A Two-Phased PSO-SVM Predictive Quality Control Model for Multistage Production
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Abstract: Steel production is regarded as a typical multi-stage system given its long process flow feature. In this paper, the quality predictive control features of steel production is studied; a two-phased PSO-SVM predictive control model for multi-stage production is established. In order to solve the model, a constrained PSO hyper kernel parameters optimization algorithm with a PCA data pre-processing method is proposed. The model is tested and proved to be effective by quality data of a steel production enterprise, in the case, the prediction of the multi-stage production is realized in phase one, and the global optimization of related process parameters is worked out in phase two.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Although the most reliable approach to quality improvement will be the use of precise first-principle models, such models are not available in most newly developed processes and modeling of a complex industrial process is very difficult and time-consuming[1]. Meanwhile, with applications of information management systems such as MES, enterprises have stored lots of original data relative to production and quality. These data can be a good reflection to enterprise's production conditions and product quality if we can take good advantage of them. In recent years, a research trend is forming to analyze the relationships between the product quality and relative process parameters on the basis of these data to support production decision makings and quality assurance, and model predictive control technologies based on Data Mining and other intelligent method is a new way to solve this kind of problem[2]. Take the typical multi-stage complex steel production process for an example, there exist a number of research results, such as a hybrid model with the combination of a predictive artificial neural network (ANN) and a genetic algorithm (GA) [3], a BP neural network multivariate time series model and T-S fuzzy neural network model of multivariate time series for blast furnace temperature control [4], etc. These researches focus on the prediction and control of single quality indices, and there are some new researches on multistage or multiphase processes[5, 6] too. In this paper, we will try to solve this kind of problem by a new hierarchical SVM predictive quality control model.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTIONS

A. Quality control features of multistage production

Multistage production such as iron and steel production has a relatively long, continuous and complicated process. Typical iron and steel production is composite of three main stages: steel making, billet rolling and product rolling. Although within each stage, processes are continuous, between stages, they are more likely to be managed and controlled separately. Yield of the product which should be calculated after key processes can directly reflect the qualified output rate, so it is a commonly monitored synthetic quality index for steel and iron enterprises. Due to the different factors in each stage such as equipments, processes, etc., the yield expression of each stage is different. The final yield of the product can be obtained by the product of all the stages, as shown in equation (1), where $y_i$ stand for the final yield of the product, and $y_i$ stand for yield of the stage $i$.

$$y = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$ (1)

B. Quality control model and parameters

In the Iron and steel production processes, the factors affecting the yield of different stages are various. In the steel making process, the factors mainly include: the purity and the ratio of raw materials, auxiliary materials (such as purity of oxidizer, pressure and moisture, the components, size of other materials, etc.), smelting
process parameters (such as the mixture, tapping temperature, killed steel time, tapping time, casting time etc.). In the billet rolling process, main factors include: the chemical ingredients, ingot surface defects, ingot quality problems (such as the impurities), ingot type, ingot heating speed, heating time, heating evenness, rolling speed, cooling time, grinding or peeling quantities etc. In the product rolling process, main factors include: billet surface quality, the internal quality of billet, rolling process parameters, etc. Due to the development of steel-making industrial, rolling metallurgical professional technology theory, some relationship between factors and the quality of steel products have been mastered by the engineers, but because of the complexity of the actual production process environment, and the high dimension, nonlinear and strong correlation features of the factors, the problems become particularly complex.

The core thought of the predictive control of yield is to improve yield rate by researching the relationship between yield and relative process parameters according to historical data, predicting the probable value and the changing trend of yield under various process parameters, and optimizing process parameters to improve process quality. The process parameters related to yield of stages are scared in processes, and with non-unified forms. They should be analyzed, transformed and homogenized to provide complete process sample information for the prediction of yield. The universal set of the process parameters can be noted as \( P = \{ p_1, p_2, L, p_n \} \), and the matrix \( C_{\text{cov}} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & L & c_{im} \\ c_{ol} & M & O \\ c_{nm} & L & c_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \) is constructed to set the relationship between the yield of each stages and each process parameter, when yield \( y_{i} \) and process parameter \( p_j \) have relationships, the corresponding \( c_{ij} \) would be set as 1, and otherwise, 0 would be set to the corresponding \( c_{ij} \).

Since the factors related to the yield rate of different stages are not always the same, if we set up a single regression model for the whole production, not only a number of inputs and outputs would lead to a large dimension model, but also a variety of interacted parameters would cause a inaccurate prediction result. Instead of that, we make relatively special models for each stage according to each own factors, respectively. By this means, not only synchronization analysis of each stage can be realized, but also the abnormal process status of each stage can be efficiently and accurately monitored.

For each stage, the decision function of yield can be noted as \( y_1, y_2, L, y_n \). So, the quality control model can be expressed as the series connection of the decision functions, as shown in equation (2).

\[
    y_s = \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} f(c_{ij} p_j, L, c_{ij} p_j, L, c_{mn} p_m)
\]

Where:

\[
    c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
        0, & \text{where } p_j \text{ isn't related to } y_i \\
        1, & \text{where } p_j \text{ is related to } y_i 
    \end{cases}
\]
Applying RS theory can efficiently reduce the dimension of the factors, but still, it can't deal with correlated factors. In order to solve this problem, principal component analysis (PCA) is utilized on the original data by which in one hand, the error caused by modeling on the correlated input variables of parallel regression functions can be eliminated, in another hand, the model complexity can be reduced under the guarantee of the model accuracy.

- **PSO-SVM prediction model and the construction of kernel function**

The aim of the quality control model is to find a suitable process parameters set \( X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) of an equivalence relation set \( R_B \) worked out according to RS theory, where

\[
R_B = \left\{ \left( p_i, c_{ij}, x_j \right) \in U \times U \mid f(p_i, c_{ij}, a) = f(x_j, a) \right\}.
\]

So the corresponding SVM model proposed in this paper is:

\[
y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \alpha_i - \alpha_i^* \right) K \left( X, X^* \right) + \xi
\]

Where \( X' \times X \) is the PCA vector, and \( b_1, b_2, L b_k \) are the biases.

During the application of SVM, it is vital to select an appropriate kernel function, but there are no clear directions of how to choose kernel functions by now. Commonly used kernels include:

1. Linear kernel function: \( K(x, x_i) = (x_i, x) \)
2. Poly kernel function:
   \[
   K(x, x_i) = \left[ \left( x, x_i \right) + 1 \right]^q, q \in \mathbb{N}
   \]
3. Radial basic function:
   \[
   K(x, x_i) = \exp(-||x - x_i||^2), \gamma > 0
   \]
4. Sigmoid kernel function:
   \[
   K(x, x_i) = \tan h(\gamma x \cdot x_i + c)
   \]

Where RBF is a typical local kernel function with strong study abilities, and Poly is a global kernel function with high generalization abilities. Hence, in this paper, a mixed kernel as equation (4) is used.

\[
K(x, x_i) = \omega K_{poly} + (1 - \omega)K_{rbf}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1
\]

### IV. TWO PHASED PSO PREDICTION ALGORITHM BASED ON MULTI-CONSTRAINED PSO COMBINED WITH SVM

Particle swarm optimization (PSO) is an evolutionary algorithm known for its simplicity and effectiveness in solving various optimization problems, while SVM has a strong learning ability with small samples, so, in recent studies, the PSO algorithm is widely used to solve the hyper parameters selection of support vector machine. In this paper, a constrained PSO-SVM regression method is proposed to adjust and select both hyper parameters of SVM and process factors which lead to an optimized yield in the process quality prediction control process of the steel and iron manufacturing.

In the constrained particle swarm algorithm proposed in this paper, we let each particle represents a feasible solution. At the model training and prediction phase, the particles are encoded as the format of hyper parameters of the support vector machine model for each control point, i.e.

\[
Z_i = \left[ k_i^{(1)}, L, k_i^{(2)}, L, \ldots, k_i^{(s)}, L, k_i^{(m)}, L \right],
\]

where \( k_i^{(s)} \) represents hyper parameters \( l \) for control points \( i \), \( s \) represents the quantity of the main hyper parameters of each control points. Because of using the same kernel in each stage, so the main core of each stage is the same in quantity; \( m \) is the total quantity of the control points. While at optimization of the process conditions stage, the particles are encoded as the format of process parameters of each control point, i.e.

\[
Z_i = \left[ L, p_i^{(1)}, L, p_i^{(2)}, L, \ldots, p_i^{(m)}, L \right] \subseteq P,
\]

Where \( p_i^{(s)} \) represents process condition \( j \) for control point \( i \), and the collection of the process conditions of each control point is included in the total conditions set \( P \).

Since the PSO is not sensitive to the initial solution, according to the equation (5), random variable value of any sampling within their space constraints can be taken as an initial position:

\[
x_{\alpha}^{(1)} = r_i \left( x_{\alpha,\text{max}}^{(1)} - x_{\alpha,\text{min}}^{(1)} \right) + x_{\alpha,\text{min}}^{(1)}
\]

Where \( r_i \) is a random number between \( [0, 1] \), \( x_{\alpha,\text{max}}^{(1)} \) and \( x_{\alpha,\text{min}}^{(1)} \) is maximum and minimum value of sample \( i \).

The initial velocity of samples can be expressed as follows:

\[
v_{\alpha}^{(1)}(0) = r_{\alpha,\text{max}}
\]

Where \( r_{\alpha} \) is a random number between \( [0, 1] \), \( v_{\alpha,\text{max}}^{(1)} \) is the maximum speed of sample \( i \).

The optimum result can be worked out by PSO algorithm through tracing the best position. On each iteration, each \( x_{\alpha} \) can be solved with equation (7) and (8):

\[
z_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t + 1) = z_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t) + v_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t + 1)
\]

\[
v_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t + 1) = w(t) v_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t) + c_1 r_1 \left( p_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t) - x_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t) \right) + c_2 r_2 \left( p_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t) - x_{\alpha}^{(1)}(t) \right)
\]

Where \( t \) is the iteration times, \( w \) is the inertia weight, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are acceleration constants, which are generally valued between \( [0, 2] \).

The adaptability of the particle swarm are verified according to the result of the prediction model, the objective function is as follows:

\[
f_{\alpha,\text{max}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left( z_{\alpha}^{(1)} - x_{\alpha}^{(1)} \right) K \left( X', X^* \right) + b_k
\]

The best position particles experienced can be worked out by equation (10):

\[
p(t + 1) = \begin{cases} p(t), f(p(t + 1)) > f(p(t)) \\ p(t - 1), f(p(t + 1)) < f(p(t)) \end{cases}
\]

The two-phased PSO prediction algorithm process is shown as Fig.2.
In this case, we take the typical process of a stainless steel wire as an example, which has processes include: smelting (0.994), blooming (0.85), and rolling (0.925), numbers in brackets are the planned yield of each process stage, so the total planned yield would be 0.994*0.85*0.925=0.78.

Firstly, possible related factors (such as main materials, auxiliary materials, process parameters, chemical compositions) are collected and reduced by corresponding RS combined PCA functions realized by Excel functions. Then the hyper parameters of SVM are optimized by using the PSO algorithm programmed in Matlab, with an error penalty coefficient C = 100 , the maximum error allowed of regression ε = 0.005 , PSO iteration number is 1000, the optimization results are obtained, and the final optimized integrated yield is: 0.963*0.925*0.916≈0.816.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The results we obtained demonstrate that the prediction quality control model proposed in this paper can take a fast and efficient approximation to historical data of quality characteristics. In this case, the predictive control optimization quality yield value (0.816) was increased by 4.6% than the planned yield value (0.78). More importantly, the RS theory and PCA method are involved in the model to reduce quantities of related parameters, which makes the model and its algorithm simple to apply.
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