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ABSTRACT

The present study aimed to extract the LST and analyses its relationship with land-use/land cover and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), normalized difference water index (NDWI), and normalized difference built-up index (NDBI) in the greater Arba Minch, Rift valley, Ethiopia. The study was conducted for the two periods (08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020). The LST results show that 8°C, 7°C, and 38°C, 34°C are low and high during 2013 and 2020. A supervised image classification method was carried out in ArcGIS software to map the different LULC units in the present study area. Overall, the LULC dynamics indicate a notable increment in shrubland & plantation and, settlement and barren land. And, the forest and water bodies have decreased in small amounts, and bushland has reduced considerably. The current study’s NDVI results indicate a notable decrease in the forest area and NDWI values, indicating shrinkage of water bodies during 2020. There are significant positive changes in NDBI value from 2013 to 2020.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, human activities change and modify the environment. For example, modification of land use/land cover (LULC) involves deforestation, encroachment in water bodies, haphazard urbanization, etc. [1], [2]. In Ethiopia, LULC changes are increasing every year, and it is causing significant environmental problems. It is mainly due to population growth, overgrazing, and other factors, such as local communities’ insights towards land management, which leads to a massive amount of converting of environment and depletion of natural resources [3]. Remote sensing data provide synoptic views of the spectral, spatial, and temporal changes of the LULC [4], [5], [6], [7].

The LST has been broadly used throughout the world by various researchers to understand yearly fluctuations in temperature and precipitation, the pattern of vegetation, and urban area aggregation [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13] were studied LULC changes and its relationship with LST. Thereby, evaluating LST and its changes over a specified period can adequately represent LULC changes in the specific region.

The remote sensing indices such as normalized difference built-up index (NDBI), normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), and normalized difference water index (NDWI) help extract vegetation, water bodies, and built-up area, respectively [14], [15]. For the past few decades, NDVI has often been used to assess vegetation percentage in the region [16]. The NDVI ranges from -1 to 1; high NDVI values indicate that the thick vegetative area and low NDVI values suggest the non-vegetative area [17], [18]. The NDBI values range from -1 to 1; high NDBI values indicate that the built-up areas and low NDBI values show the other regions. The NDBI values range from -1 to +1, higher NDBI values indicating the built-up area, and negative values showing the non-built-up area. By providing timely and synoptic views of land-based coverage, remote sensing images more suitable for monitor NDVI, NDWI, and NDBI [19], [20], [21], [22].

Furthermore, LST has connections with NDVI, NDWI, and NDBI, and it varies significantly in different LULC
types and times [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28] [29], [30], [31], [32]. There are various methods for measuring the LST by using field measurements, but that's very complex and expensive. The recent developments in remote sensing technology provide for high temporal resolution LST assessment. However, the LST measurements and assessments were only carried out in urban centres or a country or a region [33], [34], [35], [36], [37].

2. RELATED WORKS

Dynamics of LULC and LST study was carried out in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal [38]. The research was carried out to study the characteristics and processes of a decade and a half of urbanization in India's National Capital Region (NCR), focusing on the relationship between urbanizing land use land cover (LULC) and environmental changes [39]. The following researchers have analyzed relationships between land use, green cover, and surface temperatures using geospatial tools [40, 41, 42, 43]. Over 28 years, a study was carried out to examine the impact of anthropogenic activities and LULC on climate in Bambasi Woreda, Ethiopia [44]. A study was conducted to evaluate the relationship between Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and forage biomass in Teltele rangelands in Ethiopia [45]. LULC changes and their link to land degradation has been studied in the Arsi Negele district, Central Rift Valley, Ethiopia [46]. NDVI dynamics has been studied around Gondar town, Northern Ethiopia [47].

Because of all these and the significance of remote sensing in LST analysis and its relationship with LULC changes and remote sensing indices, a study was carried out in the greater Arba Minch area, Rift Valley, Ethiopia. This study aimed to investigate LST variation over a wide range of land use/land coverage classes and the relations among the remote sensing indexes, including NDVI, NDWI, and NDBI. Greater Arba Minch, Rift Valley, Ethiopia, is a present study area situated in a highly undulating topography with a wide variety of land-use/land cover, such as lakes, built-up and barren lands, forest, bush, and shrubland & plantation. The first research on LST assessment and its relationship with LULC and NDVI, NDWI, and NDBI utilizes Landsat-8 (OLI + TIRS) imagery for the current study area. The present study results are much helpful in the analysis of environmental changes in the present study area [51-55].

3. TAXONOMY OF RESEARCH

Landsat-8 satellite images for 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020 were downloaded from the USGS Earth Explorer website. The path is 169, and the row is 56. In the present research, Landsat 8 images with 11 bands (band1- ultra blue, band 2-blue, band 3-green, band 4-red, band 5- near-infrared, band 6-short wave infra-red-1, band 7- short wave infrared-2, band 8-panchromatic, band 9- cirrus, ban 10 & 11 are thermal bands were used to generate the LULC maps and different remote sensing indices. Fig. 1 shows the methodology flow chart of the present study. The LST of the present study was retrieved using RS& GIS plugin in QGIS software False-colour composite (FCC) of Landsat-8 satellite imagery was used for land use/ land cover mapping. Supervised image classification has been carried out in ArcGIS software through an image classification tool to map the different LULC units in the present study area. The raster calculator of ArcGIS software was used to calculate remote sensing indices. Finally, a correlation assessment was carried out between dynamics of LULC, LST, NDVI, NDBI and NDWI for the selected study period [56].

4. STUDY AREA

The present study area, greater Arba Minch, is located in the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples' Region of Ethiopia. And the current study area is located in the Rift Valley [57]. The study area latitudes are 5°44’N to 6°16’N, and longitudes are 37°2’ to 37°45’. Abaya and Chamo are the major lakes in the present study area. The current study area is 500km south of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia's capital. Dolerite, rhyolite, and different basalts such as vesicular, aphanitic, porphyritic, colluvial, and alluvial sediments are the principal rock types in the present study area. The present study area has rugged topography, 1109 m, 3544 m, and the current study area's minimum and maximum elevation [58-60]. Fig. 2 shows the current study area location map.

Figure 1 Methodology flow chart
5. SCHEMATIC ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1. LST Retrieval and Analysis

The LST results show that 8°C, 7°C, and 38°C, 34°C are the low and high LST during 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020. Table I represents the statistics of the LST values. The present study's LST results were compared with Earth Skin Temperature (EST) data obtained from NASA (https://power.larc.nasa.gov/data-access-viewer/) [61-63]. For the comparative analysis, fifteen representative points were taken. In the present study, negligible disparities among EST and LST were observed in a few occurrences [64]. It is primarily due to the characteristics of sensors and changes in weather conditions. Fig 3 shows the LST map of the present study area. The LST results showed that areas with water bodies and vegetation have low LST while built-up areas and barren land have high LST (yellow and blue colour, respectively, in Fig. 3).

![Figure 2 Study Area Map](image1)

![Figure 3 LST Maps](image2)

Table 1. Statistics of LST Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>08 Dec.2013</th>
<th></th>
<th>27 Dec.2020</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Stdev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST in Degree Celsius</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>38.23</td>
<td>24.39</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2. LULC Mapping and its Accuracy Assessment

Six major LULC classes were identified in the current study area viz: (1) bushland, (2) barren land, (3) forest, (4) settlements, (5) shrubland, and plantation (6) water bodies. The LULC mentioned above categories were also confirmed by field checks and high-resolution Google earth’s satellite images.

On 08 Dec 2013, the shrubland & plantation covered most of the study area and enclosed around 25.5% of the study area. The remaining LULC classes, such as bushland, forests, settlement & barren land, and water bodies, have covered about 19.14%, 19.35%, 18.85%, and 17.23% total area, respectively (Table 2). On 27 Dec 2020, bushland; settlement & barren land area percentage has increased by 24.38% and 21.72 %, respectively. On the contrary, the forest area, shrubland & plantation, and water bodies have decreased, and their area percentage is 19.11%, 16.89, and 17.9%, respectively, compared to 08 Dec 2013. Overall, the LULC dynamics indicate a notable increment in shrubland & settlement and settlement and barren land. The forest and water bodies have decreased in small amounts, and bushland has reduced considerably (Table 2). Fig 4 shows the study period LULC maps.

LULC classification’s precision was defined in terms of both the user’s exactness and the producer’s precision. The producer's precision is described as the quantity of an adequately classified land category on the classification image. On the contrary, the user's accuracy is defined as the likelihood of a class on the classification image being correct when used on the ground. Fifty points were selected randomly from the classified LULC map to carry out the accuracy assessment using Google earth’s image archives.

Table 2. LULC Distribution and its Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LULC Types</th>
<th>08 Dec 2013</th>
<th>27 Dec 2020</th>
<th>LULC change 2013 to 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area Sqkm</td>
<td>Area %</td>
<td>Area Sqkm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>337.44</td>
<td>19.35</td>
<td>333.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland &amp; plantation</td>
<td>444.67</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>294.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement &amp; Barren land</td>
<td>328.71</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td>378.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>299.36</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>312.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and field verification. Tables 3 & 4 show the accuracy assessment on 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020, respectively. The accuracy assessments were carried out using the following equations 1 to 4.

User Accuracy = (Number of correctly classified pixels in each category / Total number of classified pixels in that category) * 100
(1)

Producer Accuracy = (Number of correctly classified pixels in each category / Total number of reference pixels in that category) * 100
(2)

Overall Accuracy = (Total number of classified pixels / Total number of reference pixels) * 100
(3)

Kappa coefficient = ((TS*TCS) - ∑ (Column total * Row total)) / TS2
(4)

Where TS= Total sample and TCS= Total corrected sample

The average user, producer, overall accuracies, and Kappa coefficients are 81%, 83%, 83%, 86%, 80%, 78%, 71%, and 71% on 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020, respectively (Table 3 and 4).

5.3. LULC Dynamics and LST Relationship Analysis

The LST variation over the different LULC verities may be due to the LST of LULC type. In the present study on 08 Dec, 2013 and 28 Dec 2020, the barren land and settlement area shows the highest LST range 27°C – 38°C and 24°C – 34°C, respectively. The medium-range LST (22°C – 26°C, 08 Dec 2013 and 20°C – 230C, on and 28 Dec 2020) were observed in shrubland & plantation and bushland. In contrast, on 08 Dec 2013 and 28 Dec 2020, the water bodies and forest area show the lowest LST range 8°C – 22°C and 7°C – 19°C, respectively (Fig 3 and 4).
Figure 4 LULC Maps

Table 3. Accuracy Assessment for LULC Classification on 08 DEC 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LULC Types</th>
<th>Bushland</th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Shrubland &amp; plantation</th>
<th>Settlement &amp; Barren land</th>
<th>Water bodies</th>
<th>Total (user)</th>
<th>User's accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bushland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland &amp; plantation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement &amp; Barren land</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (producer)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer's accuracy</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall accuracy = 80%
Kappa coefficient = 71%

Table 4. Accuracy Assessment for LULC Classification on 27 DEC 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LULC Types</th>
<th>Bushland</th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Shrubland &amp; plantation</th>
<th>Settlement &amp; Barren land</th>
<th>Water bodies</th>
<th>Total (user)</th>
<th>User's accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bushland</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland &amp; plantation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement &amp; Barren land</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bodies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (producer)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Producer's accuracy</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall accuracy = 78%
Kappa coefficient = 71%

5.4. Remote sensing indices and their relationship with LST

The following remote sensing indices (NDVI, NDWI, and NDBI) were used in this study, and the same was extracted from the various bands of LANDSAT 8 (OLI & TIRS) satellite image using the standard formula. The near-infrared and red bands of the LANDSAT 8 image were used in the present study to calculate NDVI using the following equation in ArcGIS.

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\text{near-infrared} - \text{red}}{\text{near-infrared} + \text{red}} \quad (5)
\]

The values of NDVI vary from -1 to +1. Higher NDVI values indicate the rich and healthier vegetative cover. The resultant minimum and maximum NDVI values ranged from -0.517 to 0.853 and -0.527 to 0.833 on 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020. In NDVI, it was noted which frequent variation
occurs with time changes due to the change in land use/land cover in the present study area. NDVI has shown its highest positive value on 08 Dec 2013. Fig 5 shows the NDVI map of the present study. The current study's NDVI results indicate a notable decrease in the forest area on 27 Dec 2020. Extensive studies were carried out to find an actual link between LST and NDVI [48, 49, 50]. The study results revealed that high vegetative areas show low LST and vice versa during the study period (Fig 3 and 5).

NDBI and NDWI were considered for perceiving the built-up and water index, respectively. NDBI was calculated using the following equation

$$\text{NDBI} = \frac{\text{mid-infrared} - \text{near-infrared}}{\text{mid-infrared} + \text{near-infrared}}$$ (6)

The values' of NDBI vary from -1 to +1. Higher NDBI values indicate the rich and healthier vegetative cover. The resultant minimum and maximum NDBI values ranged from -0.411 to 0.237 and -0.449 to 0.252 on 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020. There are notable changes in NDBI value during the study period. The built-up and barren rock areal coverage have increased on 27 Dec 2020 compared to 08 Dec 2013. It has a positive relationship with LST, and it shows high LST values in the settlement and barren land area. Fig 6 shows the NDBI map of the present study. The study results revealed that barren land and settlement show high LST, and the other regions such as forest, water bodies, bushland, and shrubland & plantation show comparatively low LST (Fig 3 and 6).

NDWI has been used to classify water bodies, and the water index has also been extracted by using given equations.

$$\text{NDWI} = \frac{\text{green} - \text{near-infrared}}{\text{green} + \text{near-infrared}}$$ (7)

NDWI was calculated in the present study to extract the water bodies of the current study area. There are minor changes in NDWI value during the study period. The resultant minimum and maximum NDWI values ranged from -0.760 to 0.568 and -0.743 to 0.521 on 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020. The study results show a decrement in positive NDWI values on 27 Dec 2020, indicating water bodies' shrinkage due to various reasons. NDWI has a negative relationship with LST (Fig 3 and 7). Table 4 shows the statistics of the NDVI, NDWI, and NDBI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remote Sensing Indices</th>
<th>08 Dec 2013</th>
<th>27 Dec 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>-0.517</td>
<td>0.853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDBI</td>
<td>-0.475</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDWI</td>
<td>-0.760</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. NDVI, NDBI, and NDWI Statistics

![Figure 5 NDVI Maps](image-url)
6. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, spatial-temporal trends of LULC, NDVI, NDBI, NDWI, and LST and their interrelationship have systematically analyzed using Landsat 8 remote sensing data in the greater Arba Minch, Rift Valley, Ethiopia on 08 Dec 2013 and 27 Dec 2020. The present study LULC dynamics indicate a notable increment in shrubland & settlement and settlement and barren land. In contrast, the forest and water bodies have decreased in small amounts, and bushland has reduced considerably. The present study demonstrates the relationship between the LULC, NDVI, NDBI, NDWI, and LST. Results show a positive connection with barren land & settlement, high NDBI values with the LST. In contrast LST shows a negative association with water bodies, forests, shrubland and high NDVI and NDWI values. It has proved successful in using RS & GIS techniques in LULC dynamics, derivation of different remote sensing indices, and further study of their interrelationships. The present study has provided a crucial justification for constant monitoring research of land...
management changes and policy support developing policies to manage land efficiently resources.
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