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ABSTRACT
There are no specific teaching materials that accommodate student learning needs, and learning materials are still scattered in various sources. This condition occurs in the Geography of Disaster course, which so far there is no teaching material. Therefore, it is necessary to study textbooks for the Geography of Disaster as an alternative to find a way out in overcoming and making it easier for students to study these subjects, the purpose of this research and development is to "develop teaching materials for the Geography of Disasters based on experience to improve student learning competencies". This research is a development research. This study aims to develop and produce learning materials in the form of textbooks for teaching and learning strategies courses, especially on the subject of cooperative learning strategies. The development of constructivist learning tools assisted by textbooks with the 4-D model is quite effective in increasing student motivation and participation to be actively involved in the learning process compared to conventional learning. As many as 86.75% of students are actively involved in cooperative learning assisted by textbooks while in conventional learning the activeness of students is 63.25%.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Teaching materials have many roles, namely helping lecturers implement the curriculum, guidance in determining learning methods, providing opportunities for students to repeat or learn new lessons, and provide continuity of lessons even though lecturers change [1]. The gap between the desire to improve the quality of learning and the availability of teaching materials is a problem in realizing quality lectures, moreover it is difficult to find Disaster Geography books on the market both in Indonesian and in foreign languages.

Teaching materials developed for interactive learning are very important. This refers to the function of teaching materials as 1) a guide for lecturers to direct all their activities in the learning process, as well as a substance of competence that should be mastered by students, 2) a guide for students who will direct all their activities in the learning process as well as a substance of competence that should be studied and mastered, 3) evaluation tools for achievement/mastery of learning outcomes.

[2] states that teaching materials that can develop learning experiences are informative teaching materials (informing learning objectives), there are instructional (for face-to-face learning and practice), formulating clear learning experiences), motivation, exploration to help students make discoveries, new to learning. Furthermore, [3], stated that the ideal teaching materials are teaching materials that can provide information and learning experiences and are developed with good designs and features.

Based on this fact, so that students can gain experience in designing learning and achieving pedagogic competence in learning, it is necessary to make efforts to improve the learning of Disaster Geography courses. Considering the background that the learning of Disaster Geography courses must at least: 1) be able to provide students with opportunities to study Disaster Geography in general whenever needed; 2) can be repeated by the students themselves until the students understand; 3) able to provide feedback quickly on student responses; and 4) interactive and not boring learning; and able to develop student competence as a whole. One solution that can be used for this purpose is
the development of teaching materials for teaching Geography of Disasters that are experience-based and can be used by students to learn in order to achieve full competence. Based on the above background, the purpose of this research and development is to “develop experience-based Disaster Geography teaching materials to improve student learning competencies”

2. METHODS

This research is a development research. This study aims to develop and produce learning materials in the form of textbooks for the Geography of Disaster course.

2.1 Research Development Procedure

It has been mentioned in the previous chapter that the learning device development model used in this research is the development model developed by Thiagarajan, Semmel and Semmel which consists of the definition stage, the design stage, and the development stage[4].

2.2 Expert Validation

Activities at this stage are expert assessments, and field trials. Suggestions from the validators are used as the basis for the revision of the development tools carried out. Expert validation includes[5]:
1) The contents of the textbook are in accordance with the subject matter and the objectives to be measured.
2) Language, including:
   a) Do the sentences on the learning device use good and correct language.
   b) Does the sentence on the learning device not cause double interpretation?

2.3 Trial

1) Learning Device Trial

The trial of learning tools in the classroom aims to determine the clarity, legibility, and compatibility between the time planned in the lesson plan and its implementation. The results obtained from this trial are used for revision of learning devices.

2) Trial Subject

The trial of this learning device was carried out on semester III students of the Social Sciences Education Department Class A and B, Faculty of Social Sciences and Law, State University of Surabaya.

3) Product Development Trial Design

Product development trials were carried out using a two-group pretest-posttest design, which can be described in the following scheme:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O1</th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>O2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>X2</td>
<td>O2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: O1 = initial test (pretest)  
X1 = control class (without the use of learning textbooks)  
X2 = treatment (use of learning textbooks)  
O2 = final test (posttest)  
O1 = O2

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Description Of The Defining Stage

3.1.1 Early-late analysis

At this stage the researchers found that so far learning activities tend to be one-way, lecturer- student interactions. Lecturers explain the material using the lecture method, and students listen or takenotes[6]. This of course results in students being passive in participating in learning activities. The learning tools designed also do not contain constructivist learning. Learning activities that are applied tend to be classical in nature and do not involve student activities in groups, even though this is important to train students’ social skills. This problem, as an alternative, can be overcome by designing learning tools that contain constructivist understanding with the help of textbooks as learning materials[7]

3.1.2 Student Analysis

Students who become subjects in this study have diverse characteristics and also different socio- economic backgrounds. Likewise, if viewed from an academic ability, the level of intelligence is not much different in each class, because students in each class in the Geography Education Department have random input, where students are accepted using the SBMPTN, SNMPTN, Bidik Misi, as wellas Independent Path[8].

3.1.3 Material Analysis

The material taught in this study is a Statistics course with basic statistical material. The selection of materials is based on the purpose of this research, namely the development of teaching materials for Disaster Geography[9]
3.2 Description Of The Result Of The Design (Design)

3.2.1 Test Compilation

The preparation of the test is preceded by compiling a test grid based on the specifications of the learning objectives. That is, the test grid is a map of the distribution of items that have been prepared so that with these items it is expected that the level of test quality will be good. The tests developed were pre-test and post-test. Pre-test is given to students at the beginning of learning activities and post-test at the end of the meeting[10]

3.2.2 Media Selection

The learning media used are textbooks. This textbook is designed specifically for student learning materials for 2 face-to-face meetings

3.3 Description of The Result of The Development Stage (Develop)

3.3.1 Expert validation

Expert validation is very important to determine whether or not the development product is suitable for use in trials in the experimental class. Of course, this feasibility is with the need for revision of the intended learning materials or textbooks[11]

In stage 1 validation, there are several suggestions from the validator to make improvements, especially those related to format, namely considerations between text and illustrations as well as choosing the type and size of letters. After improvements have been made, in stage 2 validation, results are obtained in the "good" category so that the product can be used as learning material in the Geography of Disaster course[12].

It can be explained that the results of the validation at stage 1 generally obtained the category of "not good" textbooks, then after improvements were made, stage 2 obtained the results of developing textbooks that were in the "good" category so that the product could be used as learning material in the Geography of Disaster course.

3.3.2 Product Trial

The trial of learning tools was carried out in the 2018 class of the Social Sciences Education S1 Study Program, which amounted to 84 people. Furthermore, the determination of product trials was carried out randomly and in the end class A was chosen as the experimental class and class B as the control class[13]

3.3.3 Test validity

Based on the product moment correlation formula, the validity of each test item is obtained as follows (the calculations can be seen in the Appendix).

Table 1. The results of the analysis of the validity of the test items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Koef. Validity</th>
<th>Validity Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>sufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.832</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>sufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the data in the table above, the level of validity of each test item is mostly in the high category and only a few in the sufficient category. Thus, all the test items can be said to be valid so that they are suitable for use without revision.

3.3.4 Reliability

Based on the calculation results obtained by the reliability coefficient \( \alpha = 0.822 \). This means that the reliability of the learning outcomes test instrument developed is included in the "very high" category. Thus, the test instrument can be said to be reliable and can be used without revision to measure the level of student mastery of the material.
4. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 Student Activities

The results of observations on student activities in cooperative learning who use textbooks and those who do not use textbooks, can be seen in the following table.

Table 2. Student Activity Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Observational aspect</th>
<th>1st meeting (%)</th>
<th>2nd meeting (%)</th>
<th>3rd meeting (%)</th>
<th>Average (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Express your ideas and opinions</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ask</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Using textbooks</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Doing assignments on time</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The level of student activity in the control class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Observational aspect</th>
<th>1st meeting (%)</th>
<th>2nd meeting (%)</th>
<th>3rd meeting (%)</th>
<th>Average (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Express your ideas and opinions</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ask</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Using textbooks</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Doing assignments on time</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the two tables above, it can be explained that the activeness of students seen from the aspect of expressing their ideas and opinions, asking questions, obtaining teaching materials or materials and doing assignments between the experimental class and the control class is very much different. The participation rate of the experimental class (learning with textbooks) is 86.75% while for the control class (conventional learning) it is 63.25%. The highest value that distinguishes the two is in the material aspect and doing the task[14].

4.2 Description of the experimental result

To answer the second research question, experimental research was conducted, namely comparing the control class with the class that received treatment. [15] Learning tools that have been developed, namely the final device, are used in this experiment. The research subjects consisted of one class that was randomly selected from two parallel classes.

[16] with each sign (p) = 0.000. Thus, there was a significant increase between the pre-test and post-test scores. The results of the t test of two independent samples (independent sample t test) stated that there was a difference in the post-test value of the experimental class and the control class, with a sign value (p) of 0.000. This means that there is a significant effect of textbook-assisted cooperative learning on student learning outcomes. Thus, cooperative learning with the help of textbooks has been proven to be significant in improving student learning outcomes[17].

4.3 Discussion

Constructivist learning can be developed with a variety of learning strategies and one of them is cooperative learning. Cooperative learning is able to increase student participation to be active and directly involved in the learning process. This is in line with [18] opinion that constructivist learning is able to arouse students’ awareness to express their ideas explicitly.
The results[19] of textbook-assisted learning are not significantly different from conventional learning (although the results are better) because the media is actually just a tool, which cannot replace the role of the teacher in the classroom.[20] However, the results of the study show that using textbooks can create higher student motivation and learning participation. These findings indicate that students do not experience psychological pressure so that the courage to express their ideas will appear when learning to use textbooks.[21]

Textbooks can be printed teaching materials that are very economical and practical. It is said to be economical and practical because textbooks generally only contain summaries or conclusions or parts of important material so that students can immediately know the basics and important points in the material being studied using textbooks.[1]

Textbooks that contain important points from the subject matter being studied, if used, of course, will not create confusion for students in learning a material. The design of textbook teaching materials like this certainly makes student learning more guided, students know what to learn so they don't study materials that are not relevant to the subject or subject matter being studied using textbooks. [22]
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