Abstract—In this paper we point out the following two points. Firstly, the result of Madjid Eshaghi Gordji et.al can be easily obtained from the result of Amini-Harandi, A, Emami, H: A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered differential equations. Nonlinear Anal. 72, 2238-2242 (2010), so that the longer proof of the result is not necessary. Secondly, the existence of solution for a initial-value problem does not needed the application of the result of Madjid Eshaghi Gordji et.al, we can also apply the result of Amini-Harandi et.al to get the the existence of solution for this initial-value problem and to get more generalized results.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory plays a basic role in applications of many branches of mathematics. It becomes the center of strong research activity that find a fixed point of contractive mappings. Banach contraction mapping principle is a classical and powerful tool in nonlinear analysis. Weak contractions are generalizations of Banach contraction mapping, which have been studied by several authors. In [1-8], the authors prove some types of weak contractions in complete metric spaces respectively. In particular, existence of fixed point for weak contraction and generalized contractions was extended to partially ordered metric spaces in [2,9-24]. Among them, some involve altering distance functions. Such functions were introduced by Khan et al.in [1], where they present some fixed point theorems with the help of such functions.

On the other hand, Geraghty's contractive mappings was firstly introduced by Geraghty in 1973. Since then, several papers have dealt with fixed point theory on Geraghty's contractive mappings. In addition, many authors have extended, generalized and improved the fixed point of this kind of contractive mappings in not only metric spaces but also partially ordered metric space.

II. THE RESULT OF MADJID ESHAGHI GORDJI ET AL.

In this section, we first recall some important and useful definitions and lemmas. The following class of functions is used in [1].

Let \( \mathbb{R} \) denote the class of those function \( \beta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1] \) which satisfies the condition:

\[
\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow t_n \rightarrow 0.
\]

In 1973, Geraghty introduced Geraghty contraction and obtained the fixed point theorem. Definition.[28] Let \((X,d)\) be a metric space. A mapping \( T: X \rightarrow X \) is said to be a Geraghty contraction if there exists \( \beta \in \mathbb{R} \) such that for any \( x, y \in X \)

\[
d(Tx,Ty) \leq \beta(d(x,y))\cdot d(x,y).
\]

Theorem. [28] Let \((X,d)\) be a complete metric space and \( T: X \rightarrow X \) be a Geraghty-contraction. Then \( T \) has a unique fixed point \( x^* \) and for any \( x_0 \in X \), the iterative sequence \( x_{n+1} = Tx_n \) converges to \( x^* \).

Very recently, A. Amini-Harandi and H. Emami proved a fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces in [25].
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be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric \( d \) in \( X \) such that \((X,d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \( f : X \to X \) be an increasing mapping such that there exists an element \( x_0 \in X \) with \( x_0 \leq f(x_0) \). Suppose that there exists \( \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that
\[
d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y),
\]
for each \( x, y \in X \) with \( x \geq y \).

Assume that either \( f \) is continuous or \( X \) is such that if an increasing sequence \( x_n \to x \in X \) then \( x_n \leq x \), \( \forall n \). Then \( f \) has a fixed point. Besides, if for each \( x, y \in X \), there exists \( z \in X \) which is comparable to \( x \) and \( y \). Then \( f \) has a unique fixed point.

Let \( \Psi \) denotes the class of the functions \( \psi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty) \) which satisfies the following conditions:

1. \( \psi \) is nondecreasing;
2. \( \psi \) is sub-additive, that is, \( \psi(t + s) \leq \psi(t) + \psi(s) \);
3. \( \psi \) is continuous;
4. \( \psi(t) = 0 \iff t = 0 \).

In 2012, Madjid Eshaghi Gordji et al proved the following result [26].

**Theorem 1.** [1] Let \( (X, \preceq) \) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric \( d \) in \( X \) such that \((X,d)\) is a complete metric space. Let \( f : X \to X \) be an increasing mapping such that there exists an element \( x_0 \in X \) with \( x_0 \leq f(x_0) \). Suppose that there exists \( \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that
\[
\psi(d(f(x), f(y))) \leq \beta(\psi(d(x, y))d(x, y))
\]
for each \( x, y \in X \) with \( x \geq y \).

Assume that either \( f \) is continuous or \( X \) is such that if an increasing sequence \( x_n \to x \in X \) then \( x_n \leq x \), \( \forall n \).

Then \( f \) has a fixed point. Besides if for each \( x, y \in X \), there exists \( z \in X \) which is comparable to \( x \) and \( y \).

Then \( f \) has a unique fixed point.

**Remark.** In [27], it is proved that the condition (1.2) is equivalent to the following:

every pair of elements in \( X \) has a lower bound or an upper bound. (1.3)

**III. Review**

In this section we point out the following two points.

First point. The theorem 2 can be easily obtained from theorem 1, so that the longer proof of theorem 2 is not necessary. In fact, we can define a new metric as follows
\[
D(x, y) = \psi(d(x, y)), \forall x, y \in X.
\]

By using the property of \( \psi \) we can easy to prove \((X, D)\) is a complete metric space. In fact, From (a)(b)(c)(d) we have

1. \( \psi(d(x, y)) \geq 0, \) and
2. \( \psi(d(x, y)) = 0 \iff d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y, \forall x, y \in X; \)
3. \( \psi(d(x, y)) = \psi(d(y, x)), \forall x, y \in X; \)
4. \( \psi(d(x, z) + \psi(d(z, y)), \forall x, y, z \in X; \)
5. \( \psi(d(x_n, y_n)) \to 0 \iff d(x_n, y_n) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty. \)

That is, \((X, \psi(d(\cdot, \cdot)))\) is a complete metric space. Since \( T \) is \( \psi \)-Geraghty-contraction in complete metric space \((X, d)\), it is easy to see that, \( T \) is a Geraghty contraction in complete metric space \((X, D)\).

Meanwhile, a sequence \( \{x_n\} \subseteq X \) converges to a point \( x \) in metric \( d \) if and only if it converges to this point \( x \) in metric \( D \). On the other hand, \( d(x, Tx) = 0 \) if and only if \( D(x, Tx) = 0 \).

Therefore, we apply the theorem 1 to metric space \((X, D)\), the theorem 2 can be obtained.

Second point. The existence of solution for an initial-value problem presented in [2] does not needed the application of theorem 2, we can also apply theorem 1 to get the the existence of solution for this initial-value problem and to get more generalized result. In fact that, by using the condition in theorem 2 we get the inequality (A), that is
\[
d(Fu, Fu) \leq \lambda(T + 2\sqrt{T})\ln(d(u, v) + 1) \leq \ln(d(u, v) + 1). \tag{A}
\]

In this review we use another way to continuous the proof as follows. From (A) we obtain
\[
d(Fu, Fu) \leq \beta(d(u, v))d(u, v),
\]
for all \( u \geq v \), where
\[
\beta(u, v) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\ln(d(u, v) + 1)}{d(u, v)}, & \text{if } d(u, v) \neq 0 \\
0, & \text{if } d(u, v) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

It is obvious that \( \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). Therefore we can apply the theorem 1 to get the conclusion of theorem 2.

Furthermore, we can apply the theorem 1 to get more
generalized result for the application to ordinary differential equation. In fact, if we use the following condition (2') to replace the condition (2), the conclusion of theorem 2 can be more generalized.

(2') there exists a constant \( \lambda < \frac{1}{T + 2} \) such that

\[
0 \leq F(x,t,s_2,p_2) - F(x,t,s_1,p_1) \leq \lambda (s_2 - s_1 + p_2 - p_1 + 1)
\]

for all \((s_1,p_1), (s_2,p_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\) with \(s_1 \leq s_2, p_1 \leq p_2\).

where \(\phi : [0,+\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)\) is a function with the condition:

\[
\frac{\phi(t)}{t} < 1, \forall t > 0, \frac{\phi(t_n)}{t_n} \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow t_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.
\]

In fact that, by using condition (2') we can obtain

\[
d(F(u), F(v)) \leq \beta(d(u,v))d(u,v)
\]

for all \(u \geq v\), which implies

\[
d(F(u), F(v)) \leq \lambda(d(u,v))d(u,v)
\]

where

\[
\beta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\phi(t)}{t}, & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}
\]

Since \(\beta \in \mathbb{R}\), we can use theorem 1 to get the following more generalized conclusion.

Theorem 3. Consider the application to ordinary differential equations problem with the following conditions:

1. For any \(c > 0\) with \(|s| < c\) and \(|p| < c\), the function \(F(x,t,s,p)\) is uniformly Holder continuous in \(X\) and \(t\) for each compact subset of \((R \times I)\);

2. There exists a constant \( \lambda < \frac{1}{T + 2} \) such that

\[
0 \leq F(x,t,s_2,p_2) - F(x,t,s_1,p_1) \leq \lambda (s_2 - s_1 + p_2 - p_1 + 1)
\]

for all \((s_1,p_1), (s_2,p_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\) with \(s_1 \leq s_2, p_1 \leq p_2\).

3. \(F\) is bounded for bounded \(s\) and \(p\).

Then the existence of a lower solution for the initial-value problem (2.2) provides the existence of the unique solution of the problem (2.1).

If chose \(\phi(t) = \ln(t+1)\), the theorem 3.1 reduce the theorem 2.2. If chose

\[
\phi(t) = \begin{cases} t^2, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{t-1}{2}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < t. \end{cases}
\]
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