



Peer-Review Statements

Sofia Februanti¹, Mundakir Mundakir², Yelvi Levani², Puspa Liza Ghazali³,
Jumadil Saputra⁴, and Mujiarto Mujiarto⁵(✉)

¹ Poltekkes Kemenkes Tasikmalaya, Tasikmalaya, Indonesia

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

³ Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Terengganu, Malaysia

⁴ Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia

⁵ Universitas Muhammadiyah Tasikmalaya, Tasikmalaya, Indonesia

mujiarto@umtas.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the 1st MiCon (UMSurabaya Multidisciplinary International Conference) 2021 during 15th–16th December 2021 in Surabaya. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference’s review process.

1 Review Procedure

The reviews were double-blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewers independently.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, they were sent for peer review by matching each paper’s topic with the reviewers’ expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

Authors of a rejected submission were given the opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers’ comments. The acceptance or rejection of a revised manuscript was final.

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author(s) is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by relevant citations.

Reviewer should also call to the editor-in-chief’s attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which he/she has personal knowledge. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer’s own research without an expressed written consent of the author(s). Privileged information or ideas obtained through a peer review must be kept confidential and not utilized for personal advantages. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest.

Mujiarto—Editor-in-Chief of the 1st MiCon

© The Author(s) 2023

S. Februanti et al. (Eds.): MiCon 2021, ASEHR 708, pp. 1–3, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-022-0_1

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were instructed to assess the quality of submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher. Every submission will be plagiarism checked via TURNITIN. If the similarity index above 15%, the manuscript will be returned.

3 Key Metrics

| | |
|---|--------|
| Total submissions | 200 |
| Number of articles sent for peer review | 188 |
| Number of accepted articles | 121 |
| Acceptance rate | 64,36% |
| Number of reviewers | 25 |

Competing Interests. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

