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Abstract—In this paper, a set of evaluation system is proposed by GQM (Goal-Question-Metrics) for graduate employment information system (GEIS). Then based on Similarity to Ideal Solution, the evaluation model is proposed to evaluate GEIS adaptability. Finally, the application of the evaluation system and model is proved via a case, which provides references for optimizing GEIS adaptability.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Graduate employment information system (GEIS) should have the ability to adapt to the dynamic environment inside and outside to obtain employment information in time and realize information flow in order, i.e., GEIS adaptability. At present, there are few references to GEIS adaptability, and the main researches are as follows: 1) the importance of the information construction of graduate employment [1-5]; 2) countermeasures study on the information construction of graduate employment [6-10]; 3) the management information system of college graduate employment service[11-15]. For example, reference [2] discussed the internet information construction for graduate employment. But most of them are qualitative research and have little relation to GEIS adaptability, let alone the evaluation of GEIS adaptability quantitatively.

Hence, according to GQM (Goal-Question-metrics), this paper proposes a set of adaptability evaluation system, and the corresponding evaluation model is given. The contents can support further research to the optimization of GEIS adaptability.

II. ADAPTABILITY EVALUATION SYSTEM

According to GQM, the index set can be obtained, as shown in Fig.1. It includes Cost, Reliability, Robustness, Scalability, and Security.

The indices are shown in detail as follows:
(1) Cost
Two parts of the adjustment costs are considered, including information adjustment cost and module adjustment cost. This index can be classified into four levels:
A(little money), B(a little money), C(much money), D(more money).
(2) Reliability
This index set contains two sub-indices including mean time between failures and effectiveness.
(3) Robustness
This index set includes the following sub-indices: satisfactory degree and change impact rate.
1) Satisfactory degree. This index mainly means the satisfaction degree of the customers (including graduates, colleges and society, etc.). This index can be classified into four levels: A(basically satisfaction), B(satisfactory), C(more satisfactory), D(most satisfactory).
2) Change impact rate.
This index is a value describing the probability of modules involved in the adjustment among all the modules. This index can be classified into four levels: A(0.07~1), B(0.05~0.07), C(0.03~0.05), D(0.01~0.03).
(4) Scalability
This index set mainly contains two sub-indices including modular layer degree and the interaction degree between modules. This index can be classified into four levels: A(bad), B(good), C(better), D(best).
(5) Security
Two kind of security are considered in this index set. One is the security of system and the other is the security of data. This index can be classified into four levels: A(relatively safe), B(safe), C(more safe), D(most safe).

To be mentioned, different indices have different types of values. For the indices like cost, the smaller the better. While for the indices like satisfaction degree and modular degree,
the bigger the better. And for others, it’s better not too big or too small.

III. THE EVALUATION MODEL

In this paper, based on the similarity to ideal solution, the modeling process is shown in Fig.2, which is illustrated in detail below via the indices proposed in this paper.

Step 1: According to the proposed index system, the grading standards for evaluation are as follows:
1) As for Cost, the four levels A, B, C and D are 1, 3, 5, and 7 respectively.
2) As for mean time between failures, the four levels A, B, C and D are 7, 5, 3, and 1 respectively.
3) As for effectiveness, the four levels A, B, C and D are 7, 5, 3, and 1 respectively.
4) As for satisfaction degree, the four levels A, B, C and D are 7, 5, 3, and 1 respectively.
5) As for change impact rate, the four levels A, B, C and D are 1, 3, 5, and 7 respectively.
6) As for modular layer degree, the four levels A, B, C and D are 7, 5, 3, and 1 respectively.
7) As for the interaction degree between modules, the four levels A, B, C and D are 1, 3, 5, and 7 respectively.
8) As for the security of system, the four levels A, B, C and D are 7, 5, 3, and 1 respectively.
9) As for the security of data, the four levels A, B, C and D are 7, 5, 3, and 1 respectively.

To be mentioned, if the degree is between two standards, the grades are 2, 4, 6 respectively.

Step 2: Establish the weight of the indices. As there are different weights among different indices, it’s necessary to give them different weights according to the Delphi method.

As for the indices proposed in this paper, the weights of them are as follows:

\[ w = (w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8, w_9) \]
\[ = (0.05, 0.1, 0.17, 0.25, 0.07, 0.08, 0.06, 0.1, 0.1) \]

where \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_9 \) represent Cost, Mean time between failures, Effectiveness, Satisfaction degree, Change impact rate, Modular layer degree, The interaction degree between modules, The security of system and the security of data respectively.

Step 3: Establish the sample matrix \( SM^1 \). In this step, according to the grading standards of the evaluation in step 1, each index is graded by experts, constituting \( SM' \), then multiplying the weight of each index, and \( SM^2 \) is obtained.

Step 4: Comprehensive evaluation of the sample matrix. Firstly, obtain the ideal matrix \( SM^0 \). Then calculate the distance \( d (1,2,\ldots,n) \) between each GEIS and the corresponding ideal matrix. And in this paper, the Frobenius matrix norm is adopted.

According to the above steps, the final adaptability value is obtained, and the larger the final value is, the better adaptability is.

IV. EXAMPLES

The evaluation indices set and model are exemplified via the following case. The related data are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GEIS</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
<th>Robustness</th>
<th>Scalability</th>
<th>Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean time between failures</td>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td>Satisfaction degree</td>
<td>Change impact rate</td>
<td>Modular layer degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEIS1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEIS2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEIS3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the above process, the sample matrix is
According to GQM, this paper proposes a set of adaptability index system, which includes five aspects: Cost, Reliability, Robustness, Scalability and Security. Then the evaluation model is proposed to evaluate GEIS adaptability and exemplified though a case. The research in this paper can rich the adaptability theory and lay a foundation for the optimization of GEIS adaptability.
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