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Abstract
This paper proposes and empirically tests a model of the relationship between green supply chain management (GSCM) practices and corporate performance. Based on a literature review, we identified five determinants, namely, environmental commitment, supplier collaboration, supplier assessment, information sharing among suppliers, and business process improvement that are used as determinants in a structural model which influence environmental and economic performance. The model was analyzed using the data from a survey of sample of Japanese manufacturing firms. The results suggest that the degree of supplier collaboration has an influence on the environmental performance directly. Meanwhile, the impact of supplier assessment on the environmental performance is mediated by the information sharing and/or business process improvement. Furthermore, we find positive relationship between environmental performance and economic performance.
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1. Introduction
Green supply chain management (GSCM) is an inter-firm collaborative initiative concerning environmental load reduction and environmental preservation throughout the entire life cycle of a product. Against a backdrop of an international trend of tightening regulations on specific chemical substances, as typified by the Restriction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) Directive and/or Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), as well as social demands for environmental load reduction and preservation, a heightening of environmental awareness among consumers, and other factors, many firms have engaged in GSCM activities in recent years [1]-[8]. However, along with the pursuit of economic efficiency throughout the entire supply chain, which is the main focus of conventional supply chain management, GSCM also seeks to achieve environmental load reduction, and many issues related to GSCM require investigation, for example,
examination of cost effectiveness. In addition, the
development of inter-firm information systems and
information transfer format standardization are urgently
required; REACH in Europe, for instance, requires the
communication and sharing of chemical substances
information between agents/partners in supply chains.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we
briefly review some relevant researches about the
effectiveness of GSCM implementation. We analyze the
status of GSCM implementation at firms in Japan on the
basis of our original questionnaire survey and also
consider the relationship between GSCM practices and
performance, focusing on the role of inter-firm
information sharing in Section 3. Section 4 presents a
structural equation model concerning factors that affect
GSCM performance and use path analysis to test the
validity of the model. Finally in Section 5 we provide
the conclusion of this investigation.

2. Literature review

A previous research concerning effects obtained from
the implementation of GSCM is the empirical study that
Zhu and Sarkis (2004) conducted on 281 manufacturing
firms in China [7]. They used statistical analysis
methods to test effects that GSCM has on environmental
and economic performance and whether quality control
and JIT activities have a moderator effect on
performance. Although the findings showed that GSCM
implementation has a positive effect on a firm’s
environmental performance, they did not provide
sufficient conclusion to measure whether the effect on
economic performance is positive or negative.

Large and Thomsen (2011) tested the effect that
GSCM has on environmental and purchasing
performance [2]. After identifying factors that affect
GSCM performance on the basis of literature review,
they used survey data on purchasing firms in Germany
to perform structural equation modeling and tested the
validity of their model. Their findings showed that
green supplier assessment and active collaboration have
a positive effect on environmental performance, and that
they are promoted according to the strength of the
purchasing department’s strategic role and commitment
to environmental management. The findings also
indicated that improvement in environmental
performance has a positive effect on purchasing
performance.

In light of the abovementioned previous research, we
engage in further explorative discussion concerning
GSCM practices and performance at firms in Japan,
focusing on the role that inter-firm information sharing
plays in GSCM implementation.

3. Data and analysis

3.1. Outline of the survey

We conducted a mail questionnaire survey from
November 2010 to February 2011 with the research
objective of investigating “the current state and issues in
supply chain greening and inter-firm collaboration”. Since
ordinarily the introduction and implementation of
GSCM is likely to be affected by firm size, we studied
Japanese manufacturers with 500 or more employees in
this survey. The number of valid responses obtained
from randomly sampled firms was 126, yielding a
response rate of 25.2% (detailed results are omitted
here) [9][10].

3.2. GSCM implementation and internal management

First, we confirmed the status of implementation of
concrete inter-firm cooperation activities in GSCM. We
were able to ascertain that many firms provide
information and exchange opinions concerning
environmental regulations and cooperate concerning the
prohibition or reduction of use of regulated chemicals
contained in raw materials and components. In addition,
we found that some progressive firms implemented the
detailed GSCM activities cooperate concerning eco-
design and engage in joint research or joint development
of eco-friendly products or materials with business
partners under inter-firm cooperation and collaboration.

Then, we confirmed the state of development of
internal management systems for overall environmental
management. On the whole, high scores were obtained
for all questions (based on a 6-point scale), and it was
found that the introduction of EMS and development of
organizations in charge of environmental management
are particularly advanced. It is likely that this state of
internal management systems development shows the
strength of overall commitment to environmental
management, including the implementation of GSCM.
3.3. Supplier assessment

We analyzed what sort of requirements manufacturing firms have for suppliers concerning implementation of GSCM and, on the other hand, what sort of assistance they provide.

We confirmed that many firms have a variety of requests for suppliers. For example, request that suppliers understand each firm’s environmental philosophy and requiring them to observe a code of conduct or guidelines. Also, although the scores are comparatively low, there are firms that conduct on-site environmental inspections of suppliers and engage in continuous auditing and monitoring, and support the development of suppliers’ EMS.

3.4. GSCM implementation and information sharing among firms

We examined the status of information communication/exchange activities through information networks among firms.

Information communication/exchange between firms via information networks occurs mainly with respect to information about purchase order placement/receipt or suppliers/customers, and information about billing, payment, and settlement. At the same time, we found that detailed communication/exchange between firms also occurs with respect to information about components and measured values of regulated chemicals.

The background to this is likely progress in preparations for and compliance with REACH, which went into effect in June 2007 in Europe. REACH requires communication and sharing of chemical substances information between agents in supply chains. Confirmation for matters such as the presence or absence and the content of chemical substances subject to regulation in molded articles is required, as is such information from manufacturers as applications and amount used by application for each chemical substance.

3.5. GSCM implementation and performance

We confirmed awareness among firms of the status of matters such as business process improvement accompanying GSCM implementation. And we confirmed awareness among firms of improvements in environmental and economic performance resulting from GSCM implementation.

Here, we consider quantitative reduction of various types of waste, regulated chemicals, and the like to be environmental performance, and reduction of various costs, such as purchasing and distribution costs, to be economic performance. Although it is necessary to also examine improvement in economic performance on the basis of financial indicators such as sales and profit margins, it is generally difficult to eliminate from these financial indicators the impact of factors other than GSCM implementation. Accordingly, in this paper we perform analysis in which the concept of economic performance is limited to the abovementioned cost reductions.

On the whole, above-average scores are given for both environmental and economic performance improvement. However, firms do not enjoy the reduction in purchasing and distribution costs.

4. Path analysis concerning GSCM practices and performance

In light of the above analysis, we present a structural equation model concerning factors that affect GSCM performance [11]. The hypothetical path model is shown in Fig. 1.

The model uses the seven composite variables that is to say, Environmental commitment (5 items), Supplier collaboration (7 items), Supplier assessment (6 items), Information sharing among suppliers (3 items), Business process improvement (3 items), and Environmental performance (5 items) as explanatory variables and Economic performance (4 items) as the
dependent variable, and assumes a causal chain at multiple stages. We assume a positive path in all relationships between the factors.

Next, we provide an overview of the path analysis. Here, we omit the factor analysis process for examining the validity of the assumed latent variables and use the value obtained by dividing the total of the values of the items pertaining to each latent variable by the number of items. In other words, we use composite scales to represent the latent variables. Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of each variable. For each scale, Cronbach’s $\alpha$ is greater than 0.8, and reliability (internal consistency) is high.

| Table 1. Descriptive statistics of variables (composite scales) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # of items | $\alpha$ | Mean | S.D. |
| Environmental commitment | 5 | 0.93 | 4.75 | 0.99 |
| Supplier collaboration | 7 | 0.89 | 3.77 | 1.03 |
| Supplier assessment | 6 | 0.88 | 3.64 | 1.19 |
| Information sharing among suppliers | 3 | 0.81 | 2.70 | 1.31 |
| Business process improvement | 3 | 0.87 | 3.34 | 1.05 |
| Environmental performance | 5 | 0.89 | 3.48 | 1.16 |
| Economic performance | 4 | 0.86 | 3.07 | 1.13 |

Note: each score on a 6-point scale

We empirically test the path model using these variables, performing sequential multiple linear regression analysis using the standard partial regression coefficient as the path coefficient.

When we took Environmental commitment, Supplier collaboration, and Supplier assessment as explanatory variables and Information sharing among suppliers as the explained variable, no significant positive path from Supplier collaboration was found. That is to say, some non-significant paths were found in the causal chain between factors that affect GSCM performance. However, all other paths were significant at the 1% or 5% level. Also, all coefficients of determination were significant, and the relationships between factors assumed in this paper were almost supported (Fig. 2).

**5. Conclusion**

This paper proposes and empirically tests a model of the relationship between GSCM practices and corporate performance. We identified five constructs, namely, environmental commitment, supplier collaboration, supplier assessment, information sharing among suppliers, and business process improvement.

The results suggest that the degree of supplier collaboration has an influence on the environmental performance directly. While, the impact of supplier assessment on the environmental performance is mediated by the information sharing and/or business process improvement. And, environmental performance has a positive impact on economic performance.
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