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ABSTRACT
This paper aims to explore whether winning wars brings the country and its people better lives or not. This work analyzes the condition of Austerity Britain after World War II, and generated the conclusion of winning the war actually couldn’t bring definite or comprehensive benefits to a country and its people.
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1. INTRODUCTION
"In a life and death struggle, we cannot afford to leave our destinies in the hands of failures.” This is a statement by British Labor Party Opposition leader Clement Attlee during World War II [1]. Just like him, there were hundred and thousand leaders who had insisted on their willingness to lead their countries to victory during wars.

Figure 1 “Churchill: When Britain Said No.” BBC Two, BBC, 24 Apr. 2019, www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/b05x31b6.

Moreover, winning a war was always regarded as an honorable event and better for the whole country and society. People from all walks of life, no matter of their age were eager to win a war rather than to lose one. However, we needed to think deeply as to World War II, as it was one of the most tragic wars in the history. Did winning World War II bring people more benefits or not? To explore and solve this question, I will focus on the catastrophic effects of victory in Great Britain. The suffering of people in postwar Great Britain shows vividly that winning World War II did not benefit them at all.

2. ANALYSIS AND REFLECTION
To prove that Great Britain actually did not benefit all the citizens after winning World War II, two aspects would be discussed in my following essay. First of all, secondary sources shall be facilitated to demonstrate the economic situation of postwar Britain. Second, primary sources shall be used to illustrate how the austerity period of postwar Britain having affected its citizens.

Firstly, the economy didn’t run as smoothly as Britain had expected. When World War II ended in 1945 after Japan surrendered, Britain had been caught in an extremity. While other countries who had won the War
were enjoying the harvest of victory, Great Britain was pushed into the age of austerity. According to statistics [2], Great Britain had spent about $7 billion, namely more than a quarter of national wealth, on the war. What’s worse, by the end of 1945, the debt of Britain had increased from $760 million to $3500 million, which was over 200% and almost reaching 250% of their GDP of that time [3], and the country’s gold reserve had decreased from $1.1 billion to $4 million. In conclusion, these statistics showed that Britain had spent a large proportion of its money and assets on the war, which left the massive amount of debt after that. In other words, the age of austerity was a period when the whole country had a declining economic situation and suffered from money shortage.

However, not only the general situation of postwar Great Britain had become awful as showed statistically, but also the average British citizens had suffered severely as well after the war, as demonstrated by analyzing the following primary sources from that period.

Secondly, life in postwar Britain was “Dreariness everywhere”. One schoolteacher wrote in his diary in 1948 “Streets are deserted, lighting is dim, people’s clothes are shabby and their tables are bare.”[3]. Even though one single paragraph from a teacher living in Great Britain in 1948 couldn’t draw any conclusion yet, but we could still get a hint of people’s life from it. In fact, people were suffering miserable life then. One of the most obvious features was the shortage of daily necessities.

Winston Churchill once warned the British citizens that after World War II, “Britain may be left bankrupt” [4]. Sure enough, a series of social problems followed the war: Transportation was severely damaged due to the destruction by weapons during the war; factories ran out of fuel because they had devoted all the fuels into making weapons; the facilities had been so damaged that people at home did not have enough electricity to cook with, and over a third of the whole country’s twelve million houses had no hot water provided [5]. Besides, “Rationing (during the war) was still in force, especially with bread, due to a bad wheat harvest”. In addition to this, it was written in the newspaper article published in 1945 that “(people) Bemoan the absence of turkey, plum pudding, port, crackers and crystallized fruits and forget about the absence of sirens, bombs, doodlebugs, rockets, fire watching and all the other nuisances of the past few years [6].” This article was published on December 22, 1945, when Christmas was approaching. Christmas meant to be a festive time when people recovered from the loss from war, celebrating the victory and enjoying the postwar peace and harvest. But back then, due to the negative economy situation of the government partly, people were even focusing more on the lack of food and the lack of daily necessities. And even though the war had ended, and all the bombs and weapons had stopped damaging, people’s joy to celebrate or live happily had gone nowhere.

Another significant result that the postwar Britain society had presented was the dim and dark social atmosphere. During and after World War II it was a period when the crime rate in Great Brain had rose dramatically [7].
Throughout this period, the reported crimes in Britain had “rose from 303,711 to 478,394, an increase of 57 percent” [8]. Because of the difficult living conditions during that period, people did not hesitate to do things immorally, such as robbery and stealing. What’s worse, almost one-third of those who had committed robbery or stealing were teenagers aged under seventeen [8]. That those teenagers thought the world owed them better lives[8] due to the tough lives explain these behaviors. We could see from it that there wasn’t a stable and harmonious social environment, even for children to establish correct concept of morality or grow up positively. It reflected the adverse living condition and social atmosphere that British citizens had suffered from.

3. CONCLUSION

As the severe consequence of winning World War II brought Britain and its citizens the declining economy, the shortage of daily necessities, and the exacerbating of social morality to name a few, it was safe to conclude that not every country which had won a war could get good influence and results and we should consider deeply when facing particular wars. However, in the 21st century, a peaceful era, countries should try their best to communicate gently with each other, avoid starting wars, and build a harmonious world. After all, the wars could bring human egregious effects.
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