results of experiment are compared with the actual situation, and the relevant parameters and weight coefficients of evaluation index system are corrected so as to achieve more objectively evaluated postgraduate education quality.

**The Evaluation System Is Only Applicable to the Individual Postgraduate Education Quality Evaluation.** The evaluation system is mainly applied to quality evaluation of individual postgraduate. The groups data should be processed with relevant calculation for the evaluation of a university, college or a wider range groups of postgraduates. In addition, because a great many parameters are involved in the evaluation system, it will be a complicated calculation for larger groups of postgraduates. Since in the evaluation index system, all the components of the third-class index adopt the quantified method, and relevant soft wares are written with the help of computer and network technology, the status of each graduate education is reflected successful, instantly, and punctually[12].
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