The Modification Design of Low-noise Locomotive Traction Gear
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Abstract—The design method and design criteria for low noise gear were introduced. Based on the analysis of gear modification principle, determination of basic parameters and the design of modification scheme, taking SS8- II type quasi- high speed passenger electric locomotive gear transmission as a studying example, the gear tooth profile modification and axial modification were designed. Through the noise detection, it was found that under the same conditions, the driving device noise sound level A can reduce the 6~10dB after modifying. It achieved better noise reduction effect, which can provide reference for the design of low noise gear. It has important value for engineering practice.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the comprehensive deformation amount caused by loading, gear transmission error, time - varying meshing stiffness, elastic deformation of tooth and gear body, high speed running thermal deformation and the system deformation, the tooth static conjugate tooth profile’s equilibrium conditions are destroyed along the meshing line. Furthermore, the intense vibration and noise will be produced in the transmission [1-3]. The design method and the criteria for most of low noise gear mainly focus on controlling and reducing the source of gear internal incentive, that is, the degree of contact state in meshing process deviates from the ideal state, among which the key is the contact surface shape difference [4-6]. The existing experimental and theoretical analysis show that, under heavy load, high speed, fully consistent with the theoretical tooth shape of gear, the transmission performance can’t achieve the optimal [7]. The reasonable tooth shape not only can effectively reduce the vibration and noise caused by the internal motivation, but also can uniform tooth width load, improve the tooth surface stress distribution, increase the bearing capacity, effectively reduce the unit load under constant torque[8]. Therefore, without affecting the other aspects (such as intensity), gear modification becomes one of the most important means to reduce gear vibration and noise and to improve gear transmission reliability under high speed and heavy load.

II. GEAR MODIFICATION PRINCIPLES

Gear modification is to modify consciously the tooth surface in micro scale, so as to the tooth surface deviations from the theory. It was divided into profile modification and axial modification. Profile modification is to remove a part of the material along the direction of the tooth high. By changing the tooth profile, the impact of meshing into and out is reduced due to elastically deforming. Axial modification is to modify gear along tooth width direction. It can compensate tooth deviation due to twisting and bending deformation form the support shaft and gear tooth; eliminate of the partial load and improve the carrying capacity and the reliability of gear. Research showed that: the axial modification can reduce transmission noise 2-8 dB; profile modification can reduce transmission noise 5dB (especially suit for straight gear transmission).

A. Profile modification

Profile modification includes tip and root relief, pressure angle modification and drum corrected profile (Fig .1). Tip and root relief is the most common application of tooth profile modification. The principle is to backward retract tip and root positions of the involutes which are easy to produce the impact when gear engaged. The pressure angle modification is can compensate for the tooth profile deformation error of gear pair when single tooth meshing stiffness is small or tooth profile overall deformation is large by increasing or decreasing the pressure angle. In order to make the contact spot in the middle of tooth profile, that from the end face tooth profile, to extend a fixed point (usually the pitch circle) toward the addendum and dedendum, and gradually increase the cuts, until it reaches the meshing starting point of addendum and dedendum is...
called drum corrected profile.

In Fig. 1, $d_{ca}$ and $d_{cy}$ are respectively addendum and dedendum circle diameter of relief starting; $C_{ao}$ and $C_{af}$ are respectively the tip and root relief amounts; $L_{ca}$ and $L_{cy}$ are respectively the meshing line length of corresponding the height of tip and root relief; $C_{Ha}$ is slope amount of the entire tooth surface; $C_{H}$ is the amounts of drum corrected profile.

In Fig. 2, $C_{He}$ and $C_{Hy}$ are respectively, end relief amounts; $L_{He}$ and $L_{Hy}$ are respectively end relief length of tooth end I, II; $C_{H}$ is the arc height of after the drumming; $C_{Hy}$ is the final modification amount of helix angle.

### III. BASIC MODIFICATION PARAMETERS

#### A. Basic parameters of the profile modification

The profile modification basic parameters include modification amount, length of modification and the modification curve. Modification amount has many computational methods. It is theoretically equal to elastic deformation of two teeth when single and double tooth mesh alternately. In order to compensate the influence of gear machining error, they should be considered into such as the base pitch error, the tooth profile error etc. Because many factors affect the modification amount, accurate calculation is relatively complex; experience formula after simplified mainly is used in practical application. Table 1 lists the GB recommended formula.

#### TABLE I. THE CALCULATION FORMULA FOR TOOTH PROFILE MODIFICATION AMOUNT, UNIT (\(\mu m\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The upper limit or lower limit of tolerances</th>
<th>The starting point of meshing</th>
<th>The end point of meshing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Straight gear pair</td>
<td>$\Delta_{1a} = 7.5 + 0.05W_i$</td>
<td>$\Delta_{1e} = 0.05W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta_{1o} = 15 + 0.05W_i$</td>
<td>$\Delta_{2o} = 7.5 + 0.05W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helical gear pair</td>
<td>$\Delta_{1a} = 5 + 0.05W_i$</td>
<td>$\Delta_{2a} = 0.04W_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta_{1o} = 13 + 0.04W_i$</td>
<td>$\Delta_{2o} = 5 + 0.04W_i$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $W_i$ is the load per unit tooth width, $N / mm$.

Modification lengths include the long modification. In order to ensure the continuous transmission, it should ensure that the gear pair overlap degree is higher than 1 after modifying. Therefore, modification length’s limit points are respectively a starting point and end point to guarantee a pitch length. Short modification must be able to guarantee that end overlap degree is 1, so as to effectively reduce the impact as meshing into or out. Long modification’s modification lengths are respectively along the involutes, and extend single tooth meshing and the lowest point and the highest point, so as to effectively reduce the rotational position deviation.

Long modification can make load smooth transition in meshing alternation of single and double teeth. It is commonly used in the large gear width, large helix angle helical gear tooth profile modification. However, for straight gear and routine helical gear modification, the overlap degree will be decreased after modifying. It is easy to cause vibration and noise for the discontinuity meshing, so the short modification is generally used. The modification length $l$ can be gotten, as in (1):

$$l = (Z - P_b) / 2 \quad (1)$$

In (1): $Z$ is the length of Line of action; $P_b$ is the
pitch length.

The modification curve is generally expressed as the power function form, as in (2):

\[ V = V_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{x}{l} \right)^{\beta} \]

In (2), \( x \) is the relative coordinate of engaging position; \( l \) is the length of modification; \( V \) is the corresponding modification amount of \( x \); power index is 1-2. When \( b = 1 \), it is equivalent to the linear modification. It can’t smoothly connect with the tooth profile modification curve, and impact seriously tooth surface meshing on gear drive in light load. When \( b = 2 \), the curve uses a parabola, it will have a smooth transition between the curve and the tooth profile, and it helps to reduce the impact of tooth surface meshing.

B. Basic parameters of axial modification

1) For End relief:

Quenching and tempering gear:

\[ C_\beta \approx f_{sh} + 1.5 f_{H \beta} + (5 \sim 10) \mu m \]  

Surface hardening and nitriding gear:

\[ C_\beta \approx 0.5(f_{sh} + 1.5 f_{H \beta}) + (5 \sim 10) \mu m \]

In (3) and (4), \( f_{sh} \) is the axial meshing error component for comprehensive deformation; \( f_{H \beta} \) is the axial meshing error component for gear manufacturing and installation. For high speed gear of the high accuracy and high reliability, End relief amount takes the 60% - 70% calculated value. The width of end relief takes the smaller value of \( 0.1B \) (\( 0.1B \) is tooth width) and 2.0\( m_0 \) (\( m_0 \) is the normal module). End relief curve can be straight or arc along tooth width direction.

2) Crowning axial modification.

There are two main methods on the crowning axial modification calculation: one is based on experience, i.e. directly gives the reference value, as in (5); the other is the use of theory formula. In addition, the finite element method also has obtained the certain effect of modification. In the ISO standard, drum quantity of ordinary gear is \( 10 \leq C_\beta \leq 40 \mu m \).

\[ C_\beta \approx 0.5(f_{sh} + f_{H \beta}) \mu m \]  

3) Helix angle modification.

The key of helix angle modification is to determine the modification amount. The process of solving the best modification amount is actually an optimization problem. Generally the optimal value can be determined through the finite element model, optimization analysis on of load distribution of tooth surface meshing process.

IV. THE DESIGN OF MODIFICATION SCHEME

Wang Cheng [9] thought that it is easy to emerge pitting corrosion in the root side near the gear's pitch line. So hardened gear tooth usually adopted only tip modification. Considered from the processing economic aspect, the general choice was small gear total tooth profile modification, and the big matching gear didn’t do the modification. Huo Zhaobo achieved the idea noise reduction effect through testing directly the vibration and noise under different gear profile modification parameters, analyzing and research the influence of different modification scheme for vibration and noise, and optimizing the design of noise reduction.

Shang Zhenguo [10] determined the best combination on modification amount, modification curve shape of addendum, dedendum and helix angle modification between two mating gears, by analyzing contact trace of driving and driven gear under a variety of modification parameter combinations method. Imerk [11] used test method to compare the gear meshing with axial modification or not, and analyzed the instantaneous distribution of contact stress and tooth surface wear. His results showed that the axial modification could make the tooth surface contact stress is approximately uniform distribution in the tooth width direction, and its value was smaller than no-modification. In addition, the modification reduced the contact stress peak value at the turn of the single and double teeth, and reduced the vibration and noise.

In the existing research on modification schemes aimed at reducing vibration and noise, the most took the minimal transmission error fluctuations, dynamic meshing force, tooth surface load density minimum as objective. However, although the meshing gear noise was mainly caused by the dynamic meshing force and other parameters, but also it related to the body structure, natural frequency, vibration type, the transfer characteristics input between them. Bahk [12] took the minimal fluctuations of gear transmission error and the minimal vibration response as optimization objective function of gear modification. He found that both had large difference in the optimum modification amount, which amended the traditional strong correlation assumption about between static error and dynamic response. So it is necessary to use precision sound level meter for gear noise acquisition, and directly analyze effect of noise reduction and evaluate performance. And on this basis, further through the experiment and research on the establishment of direct mapping relation between modification parameters and vibration noise, the studies will provide a basis for seeking optimum strategies of gear noise control.

V. FOR EXAMPLE

Taking SS8-II type quasi high speed passenger electric locomotive gear transmissions as an example, the parameters were shown as follow. Single shaft power: \( P = 900kW \); motor speed in high-speed operation: \( n = 1946r/min \); modulus of gear \( m = 12 \); tooth number of driving gear \( z_1 = 31 \), tooth number of driven gear \( z_2 = 77 \); pressure angle \( \alpha = 22.5^\circ \); the meshing angle \( \alpha' = 22.9219^\circ \); center distance \( \alpha' = 650mm \). The driving gear displacement factor \( x = 0.10184 \); The driven gear
displacement factor $x_2 = 0.0663$. The addendum chamfering coefficient of the driving and driven gear $Vh_1$, $Vh_2$ are respectively 112 and 120; their materials are respectively 20CrMnMoA (carburizing and quenching) and the 15CrNi6 (nitriding and quenching).

A. The modification scheme

Profile modification of the driving and driven gear all adopted tip and root relief in meshing district of two gears, and a short modification way by guaranteeing the end face overlap ratio was 1, so as to effectively reduce the impact of meshing into and out. Because the transmission speed was high, the axial deformation is large, considering the contact phenomenon of the alternate increased stress of two gear tooth ends in running, and in order to avoid the "edge effect", end relieves was adopted at the both ends of two gear.

B. The tip or root relief and the modification length

Because that the tooth shape error was evaluated should be for its work part, firstly, gears’ K shape chart parameters should be determined, such as the starting point of effective meshing, the end point of effective meshing and the pitch diameter. In actual measurement, according to the different instruments, each point were represented as the expanding the length or angle. Gears meshing were shown in the Fig .3.

![Fig. 3. Gears meshing](image)

In Fig .3, $i$ was the common tangent points of two gear pitch circle; $TT_2$ was the length of theoretical line of action; $ab$ was the length of actual line of action; $Ta$ and $T_a$ were respectively the expansion length of the starting points of effective meshing of gear 1 and gear 2; $Tv$ and $T_v$ were respectively the expansion length of the root relief limit points on gear 1 and gear 2; $Tw$ and $T_w$ were respectively the expansion length of the tip relief limit points on gear 1 and gear 2; $Tb$ and $T_b$ were respectively the expansion length of the end points of effective meshing of gear 1 and gear 2.

1) The theoretic meshing line length:

- $g_{1i} = TT_2 = a' \sin \alpha_{a'} = 650 \sin 22.9219 = 253.1591$

2) The pitch diameter (OPD) expansion length:

- $g_{1i} = T_i = 0.5OPD_1 \sin \alpha_{a'} = 72.6660$
- $g_{2i} = T_{2i} = 0.5OPD_2 \sin \alpha_{a'} = 180.4930$

3) The pitch diameter (OPD) expansion angle:

- $\theta_{1i} = 57.29T_i / (d_{1i} / 2) = 24.2260^\circ$
- $\theta_{2i} = 57.29T_{2i} / (d_{2i} / 2) = 24.2260^\circ$

4) The expansion length of effective mesh end point (SAP):

- $g_{w1} = T_b = \sqrt{(d_{1w} - 2V_x)_1^2 - d_{1i}^2} / 2 = 99.9164$
- $g_{w2} = T_a = \sqrt{(d_{2w} - 2V_x)_2^2 - d_{2i}^2} / 2 = 208.8848$

5) The expansion angle of effective mesh end point (SAP):

- $\theta_{a1} = 57.29T_{w1} / (d_{1w} / 2) = 33.3110$
- $\theta_{a2} = 57.29T_{w2} / (d_{2w} / 2) = 28.0368$

6) The expansion length of effective mesh starting point (SAP):

- $g_{s1} = T_i = T_{2i} - T_i = 44.2743$
- $g_{s2} = T_b = T_{2b} - T_i = 153.2427$

7) The expansion angle of effective mesh starting point (SAP):

- $\theta_{a1} = 57.29T_{s1} / (d_{1s} / 2) = 14.7605$
- $\theta_{a2} = 57.29T_{s2} / (d_{2s} / 2) = 20.5684$

8) The expansion length of addendum modification limit point (SBP):

- $g_{s1} = T_w = T_i + P_w / 2 = 91.5738$
- $g_{s2} = T_v = T_i + P_w / 2 = 199.4008$

9) The expansion angle of addendum modification limit point (SBP):

- $\theta_{w1} = 57.29T_w / (d_{1w} / 2) = 30.5296$
- $\theta_{w2} = 57.29T_v / (d_{2w} / 2) = 26.7638$

10) The expansion length of tooth root modification limit point (SBP):

- $g_{s1} = T_v = T_i - P_w / 2 = 53.7583$
- $g_{s2} = T_w = T_i - P_w / 2 = 161.5853$

11) The expansion angle of tooth root modification limit point (SBP):

- $\theta_{w1} = 57.29T_v / (d_{1w} / 2) = 17.9224$
- $\theta_{w2} = 57.29T_w / (d_{2w} / 2) = 21.6882$

According to table 1, by calculating, the profile modification tolerance upper and lower bounds of the meshing starting point on the smaller gear are respectively 11.6710 and 19.1710 μm, meshing end point’s are respectively 4.1710 and 11.6710 μm. The profile modification tolerance upper and lower bounds of the meshing starting point on the bigger gear are respectively11.8524 and 19.3524 μm, meshing end point’s are respectively 43.5243 and 11.8524 μm.

Taking the tip relief of the smaller gear as 16μm, root relief as 6 μm, tooth shape tolerances as 20 μm, and taking the tip relief of the bigger gear as 16 μm, root relief as 6 μm, tooth shape tolerances as 24 μm, the tolerance zones of the two gears’ profile modification (K shape chart) were shown in Fig .4.

By (1), the modification length could be gotten:

- $l = (Z - P_i) / 2 = 46.2085$

The modification curve used the formula which was
proposed by Webber, i.e. \( b = 1.5 \). By (2), \( V = 16 \left( \frac{X}{l} \right)^{1.5} \) could be gotten. By using Matlab, the modification curve was drawn as shown in Fig. 5.

![Figure 5. The modification curve](image)

**C. The thinned amount results of the tooth end and the slope length**

Through calculating, both the end relief amount of gear 1 and gear 2 were 10 \( \mu m \), both the width of end relief were 12 mm (about 0.1 times as the width of teeth). The end relief amount and tolerance zones of two gears were shown in Fig. 6.

![Figure 6. The thinned amount results of the tooth end](image)

**D. Detection and assessment of noise**

After checking, about the design scheme of modification of traction gear transmission, the tooth surface contact fatigue strength, bonding strength and root bending fatigue strength can meet the requirement. To test and compare the noise of SS8-II type passenger electric locomotive wheel driving device before and after modifying, under the same conditions, the tests found that the sound level A of driving device noise could reduce 6-10dB after modifying (Fig. 7).

![Figure 7. Noise results comparing before and after modifying](image)

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

Reasonable gear modification scheme and parameters can reduce the vibration and noise, improve the gear contact characteristics, as well as improve the load-carrying capacity and operation reliability. However, at present, the related research on gear modification noise reduction is mainly the common research on gear. It still need to strengthen about how to further distinguish the different characteristics of actual working conditions, such as the low speed, high speed, light load and heavy load, targeted design, get the best modification effect.
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