Job Insecurity, Work-related Stress and Employee Creativity: Proactive Personality and Team Climate for Innovation as Moderators
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Abstract. Nowadays, creativity is vital to enterprises as human capital has become a main competition factor worldwide. Nearly every enterprise wants to have employees with high creativity. Meanwhile, in order to be flexible and quick to adapt to changing environmental needs, organizations frequently downsize which leads to employees’ job insecurity. Job insecurity is harmful to employee creativity which has been testified by scholars. Facing this contraction, how to reduce the harmful effect for both employees and enterprises is an urgent question to answer. To this end, the paper introduces proactive personality to employees and team climate for innovation to the enterprises. We developed a cross-level model with moderated mediation in level 1 and a moderator in level 2. Management implication is also discussed.

Introduction

Creativity in the workplace refers to the ability to product novel and useful ideas by an employee or by a group of employees working together [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. From the definition, we know that creativity can be generated by employees in any job and at any level of an organization [6, 7]. As creativity is vital for entrepreneurial activities and long-term economic growth [8, 9], scholars have devoted efforts to explore how creativity is ultimately originated [7].

Job insecurity is considered as harmful to the organizations [10], which will lead to stress [11], while stress will harm employee creativity [10]. How to reduce the harmful effect to the least? Do all the employees react the same way when they face stress? These questions lead us to trying to figure out how to reduce the harmful effect to the employees and help the enterprises. After considering many options, we choose two variables: proactive personality and team climate for innovation. Employees with proactive personality are more self-initiated and change-oriented [12, 13], and they prefer not to passively wait for information and opportunities to come to them [12, 13, 14]. This variable is used to reduce the negative effect of the mediated effect between job insecurity and employee creativity. For the second variable, we try to introduce culture especially team climate for innovation to our model. Our contribution may lay on introduced a moderated mediation variable and a cross-level moderator.

Fig.1 The conceptual model of the paper
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Job insecurity and employee creativity

Job insecurity reflects employees’ perceived feeling of threaten, but “perceived powerlessness to maintain desired continuity in a threatened job situation” [15]. According to Greenhalgh and Rosenblatt [15], there are five elements of job insecurity: perceived threat from job features, the importance of job features to employees, perceived threat of the occurrence of various events that would negatively affect an individual’s total job, the importance attached to each of those potentialities, and powerlessness. There is an equation of Greenhalgh and Rosenblatt’s job insecurity:

\[
\text{Job insecurity} = (\sum \text{importance of job loss} \times \text{likelihood of losing job feature}) + (\sum \text{importance of job loss} \times \text{likelihood of job loss}) \times \text{perceived powerlessness to resist threat}
\]

When felt job security threatened and couldn’t deal with it, employees would react differently. Some researchers like Tian and her co-authors [17] found that job insecurity may lead to counterproductive work behavior, others like Probst and his teams [10] found that job insecurity may reduce counterproductive work behavior, but lead to more habitual work [17], for employees would reduce their adventure of challenging the job methods they normally use in order to avoid failure and protect themselves [17]. Regardless of the contradictory findings, we may conclude that job insecurity will harm employee creativity.

Furthermore, in their study, Probst and his co-authors [10] found that “Job insecurity was a significant predictor of creativity scores on the remote associates test \((\beta=-.17, p<.05)\)”, therefore, the results suggested that “greater perceptions of job insecurity were related to lower scores on the creativity test.” Thus we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 1: Job insecurity is negatively related to employee creativity.

Job insecurity and work-related stress

“Stress is a dynamic condition in which an individual is confronted with an opportunity, demand, or resources related to what the individual desires and for which the outcome is perceived to be both uncertain and important” [18, 19]. Stress is not all bad in and of itself. According to Cavanaugh and his co-authors [20], there are two kinds of stresses: one is challenge-oriented stress which creates challenges and feelings of fulfillment; the other is hindrance-oriented stress which creates feelings of constrained personal development and work-related accomplishment. Many research findings showed negative context of work-related stress, such as Talbot and his team’s research [21] and Van Dyne and his team’s research [11], meanwhile, there are researchers like Stamper and his co-authors [22] found stress’ positive value to job performance. Most existing literature generates mix results and inconsistent findings on the relationship between work-related stress and job performance [23].

Job insecurity has become one of the most significant stressors [24]. To Cavanaugh and his co-authors’ explanation [20], job insecurity causes hindrance-oriented stress, and hindrance-oriented work-related stress is considered as bad and therefore related negatively to job satisfaction and performance, and positively related to turnover and job search [20]. Employees in job insecurity conditions experience considerable stress, frustration, and anxiety [25, 26]. Thus, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 2: Job insecurity is positively related to work-related Stress.

Work-related stress and employee creativity

What about the relationship between work-related stress and employee creativity? In cognitive resources theory, Vecchio [27] argued that “stressors are expected to impair creativity, as they tax too highly the limited cognitive resources necessary for creativity”. Van Dyne and his co-authors’ [11] empirical study found, work-related Stress is significantly negatively related to employee creativity. However, “arousal based theories suggest a positive relationship, in that stressors create both a demand for creativity and the motivational arousal to respond [28]”. Activation theory suggests a curvilinear relationship between stress and creativity [29]. A recent meta-analysis [30] found that there was a curvilinear relationship between evaluative stress and creativity, such that low evaluative
contexts increased creative performance over control conditions, whereas highly evaluative contexts
decreased creative performance.

As in hypotheses 2, the work-related stress we are talking about is caused by job insecurity, that is,
hindrance-oriented work-related stress. Some researchers found hindrance stressors (e.g. job
insecurity) consistently impaired creativity [10, 23]. Thus, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 3a: Work-related stress is negatively related to employee creativity.

Logically, job insecurity causes work-related stress (as in hypothesis 2) and work-related stress has
effects on employee creativity (hypothesis 3a). Thus, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 3b: Work-related stress will partially mediate the relationship between job insecurity
and employee creativity.

Proactive personality as a moderator moderates the mediation relationship of job insecurity
and employee creativity via work-related stress

As limited to personality trait, proactive personality describes a behavioral tendency to identify
opportunities to change things at work and to act on those impulses [12, 13]. Employees with
proactive personality are more change-oriented and self-initiated, they prefer not to passively wait for
information and opportunities to come to them [12, 13, 14].

In order to accomplish their goals, proactive employees are more likely to actively shape and
manipulate the environment compared to more passive workers [13, 31, 32]. To them, it is much
easier to accept new ideas for improving work processes, update their working skills, and seek to
better understand company politics [32, 33].

As in hypothesis 3a and 3b, work-related stress negatively related to employee creativity,
work-related stress partially mediates the relationship between job insecurity and employee creativity.
Employees with proactive personality attributes tended to use cognitive redefinition [14, 34]. When
face stress, they will challenge it instead of avoidance [35, 36, 14]. To some employees, stress is
considered as their friends. Employees with proactive personality may create positive outcomes when
he or she is working in the stressed situation [14]. Thus, we predict:

Hypothesis 4: Proactive personality will moderate the strength of the mediated relationship
between job insecurity and employee creativity via work-related stress, such that this mediating effect
is weaker under employee with high proactive personality than under employee with low proactive
personality.

Team climate for innovation and employee creativity

Cultural and social context of a firm could have an important influence on the innovation capability
for its employees. When work is perceived as meaningful and motivational in an enterprise, cultural
ideals, values, norms and traditions of the enterprise may elicit individual entrepreneurship actions
[37]. Some researchers argue that culture is one of the most common impediments to innovation [38].
Furthermore, entrepreneurship culture has an indirect influence on employee creativity and product
innovation [38].

Therefore, as the leading culture that influences employees, team climate for innovation
encourages both leaders and employees. Team climate for innovation inspires positive emotions.
Positive emotions broaden people’s thought–action repertoires and build their enduring personal
resources ranging from physical and intellectual to social and psychological resources [39, 38]. When
team climate and norms encourage employees to view creativity and innovation as salient values,
leader identification will be more directly channeled to creative activities [38], and employees will be
encouraged to take risks and persist with challenges and try new approaches, explore uncertain but
potentially promising methods and also persevere in problem solving activities [40, 38].

Moreover, a climate encouraging innovation promotes the importance of such activities. Leaders
will be increasingly motivated to invest their efforts towards creativity stimulating practices.
According to the data correlation that Yoshida and his co-authors [41] reported, support for
innovation in team is positively related to employee creativity.
In contrast, if team norms and the context do not encourage creativity and innovation, but just place performance outcomes such as efficiency and reliability as their sole goal and punish or ignore those who try new methods [42], employees will follow the rule and not try to figure out new methods to accomplish their work. That is to say, where team climates for innovation are low or when innovation is discouraged, identification is unlikely to foster creative outcomes. Thus, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 5: Team climate for innovation positively related to employee creativity.

Team climate for innovation moderated the relationship of job insecurity and employee creativity

From hypothesis 1, we know that job insecurity is negatively related to employee creativity. Meanwhile, from hypothesis 5, we know that team climate for innovation is positively related to employee creativity. Some researchers like Van Dyne and his co-authors claimed that innovation culture may change the impair relationship between job insecurity and employee creativity. That is to say, when team climate for innovation is low, leader identification will display a weak or negligible association with creativity [38]. Therefore, team climate for innovation has an impact on the relationship between job insecurity and employee creativity. Thus, we hypothesize:

Hypothesis 6: Team climate for innovation will moderate the negative relationship between job insecurity and employee creativity, such that the negative relationship will be weaker when team climate for innovation is high and the negative relationship will be stronger when team climate for innovation is low.

Managerial Implications

Every enterprise wants employees with high potential of creativity for it is beneficial to them. While job insecurity is a problem to nearly all employees, it is harmful to employee creativity for its leading to stress and counterproductive work behavior. Our paper tries to tell both employees and the enterprises the way to reducing the harmful effect of job insecurity and stress. Employees should learn to become proactive persons especially when facing job insecurity and stress. To the enterprises, if they want to keep their employees creative, they should create an innovation climate.
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