Academic Influence Evaluation Model for Research Institutes Based on Science Network Method: A Case Study
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Abstract—Academic influence evaluation is an important task in the research institution’s evaluation, ranking and resources allocation. A science network method based academic influence evaluation model is proposed in this paper, which focuses on building up a comprehensive model with consideration of the papers, projects, patents, academic services and other issues that may contribute to the influence. In order to verify the effectiveness of the model and the evaluation method, a four-collage case study is employed for demonstration. Numerical study shows that, the model and method proposed in this paper obtains quite similar result with the ranking of the colleges in their evaluation by the university, which helps to illustrate the effectiveness of the method. Based on the above calculation and research, this paper introduced three logical conclusions. School academic circles gradually expanded, each college academic influence has an increasing trend; Correlation coefficient between College of total influence and faculties published papers is maximum. Inter-faculty cooperation, the greater the influence of college.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The global expansion of access to higher education has invoked the demand for academic quality evaluation and various university ranking in many countries all over the world. A recent UNESCO/CEPES conference on higher education indicators concluded that cross-national research on these ranking systems could make an important contribution to improve the international market of the higher education.

Some questions through a comparative analysis of university rankings in Australia, Canada, the UK, and the US is explored in paper [1]. So far, the academic study of ranking algorithm has already been taken into consideration in many countries. The task is usually formulated as a multiple attributes ranking problem, in which the goal is to produce a set of numerical scores, one for each attribute [2]. The ranking methods have been divided into six, somewhat overlapping, areas, etc [3]. In paper [4], it proposes a novel approach for designing and developing QoS ontology and its QoS-based ranking algorithm for evaluating Web services. Paper [5] proposes two ranking mechanisms for ranking product reviews: a consumer-oriented ranking mechanism ranks the reviews according to their expected helpfulness, and a manufacturer-oriented ranking mechanism ranks the reviews according to their expected effect on sales. An algorithm for ranking paths is reviewed being its complexity improved in terms of the required memory space. This improvement allows the ranking of really larger problems in reasonably small execution times, which is comprised by the presented computational experiments [6]. They present a formal model and a new search algorithm for folksonomies, called FolkRank, that exploits the structure of the folksonomy in paper [7]. In paper [8], they give a simple parallel algorithm for the list-ranking problem. The algorithm is a randomized O(log n) time, n/log n processor algorithm for an EREW PRAM. The algorithm is substantially simpler than other optimal algorithms for list-ranking. They propose a simple universal ranking algorithm for data lying in the Euclidean space, such as text or image data. The core idea of our method is to rank the data with respect to the intrinsic manifold structure collectively revealed by a great amount of data [9]. It indicates that the PageRank algorithm influences the ranking quality of websites by assigning the PageRank value on the average, and an improved algorithm based on hierarchic classification technology is proposed, and then the measure is carried out and the improved algorithm is tested [10].

II. MATHEMATICAL ACADEMIC INFLUENCE EVALUATION MODEL

A. Symbols and Variables Definition

Before we define the mathematical model of academic influence evaluation model, The symbols and variables definition is listed in table 1.
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The global evaluation model for an academic research institute’s influence can be formulated as formula (1), where $P$ is the global impact factor of the influence.

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i P_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $\theta_i$ is the weight ($i = 1, 2, ..., n$); $P_i$ is the influential factors. For the different academic research areas, the influential factors are different.

**C. Weights Setting**

In the comprehensive model, there are several weights that should be given to complete the calculation process. We proposed the weights setting scheme by superiority char, which is a method comparing indicators with each other: if the indicator i is more important than the indicator k, set indicator i as 1; if they are the same, then set both as 0.5; otherwise, score 0 for the inferior indicator. Thus we can calculate indicator i’s weight with equation 2.

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}}{n(n-1)/2 + 0.5n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In order to calculate the academic influence of a research institute, factors that may influence the final calculation can be sequenced with regard to their importance in the literature. In this study, we argue the importance of the weights as: articles> academic part-time> longitudinal project> award influence> patents> transverse projects. Table 2 gives the description of the weights value setting in the study, which can also be illustrated with Figure 1.

**TABLE II. THE EXAMPLE OF THE WEIGHT CALCULATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic research field</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Longitudinal project</th>
<th>Patent</th>
<th>Award</th>
<th>Academic part-time</th>
<th>Transverse project</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal project</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Part-time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse project</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. The Global Evaluation Model**

The global evaluation model for an academic research institute’s influence can be formulated as formula (1), where $P$ is the global impact factor of the influence.

**D. Academic Influence Classification Model**

The comprehensive mathematical influence model can be described as formula (3).

$$P = \beta_1 P_1 + \beta_2 P_2 + \beta_3 P_3 + \beta_4 P_4 + \beta_5 P_5 + \beta_6 P_6$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where, the paper influence sub-model is defined as formula (4).
The longitudinal project influence sub-model is defined as formula (5).

\[ P_1 = \alpha_1 \ln(x_{11}) + \alpha_2 \ln(x_{12}) + \alpha_3 \ln(x_{13}) + \alpha_4 \ln(x_{14}) \]  

(4)

The patent influence sub-model is defined as formula (6).

\[ P_2 = \alpha_5 \ln(y_{21}) + \alpha_6 \ln(y_{22}) + \alpha_7 \ln(y_{23}) \]  

(5)

The patent influence sub-model is defined as formula (6).

\[ P_3 = \alpha_{31} \ln(x_{31}) + \alpha_{32} \ln(x_{32}) + \alpha_{33} \ln(x_{33}) \]  

(6)

The award influence sub-model is defined as formula (7).

\[ P_4 = \alpha_{41} \ln(x_{41}) + \alpha_{42} \ln(x_{42}) + \alpha_{43} \ln(x_{43}) + \alpha_{44} \ln(x_{44}) \]  

(7)

The academic part-time service influence sub-model is defined as formula (8).

\[ P_5 = \alpha_{51} \ln(y_{51}) + \alpha_{52} \ln(y_{52}) + \alpha_{53} \ln(y_{53}) \]  

(8)

The transverse project influence sub-model is defined as formula (9).

\[ P_6 = \alpha_{61} \ln(x_{61}) + \alpha_{62} \ln(x_{62}) + \alpha_{63} \ln(x_{63}) \]  

(9)

III. CASE STUDY

A. Problem Description

In order to verify and validate the performance of our proposed evaluation model, we employ a four colleges academic research institute in a university of China as the case study, in which we explore the relationship between academic co-operations and influences.

B. Academic Influence Development of the 4 Colleges in the University

We firstly obtain the academic groups of the school/college between teachers who have been built into a partnership with another teacher of the university and been described as part of the partnership network diagram in the academic group. College, the center is surrounded by four colleges, each point representing college performance teachers in this year. As can be seen from the figure, the school's academic circle has expanded each year; the total impact of the college is also increased.

Figure 2. The academic influence increasing trend of the university between 2008-2012.

C. Academic Influence Development of College D

The academic influence development of college D is shown as figure.3.

Figure 3. College D’s academic influence development during 2008-2012.

1) Academic cooperation in college D

After the effective investigation, we obtain the academic cooperation between the teachers in College D during 2008-2012, as shown in Table 3.

### TABLE III. ACADEMIC COOPERATION IN COLLEGE D WITH ITS ACADEMIC INFLUENCE DEVELOPMENT (2008-2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>The number of the performance teachers</th>
<th>The maximum number of cooperation</th>
<th>College D academic influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.6528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.7659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.0932</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the above data, College D academic scope during 2008-2012, academic cooperation between the performances of teachers and the development of influence, we found more cooperation, the greater the Academic influence Among them, the College D in 2011, for example, the performance of teachers in academic circles there were 65 people, up to five times the average per capita cooperation, the annual college academic influence of 10.0390. As shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. The illustration of academic cooperation between teacher D4 and D32
2) Papers influence contribution
Apply formula (4) with the data of college D, we can obtain the papers influence contribution between 2008 to 2012, shown as Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>paper</td>
<td>4.8902</td>
<td>2.985</td>
<td>6.5424</td>
<td>8.8871</td>
<td>13.961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Longitudinal projects influence calculation
Apply formula (5) with the data of college D, we can obtain the longitudinal projects influence contribution between 2008 to 2012, shown as Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>longitudinal project</td>
<td>13.2082</td>
<td>16.8851</td>
<td>19.0046</td>
<td>20.9164</td>
<td>34.2841</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Calculation of the patents influence
Applying formula (6) with the data of college D, we can obtain the patents influence contribution between 2008 to 2012, shown as Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>patent</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>2.4182</td>
<td>3.7366</td>
<td>7.2335</td>
<td>7.8862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5) Calculation of the awards influence
Apply formula (7) with the data of college D, we can obtain the awards influence contribution between 2008 to 2012, shown as Table 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>award</td>
<td>8.2903</td>
<td>7.181</td>
<td>6.052</td>
<td>5.472</td>
<td>6.0616</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6) Calculation of the academic part-time influence
Apply formula (8) with the data of college D, we can obtain the awards influence contribution between 2008 to 2012, shown as Table 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>academic part-time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) Calculation of the transverse projects influence
Apply formula (9) with the data of college D, we can obtain the awards influence contribution between 2008 to 2012, shown as Table 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>transverse project</td>
<td>50.6137</td>
<td>64.7418</td>
<td>69.104</td>
<td>68.1699</td>
<td>95.8309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8) Calculation of the total influence
Apply formula (3) with the data of college D, we can obtain the total academic influence index between 2008 to 2012, shown as Table 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total influence</td>
<td>6.6528</td>
<td>7.1926</td>
<td>8.7659</td>
<td>10.039</td>
<td>15.0932</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Academic influence and correlation analysis on college D
Application of formula (4)-(9), the above calculation for each year 2008-2012 influence various academic fields get college D and the total influence, as shown in Table 11; through numerical correlation analysis to obtain the total influence and various factors, various factors correlation between indicators, as shown in Table 12.

IV. Conclusion
This paper established a mathematical model of academic influence, and depending on the influence of academic areas populated model calculations. Academic circles over the years, and given the influence of changes in the development of a school, and take the college D for
example, demonstrate academic cooperation between the Institute of Internal Performance teacher relationships with the college academic influence.

Based on the above calculation and research, this paper introduced three logical conclusions:

- School academic circles gradually expanded, each college academic influence has an increasing trend;
- Correlation coefficient between College of total influence and faculties published papers is maximum.
- Inter-faculty cooperation, the greater the influence of college.

Accordingly, we propose the following recommendations for academic and research institutions to improve the academic influence:

- Academic and research institutions can formulate relevant policies to encourage college papers published papers in order to enhance the school influence.
- Academic research institutions can encourage cooperation among teachers, improve academic achievement, in order to enhance the influence of the school.
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