Peer-Review Statements

Nairobi[1]([✉]), Yuliansyah[2], Habibullah Jimad[3], Ryzal Perdana[4], Gede Eka Putrawan[5], and Trio Yuda Septiawan[6]

[1] Department of Economics Development, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia
nairobi@feb.unila.ac.id

[2] Department of Economics, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

[3] Department of Management, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

[4] Department of Educational Science, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

[5] Department of Language Education and Arts, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

[6] Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

All of the items in this proceedings volume were presented at the 5th ICEBE 2022, held at Radisson Hotel Bandar Lampung from September 6 to 7, 2022. These articles have been peer-reviewed by the members of the Board of Reviewers and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were open. Each submission was examined by two reviewer(s) independently.

The conference submission management system was EasyChair (EC).

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitableness. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;

Nairobi—Editor-in-Chief of the ICEBE.

2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	200
Number of articles sent for peer review	147
Number of accepted articles	59
Acceptance rate	29.5%
Number of reviewers	15

Competing Interests. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.