

Research Article

# Nurowski's Conformal Class of a Maximally Symmetric (2,3,5)-Distribution and its Ricci-flat Representatives

Matthew Randall\*

*Institute of Mathematical Sciences, ShanghaiTech University, 393 Middle Huaxia Road, Shanghai 201210, China*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article History

Received 02 September 2019  
 Accepted 23 January 2020

### Keywords

(2,3,5)-distributions  
 Nurowski's conformal structure  
 generalised Chazy equation

### 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification

53A30  
 58A15  
 34A05  
 34A34 (primary)

## ABSTRACT

We show that the solutions to the second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameters  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  naturally show up in the conformal rescaling that takes a representative metric in Nurowski's conformal class associated to a maximally symmetric (2,3,5)-distribution  $\left( \text{described locally by a certain function } \varphi(x, q) = \frac{q^2}{H''(x)} \right)$  to a Ricci-flat one.

© 2020 The Author. Published by Atlantis Press B.V.

This is an open access article distributed under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

The article concerns the occurrence of the  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  generalised Chazy equation in a geometric setting, closely connected to the occurrence of the solutions of the generalised Chazy equation with parameters  $k = \frac{2}{3}$  and  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  respectively. We first discuss the set-up in which the differential equations will appear. This concerns the theory of maximally non-integrable rank 2 distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on a 5-manifold  $M$ . The maximally non-integrable condition of  $\mathcal{D}$  determines a filtration of the tangent bundle  $TM$  given by

$$\mathcal{D} \subset [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}] \subset [\mathcal{D}, [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}]] \cong TM.$$

The distribution  $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}]$  has rank 3 while the full tangent space  $TM$  has rank 5, hence such a geometry is also known as a (2,3,5)-distribution. Let  $M_{xyzpq}$  denote the 5-dimensional mixed order jet space  $J^{2,0}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) \cong J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$  with local coordinates given by  $(x, y, z, p, q) = (x, y, z, y', y'')$  (see also [15], [16]). Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(x,y,z,y',y'')}$  denote the maximally non-integrable rank 2 distribution on  $M_{xyzpq}$  associated to the underdetermined differential equation  $z' = \varphi(x, y, z, y', y'')$ . This means that the distribution is annihilated by the following three 1-forms

$$\omega_1 = dy - p dx, \quad \omega_2 = dp - q dx, \quad \omega_3 = dz - \varphi(x, y, z, p, q) dx.$$

Such a distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(x,y,z,y',y'')}$  is said to be in Monge normal form (see page 90 of [15]). In Section 5 of [11], it is shown how to associate canonically to such a (2,3,5)-distribution a conformal class of metrics of split signature (2,3) (henceforth known as Nurowski's conformal structure or Nurowski's conformal metrics) such that the rank 2 distribution is isotropic with respect to any metric in the conformal class. The method of equivalence [5] (also see the introduction to [3], Section 5 of [11], [14] and [10]) produces the 1-forms  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5)$  that gives a coframing for Nurowski's metric. These 1-forms satisfy the structure equations

\*Email: [mjrandall@shanghaitech.edu.cn](mailto:mjrandall@shanghaitech.edu.cn)

$$\begin{aligned}
d\theta_1 &= \theta_1 \wedge (2\Omega_1 + \Omega_4) + \theta_2 \wedge \Omega_2 + \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4, \\
d\theta_2 &= \theta_1 \wedge \Omega_3 + \theta_2 \wedge (\Omega_1 + 2\Omega_4) + \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5, \\
d\theta_3 &= \theta_1 \wedge \Omega_5 + \theta_2 \wedge \Omega_6 + \theta_3 \wedge (\Omega_1 + \Omega_4) + \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5, \\
d\theta_4 &= \theta_1 \wedge \Omega_7 + \frac{4}{3}\theta_3 \wedge \Omega_6 + \theta_4 \wedge \Omega_1 + \theta_5 \wedge \Omega_2, \\
d\theta_5 &= \theta_1 \wedge \Omega_7 - \frac{4}{3}\theta_3 \wedge \Omega_5 + \theta_4 \wedge \Omega_3 + \theta_5 \wedge \Omega_4,
\end{aligned} \tag{0.1}$$

where  $(\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_7)$  and two additional 1-forms  $(\Omega_8, \Omega_9)$  together define a rank 14 principal bundle over the 5-manifold  $M$  (see [5] and Section 5 of [11]). A representative metric in Nurowski's conformal class [11] is given by

$$g = 2\theta_1\theta_5 - 2\theta_2\theta_4 + \frac{4}{3}\theta_3\theta_3. \tag{0.2}$$

When  $g$  has vanishing Weyl tensor, the distribution is called maximally symmetric and has split  $G_2$  as its group of local symmetries. For further details, see the introduction to [3] and Section 5 of [11]. For further discussion on the relationship between maximally symmetric (2,3,5)-distributions and the automorphism group of the split octonions, see Section 2 of [15].

The historically important example is the Hilbert-Cartan distribution obtained when  $\varphi(x, y, z, p, q) = q^2$  [5]. This distribution gives the flat model of a (2,3,5)-distribution and is associated to the Hilbert-Cartan equation  $z' = (y'')^2$  (see Section 5 of [11] for a discussion of this equation). When  $\varphi(x, y, z, p, q) = q^m$ , we obtain the distribution associated to the equation  $z' = (y'')^m$ . For such distributions, Nurowski's metric [11] given by (0.2) has vanishing Weyl tensor precisely when  $m \in \left\{-1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 2\right\}$ . For the values of  $m = -1, \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{2}{3}$  the maximally symmetric distributions are all locally diffeomorphic to the  $m = 2$  Hilbert-Cartan case.

In this article, we consider distributions of the form  $\varphi(x, y, z, p, q) = \frac{q^2}{H''(x)}$ . The Weyl tensor vanishes in the case where  $H(x)$  satisfies the 6th-order ordinary differential equation (ODE) known as Noth's equation [3]. For such maximally symmetric distributions we find the corresponding Ricci-flat representatives in Nurowski's conformal class. This involves solving a second-order differential equation (see Proposition 35 of [15]) to find the conformal scale in which the Ricci tensor of the conformally rescaled metric vanishes, which turns out to be related to the solutions of Noth's equation. The 6th-order ODE can be solved by the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  and its Legendre dual is another 6th-order ODE that can be solved by the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k = \frac{2}{3}$  [12].

We find the second-order differential equation that determines the conformal scale for Ricci-flatness involves solutions of the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k = 3$  and in the dual case  $k = 2$ . This is the content of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in Section 3. We also give few remarks concerning the case for other parameters of  $k$  in Section 4.

The aim of finding Ricci-flat representatives is motivated by the consideration that in the Ricci-flat, conformally flat case, we might be able to integrate the structure equations and redefine local coordinates to obtain the Hilbert-Cartan distribution. This is possible for the distributions of the form  $\varphi(x, y, z, p, q) = q^m$ , with  $m \in \left\{-1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right\}$  (see [9]), but would require further investigations in the general setting.

The computations here are done using the indispensable DifferentialGeometry package in Maple 2018.

## 1. DERIVING THE EQUATION FOR RICCI-FLATNESS

We consider the rank 2 distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(x,q)}$  on  $M_{xyzpq}$  associated to the underdetermined differential equation  $z' = \varphi(x, y'')$  where  $\varphi(x, y'') = \frac{(y'')^2}{H''(x)}$  and  $H''(x)$  is a non-zero function of  $x$ . This is to say that the distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(x,q)}$  is annihilated by the three 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1 &= dy - p dx, \\
\omega_2 &= dp - q dx, \\
\omega_3 &= dz - \varphi(x, q) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(x, q) = \frac{q^2}{H''(x)}$ . These three 1-forms are completed to a coframing on  $M_{xyzpq}$  by the additional 1-forms

$$\omega_4 = dq - \frac{H^{(3)}}{H''} q dx, \quad \omega_5 = -\frac{H''}{2} dx.$$

Taking appropriate linear combinations, we let

$$\theta_1 = \omega_3 - \frac{2}{H''}q\omega_2, \quad \theta_2 = \omega_1, \quad \theta_3 = \left(\frac{2}{H''}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\omega_2,$$

with

$$\theta_4 = \left(\frac{2}{H''}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\omega_4 + a_{41}\theta_1 + a_{42}\theta_2 + a_{43}\theta_3$$

and

$$\theta_5 = \left(\frac{2}{H''}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\omega_5 + a_{51}\theta_1 + a_{52}\theta_2 + a_{53}\theta_3.$$

Imposing Cartan’s structure equations (0.1) on  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5)$  then gives the constraints  $a_{51} = a_{53} = 0$  and  $a_{41} = a_{52}$ , which we can set both to

be zero, and we also find  $a_{42} = \frac{1}{30} \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}(3H''H^{(4)} - 5(H^{(3)})^2)}{(H'')^{\frac{8}{3}}}$  and  $a_{43} = -\frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}H^{(3)}}{3(H'')^{\frac{4}{3}}}$ . We obtain the 1-forms  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5)$  that give a coframing

for a metric in Nurowski’s conformal class [11], related to the 1-forms  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5)$  as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \theta_4 \\ \theta_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{2q}{H''} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{H''}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}(3H''H^{(4)} - 5(H^{(3)})^2)}{30(H'')^{\frac{8}{3}}} & -\frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}H^{(3)}}{3(H'')^{\frac{5}{3}}} & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{H''}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{H''}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_4 \\ \omega_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The metric  $g = 2\theta_1\theta_5 - 2\theta_2\theta_4 + \frac{4}{3}\theta_3\theta_3$  is conformally flat, i.e. the metric  $g$  has vanishing Weyl tensor if and only if  $H(x)$  is a solution to the 6th-order nonlinear differential equation

$$10(H'')^3 H^{(6)} - 70(H'')^2 H^{(3)} H^{(5)} - 49(H'')^2 (H^{(4)})^2 + 280H''(H^{(3)})^2 H^{(4)} - 175(H^{(3)})^4 = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

This equation is called Noth’s equation [3]. In this case the distribution of the form  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(x,q)}$  is maximally symmetric and in the paper we will concern ourselves with the problem of finding Ricci-flat representatives in the conformal class of metrics associated to this distribution.

The explicit form of the metric given by the distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(x,q)}$  is as follows. If we replace  $H''(x) = e^{\int \frac{2}{3}P(x)dx}$ , then we find that equation (1.1)

reduces to the  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  generalised Chazy equation

$$P''' - 2PP'' + 3P'^2 - \frac{4}{36 - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2} (6P' - P^2)^2 = 0,$$

and we find that the conformally rescaled metric  $\tilde{g} = 2^{-\frac{2}{3}}(H'')^{\frac{2}{3}}g$  has the form

$$\tilde{g} = -\frac{2}{15} \left( P' - \frac{4}{9}P^2 \right) \omega_1\omega_1 + \frac{4}{9}P\omega_1\omega_2 + \frac{4}{3}\omega_2\omega_2 + 2\omega_3\omega_5 - 2\omega_1\omega_4 - 4qe^{\int -\frac{2}{3}Pdx} \omega_2\omega_5.$$

We can reexpress this metric as

$$\tilde{g} = -\frac{2}{15}\left(P' - \frac{1}{6}P^2\right)\omega_1\omega_1 + \frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{P}{6}\omega_1 + \omega_2\right)\left(\frac{P}{6}\omega_1 + \omega_2\right) + 2\omega_3\omega_5 - 2\omega_1\omega_4 - 4qe^{\int -\frac{2}{3}Pdx}\omega_2\omega_5,$$

By defining the new coframes

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_3 &= e^{\int \frac{2P}{3}dx}\omega_3, \\ \tilde{\omega}_5 &= e^{-\int \frac{2P}{3}dx}\omega_5,\end{aligned}$$

and making the further substitution  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$ , we get the following cosmetic improvement for  $\tilde{g}$ :

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{1}{45}Q\omega_1\omega_1 + \frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{P}{6}\omega_1 + \omega_2\right)\left(\frac{P}{6}\omega_1 + \omega_2\right) + 2\tilde{\omega}_3\tilde{\omega}_5 - 2\omega_1\omega_4 - 4q\omega_2\tilde{\omega}_5,$$

From this we can rescale the metric  $\tilde{g}$  further by a conformal factor  $\Omega$  to obtain a Ricci-flat representative. When  $\text{Ric}(\Omega^2\tilde{g}) = 0$ , we say that  $\Omega^2\tilde{g}$  is a Ricci-flat representative of Nurowski's conformal class. We find that  $\Omega^2\tilde{g}$  is Ricci-flat when  $\Omega$  satisfies the second-order differential equation

$$\Omega''\Omega - 2(\Omega')^2 - \frac{2}{3}P\Omega\Omega' - \frac{1}{18}P^2\Omega^2 - \frac{1}{30}Q\Omega^2 = 0.$$

We make the substitution  $\Omega = \frac{1}{\rho}e^{-\int \frac{1}{3}Pdx}$  to obtain

$$\rho'' - \frac{1}{45}Q\rho = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\rho(x)$  is to be determined.

The function  $H(x)$  is related to another function  $F(\tilde{x})$  by a Legendre transformation [3], [12]. We say that  $F(\tilde{x})$  is the Legendre dual of  $H(x)$  determined by the relation  $H(x) + F(\tilde{x}) = x\tilde{x}$ . This implies  $\tilde{x} = H'(x)$  with  $d\tilde{x} = H''dx$  and  $H'' = \frac{1}{F_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}}$ . We can make use of this transformation to write  $dx = F_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}d\tilde{x}$ . The Legendre dual of the distribution  $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi(x, q)}$  is therefore given by the annihilator of the three 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= dy - pF_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}d\tilde{x}, \\ \omega_2 &= dp - qF_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}}d\tilde{x}, \\ \omega_3 &= dz - q^2(F_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}})^2d\tilde{x}\end{aligned}$$

on the mixed jet space with local coordinates  $(\tilde{x}, y, z, p, q)$ . Relabelling  $\tilde{x}$  with  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= dy - pF''dx, \\ \omega_2 &= dp - qF''dx, \\ \omega_3 &= dz - q^2(F'')^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $F$  now becomes a function of  $x$ . These three 1-forms are completed to a coframing on  $M$  with local coordinates  $(x, y, z, p, q)$  by the additional 1-forms

$$\omega_4 = dq + \frac{F'''}{F''}qdx, \quad \omega_5 = -\frac{1}{2}dx.$$

(These are the Legendre transformed 1-forms  $\omega_4$  and  $\omega_5$ ). Similar as before, we consider the linear combinations

$$\theta_1 = \omega_3 - 2F''q\omega_2, \quad \theta_2 = \omega_1, \quad \theta_3 = (2F'')^{\frac{1}{3}}\omega_2,$$

with

$$\theta_4 = (2F'')^{\frac{2}{3}}\omega_4 + b_{41}\theta_1 + b_{42}\theta_2 + b_{43}\theta_3$$

and

$$\theta_5 = (2F'')^{\frac{2}{3}}\omega_5 + b_{51}\theta_1 + b_{52}\theta_2 + b_{53}\theta_3.$$

Imposing Cartan’s structure equations (0.1) on  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5)$  once again gives  $b_{51} = b_{53} = 0$  and  $b_{41} = b_{52}$ , which we set to be zero. We also have

$$b_{42} = -\frac{1}{30} \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}(3F''F^{(4)} - 4(F^{(3)})^2)}{(F'')^{\frac{10}{3}}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{43} = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}F^{(3)}}{3(F'')^{\frac{5}{3}}}.$$

We obtain the 1-forms  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5)$  that give a coframing for a metric in Nurowski’s conformal class [11], related to the 1-forms  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5)$  as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \theta_4 \\ \theta_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2F''q & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (2F'')^{\frac{1}{3}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}(3F''F^{(4)} - 4(F^{(3)})^2)}{30(F'')^{\frac{10}{3}}} & \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3}}F^{(3)}}{3(F'')^{\frac{4}{3}}} & 0 & (2F'')^{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (2F'')^{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_4 \\ \omega_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A representative metric of Nurowski’s conformal class is again given by (0.2). The condition that the metric  $g$  is conformally flat, i.e. the metric  $g$  has vanishing Weyl tensor, occurs when  $F(x)$  is a solution to the nonlinear differential equation

$$10(F'')^3 F^{(6)} - 80(F'')^2 F^{(3)} F^{(5)} - 51(F'')^2 (F^{(4)})^2 + 336F''(F^{(3)})^2 F^{(4)} - 224(F^{(3)})^4 = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

If we replace  $F''(x) = e^{\int \frac{1}{2}P(x)dx}$ , then we find that the conformally rescaled metric  $\tilde{g} = 2^{\frac{1}{3}}(F'')^{-\frac{2}{3}}g$  has the form

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{1}{30} (6P' - P^2) e^{\int -P dx} \omega_1 \omega_1 - \frac{2}{3} P e^{-\int \frac{1}{2} P dx} \omega_1 \omega_2 + \frac{8}{3} \omega_2 \omega_2 + 4\omega_3 \omega_5 - 4\omega_1 \omega_4 - 8q e^{\int \frac{1}{2} P dx} \omega_2 \omega_5. \tag{1.4}$$

Here equations (1.3) is reduced to the generalised Chazy equation

$$P''' - 2PP'' + 3P'^2 - \frac{4}{36 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2} (6P' - P^2)^2 = 0$$

for  $P(x)$  with parameter  $k = \frac{2}{3}$ . From the form of the metric  $\tilde{g}$  we can locally rescale the metric again by a conformal factor to obtain Ricci-flat representatives.

We find that the Ricci tensor of  $\Omega^2 \tilde{g}$  is zero when  $\Omega$  satisfies

$$40\Omega''\Omega - 80(\Omega')^2 - 6\Omega^2 P' + \Omega^2 P^2 = 0.$$

If we make the substitution  $\Omega = \frac{1}{\eta}$ , then we obtain the differential equation

$$\eta'' - \frac{1}{40} Q \eta = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

where  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$  and  $\eta$  is to be determined. From the form of the metric  $\tilde{g}$  in (1.4), we can also define new coframes by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\omega}_1 &= e^{-\int \frac{p}{2} dx} \omega_1 = \frac{dy}{F''} - p dx, \\ \tilde{\omega}_2 &= \omega_2 = dp - q F'' dx, \\ \tilde{\omega}_3 &= e^{-\int \frac{p}{2} dx} \omega_3 = \frac{dz}{F''} - q^2 F'' dx, \\ \tilde{\omega}_4 &= e^{\int \frac{p}{2} dx} \omega_4 = F'' dq + q F''' dx, \\ \tilde{\omega}_5 &= e^{\int \frac{p}{2} dx} \omega_5 = -\frac{F''}{2} dx.\end{aligned}$$

We have used that  $e^{-\int \frac{p}{2} dx} = \frac{1}{F''}$ . Also replacing  $6P' - P^2 = -Q$ , this gives the cosmetic improvement for  $\tilde{g}$ :

$$\tilde{g} = -\frac{Q}{30} \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_1 - \frac{2P}{3} \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_2 + \frac{8}{3} \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_2 + 4 \tilde{\omega}_3 \tilde{\omega}_3 - 4 \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\omega}_4 - 8q \tilde{\omega}_2 \tilde{\omega}_5.$$

We now investigate the solutions to (1.2) and (1.5). They are given by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. We first review some results about the solutions to the generalised Chazy equation.

## 2. GENERALISED CHAZY EQUATION

The generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k$  is given by

$$y''' - 2yy'' + 3y'^2 - \frac{4}{36 - k^2} (6y' - y^2)^2 = 0$$

and Chazy's equation

$$y''' - 2yy'' + 3y'^2 = 0$$

is obtained in the limit as  $k$  tends to infinity. The generalised Chazy equation was introduced in [6], [7] and studied more recently in [8], [1], [2] and [4]. The generalised Chazy equation with parameters  $k = \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{2}$  and 3 was also further investigated in [13]. The solution to the generalised Chazy equation is given as follows (see also Table 2 in Section 3.3 of [4] and Proposition 2.2 of [13]). Let

$$\begin{aligned}w_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{s'}{s(s-1)}, \\ w_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{s'}{s-1}, \\ w_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{s'}{s},\end{aligned}$$

where  $s = s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x)$  is a solution to the Schwarzian differential equation

$$\{s, x\} + \frac{1}{2} (s')^2 V = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\{s, x\} = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{s''}{s'} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{s''}{s'} \right)^2$$

is the Schwarzian derivative with the potential  $V$  given by

$$V = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{s^2} + \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha^2 - 1}{s(s-1)}. \tag{2.2}$$

The combination  $y = -2w_1 - 2w_2 - 2w_3$  solves the generalised Chazy equation when

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{k}\right) \text{ or } \left(\frac{2}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \frac{2}{k}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

This combination corresponds to cases 1(b) and 3(b) of Table 2 in [4]. The combination  $y = -w_1 - 2w_2 - 3w_3$  solves the generalised Chazy equation when

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ or } \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ or } \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{k}\right), \tag{2.4}$$

with permutations of  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  in  $y$  corresponding to permutations of the values  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  in  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . This combination corresponds to cases 1(a), 2(a) and 2(b) of Table 2 in [4]. The combination  $y = -w_1 - w_2 - 4w_3$  solves the generalised Chazy equation whenever

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{4}{k}\right) \text{ or } \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{3}\right), \tag{2.5}$$

again permuting  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  in  $y$  corresponds to permuting the values  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  in  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ . This combination corresponds to cases 2(c) and 3(a) of Table 2 in [4]. Following [1], the functions  $w_1, w_2$  and  $w_3$  satisfy the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1' &= w_2w_3 - w_1(w_2 + w_3) + \tau^2, \\ w_2' &= w_3w_1 - w_2(w_3 + w_1) + \tau^2, \\ w_3' &= w_1w_2 - w_3(w_1 + w_2) + \tau^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\tau^2 = \alpha^2(w_1 - w_2)(w_3 - w_1) + \beta^2(w_2 - w_3)(w_1 - w_2) + \gamma^2(w_3 - w_1)(w_2 - w_3).$$

The second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k$  is given by

$$u_{ss} + \frac{1}{4}Vu = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

with the same potential  $V$  as given in (2.2) and  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is one of the triples in (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5). The equation (2.7) corresponds to the general solution of the Schwarzian differential equation (2.1) after interchanging dependent and independent variables [8]. In this case  $x = \frac{u_2}{u_1}$

where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are linearly independent solutions to (2.7). Using the further substitution  $u(s) = (s-1)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} s^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} z(s)$ , the equation (2.7) can be brought to the hypergeometric differential equation

$$s(1-s)z_{ss} + (c - (a+b+1)s)z_s - abz = 0$$

with

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha - \beta - \gamma), \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha - \beta - \gamma), \quad c = 1 - \beta.$$

From the differential equations (2.6), we can recover  $s$  by  $s = \frac{w_1 - w_3}{w_2 - w_3}$ . From this we deduce  $s' = 2(w_1 - w_2)s$  and we also obtain the relation

$$ds = 2(w_1 - w_2)sdx.$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS: SOLVING THE EQUATIONS FOR RICCI-FLATNESS

In this section we give the general solution to the differential equation (1.2) where  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$  and  $P$  is a solution of the  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  generalised Chazy equation in Theorem 3.1 and the general solution to the differential equation (1.5) where again  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$  and  $P$  is a solution of the  $k = \frac{2}{3}$  generalised Chazy equation in Theorem 3.2. We first prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The solution to the differential equation*

$$\rho'' - \frac{1}{45}Q\rho = 0,$$

where  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$  and  $P$  is a solution to the  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  generalised Chazy equation, is given by  $\rho = \frac{u}{v}$  where  $v$  is the solution to the second-order differential equation associated to the  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  generalised Chazy equation and  $u$  is a solution to the second-order differential equation associated to the  $k = 3$  generalised Chazy equation.

*Proof.* To prove the claim, we consider the second-order differential equation of the form

$$v_{ss} + \frac{1}{4}Vv = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k = \frac{3}{2}$ , where  $V$  is the function given by

$$V = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{s^2} + \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha^2 - 1}{s(s-1)}$$

and  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is one of the triples in (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5) with  $k = \frac{3}{2}$ . We find that  $v = v(s(x))$  as a function of  $x$  satisfies

$$v_{xx} - 2(w_1 - w_2 - w_3)v_x - ((\alpha^2 - 1)w_1^2 + (\beta^2 - 1)w_2^2 + (\gamma^2 - 1)w_3^2)v + ((\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2 - 1)w_1w_2 + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 1)w_1w_3 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \gamma^2 + 1)w_2w_3)v = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

We have used that

$$\frac{d}{ds} = \frac{(w_2 - w_3)}{2(w_1 - w_2)(w_1 - w_3)} \frac{d}{dx}$$

and the differential equations (2.6). Furthermore, the Wronskian  $W = v_1(v_2)_s - v_2(v_1)_s$  of the solutions to the differential equation (3.1) satisfies  $W'_s = 0$ , so  $W = c_0$  and we have

$$v_1^2 = 2c_0(w_1 - w_2)s$$

from the consideration that  $s' = 2(w_1 - w_2)s = \frac{v_1^2}{W}$ . We also obtain from the differential equation the Wronskian  $W = \frac{v(s(x))^2}{2(w_1 - w_2)s(x)}$  satisfies, that

$$v_x - v(w_1 - w_2 - w_3) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

This equation implies the differential equation (3.2) for  $v$  above, by using the fact that the  $w_i$ 's satisfy the differential equations (2.6).

Upon making the substitution  $\rho = \frac{u(x)}{v(x)}$  into equation (1.2), and using equation (3.3), we obtain a differential equation for  $u(x)$  of the form

$$u_{xx} - 2(w_1 - w_2 - w_3)u_x - ((\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 1)w_1^2 + (\tilde{\beta}^2 - 1)w_2^2 + (\tilde{\gamma}^2 - 1)w_3^2)u + ((\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 - 1)w_1w_2 + (\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2 + \tilde{\gamma}^2 - 1)w_1w_3 - (\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 + 1)w_2w_3)u = 0,$$

which is the same differential equation for  $v$  with different constants  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . We claim that this is the differential equation  $u_{ss} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{V}u = 0$  associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k = 3$ , with

$$\tilde{V} = \frac{1 - \tilde{\beta}^2}{s^2} + \frac{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}^2 + \tilde{\gamma}^2 - \tilde{\alpha}^2 - 1}{s(s-1)}$$



and  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  is one of the triples in (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5) with  $k = 3$ . We compute the triples  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  when  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$  and  $P$  is the solution of the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k = \frac{3}{2}$ . Fixing  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  to be one of the triples in (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5) determines the values  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$  up to sign. Specialising to the case where  $k = \frac{3}{2}$ , we obtain the following:

For the solutions given by  $P = -2w_1 - 2w_2 - 2w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ .

For the solutions given by  $P = -w_1 - 2w_2 - 3w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 2\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1\right)$ .

Finally, for the solutions given by  $P = -4w_1 - w_2 - w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{8}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{4}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ .

The values of  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  are precisely the triples (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5) that show up in the solutions of the  $k = 3$  generalised Chazy equation. See [13] for the list of  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  when  $k = 3$ .

The determination of solutions to equation (1.5) is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** *The solution to the differential equation*

$$\eta'' - \frac{1}{40}Q\eta = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$  and  $P$  is a solution of the  $k = \frac{2}{3}$  generalised Chazy equation, is given by  $\eta = \frac{u}{v}$ , where  $v$  is a solution to the second-order differential equation associated to the  $k = \frac{2}{3}$  generalised Chazy equation and  $u$  is a solution to the second-order differential equation associated to the  $k = 2$  generalised Chazy equation.

*Proof.* The proof of the claim is similar to the proof of the previous theorem. From the differential equation of the form  $v_{ss} + \frac{1}{4}Vv = 0$  associated to the  $k = \frac{2}{3}$  generalised Chazy equation, where  $V$  is the function given by

$$V = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{s^2} + \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{(s-1)^2} + \frac{\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha^2 - 1}{s(s-1)},$$

and  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is one of the triples in (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5) with  $k = \frac{2}{3}$ , we find that  $v = v(s(x))$  as a function of  $x$  satisfies

$$v_{xx} - 2(w_1 - w_2 - w_3)v_x - ((\alpha^2 - 1)w_1^2 + (\beta^2 - 1)w_2^2 + (\gamma^2 - 1)w_3^2)v + ((\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \gamma^2 - 1)w_1w_2 + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 1)w_1w_3 - (\alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \gamma^2 + 1)w_2w_3)v = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Like in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it can also be deduced that (3.3) holds for  $v$ , i.e.

$$v_x - v(w_1 - w_2 - w_3) = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

which again implies the differential equation (3.5) for  $v$  above, by using the fact that the  $w_i$ 's satisfy the differential equations (2.6).

Upon making the substitution  $\eta = \frac{u(x)}{v(x)}$  into equation (3.4), and using equation (3.6), we obtain a differential equation for  $u(x)$  again given by

$$u_{xx} - 2(w_1 - w_2 - w_3)u_x - ((\tilde{\alpha}^2 - 1)w_1^2 + (\tilde{\beta}^2 - 1)w_2^2 + (\tilde{\gamma}^2 - 1)w_3^2)u + ((\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 - 1)w_1w_2 + (\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2 + \tilde{\gamma}^2 - 1)w_1w_3 - (\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2 - \tilde{\gamma}^2 + 1)w_2w_3)u = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

which is the same differential equation for  $v$  but with different constants  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$ . Equation (3.7) corresponds to the second-order differential equation  $u_{ss} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{V}u = 0$  associated to the  $k = 2$  generalised Chazy equation, with

$$\tilde{V} = \frac{1 - \tilde{\beta}^2}{s^2} + \frac{1 - \tilde{\gamma}^2}{(s - 1)^2} + \frac{\tilde{\beta}^2 + \tilde{\gamma}^2 - \tilde{\alpha}^2 - 1}{s(s - 1)}$$

and  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  is one of the triples in (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5) with  $k = 2$ . To see this, we shall compute these constants when  $Q = P^2 - 6P'$  and  $P$  is the solution of the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k = \frac{2}{3}$ . Specialising to the case where  $k = \frac{2}{3}$ , we obtain the following:

For the solutions given by  $P = -2w_1 - 2w_2 - 2w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (3, 3, 3)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = (1, 1, 1)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(3, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ .

For the solutions given by  $P = -w_1 - 2w_2 - 3w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{3}{2}, 3, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{9}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ .

Finally, for the solutions given by  $P = -4w_1 - w_2 - w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(6, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) = \left(2, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ .

The values of  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  are again precisely the triples (2.3), (2.4) or (2.5) that show up in the solutions of the  $k = 2$  generalised Chazy equation. See also [13] for the list of  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  when  $k = 2$ .

#### 4. SOLUTION TO THE EQUATION FOR RICCI-FLATNESS FOR GENERAL CHAZY PARAMETER

More generally, when  $P$  is a solution to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k$ , the metric  $g$  is no longer conformally flat but we can still find the conformal scale for which the Ricci tensor vanishes.

In the case of (1.2) with solutions given by  $\rho = \frac{u}{v}$  where  $v$  is the second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k$ , we find that  $u$  is a solution to the second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $\tilde{k}$  with

$$\frac{45}{\tilde{k}^2} - \frac{9}{k^2} = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

The values  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  appearing in  $V$  in the differential equation  $v_{ss} + \frac{1}{4}Vv = 0$  are related to the values  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  appearing in  $\tilde{V}$  in the differential equation  $u_{ss} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{V}u = 0$  by the following. For the solutions given by  $P = -2w_1 - 2w_2 - 2w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \frac{2}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$\frac{45}{4}\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \frac{45}{4}\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \frac{45}{4}\tilde{\gamma}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$\frac{45}{4}\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1$$

and  $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{3}, \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{3}$ . Here and subsequently, we consider the positive square root that gives positive  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$ .

For the solutions given by  $P = -w_1 - 2w_2 - 3w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$45\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$45\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \frac{45}{4}\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$45\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad 5\tilde{\gamma} - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

Finally for the solutions given by  $P = -4w_1 - w_2 - w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{4}{k}, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$\frac{45}{16}\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 45\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 45\tilde{\gamma}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad 45\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 45\tilde{\gamma}^2 - \left(\frac{3}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

In all cases the appropriate substitution of  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in terms of the Chazy parameter  $\tilde{k}$  gives [equation \(4.1\)](#), so it can be seen that the equation for  $u$  is the second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $\tilde{k}$ , related to  $k$  by [\(4.1\)](#).

The further substitution  $k = \frac{3}{m}$  and  $\tilde{k} = \frac{3}{\tilde{m}}$  into [\(4.1\)](#) gives

$$5\tilde{m}^2 - m^2 = 1,$$

which has integer solutions when considered as a negative Pell equation. For integer solutions  $m$  and  $\tilde{m}$  we obtain

$$m = \pm \left( \frac{1}{2}(2 + \sqrt{5})^{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2}(2 - \sqrt{5})^{2n+1} \right),$$

$$\tilde{m} = \pm \left( \frac{\sqrt{5}}{10}(2 + \sqrt{5})^{2n+1} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{10}(2 - \sqrt{5})^{2n+1} \right).$$

They take on values  $(m, \tilde{m}) = (2, 1), (38, 17), (682, 305), (12238, 5473)$  and so on for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . They also give the corresponding pairs of Chazy parameters  $(k, \tilde{k}) = \left(\frac{3}{2}, 3\right), \left(\frac{3}{38}, \frac{3}{17}\right)$  and so on, with the fundamental solution ( $n = 0$ ) agreeing with the result of [Theorem 3.1](#) in the conformally flat case.

In the case of (1.5) with solutions given by  $\eta = \frac{u}{v}$  where  $v$  is the second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $k$ , we find that  $u$  is a solution to the second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $\tilde{k}$  with

$$\frac{40}{\tilde{k}^2} - \frac{4}{k^2} = 1. \tag{4.2}$$

In this case we obtain the relationship between the values  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  as follows. For  $P = -2w_1 - 2w_2 - 2w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \frac{2}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$10\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 10\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 10\tilde{\gamma}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

Considering integer solutions  $\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  to the negative Pell equation  $10\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \alpha^2 = 1$  (and also  $\beta, \tilde{\beta}$  and  $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$  respectively), we find

$$\alpha = \pm \left( \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sqrt{10})^{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{10})^{2n+1} \right),$$

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \pm \left( \frac{\sqrt{10}}{20}(3 + \sqrt{10})^{2n+1} - \frac{\sqrt{10}}{20}(3 - \sqrt{10})^{2n+1} \right),$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Positive integer solutions are given by  $(\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}) = (3, 1), (117, 37), (4443, 1405), (168717, 53353)$  and so on for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . They give the relationship between the pairs of Chazy parameters  $k = \frac{2}{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{k} = \frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}}$ , with  $(k, \tilde{k}) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, 2\right), \left(\frac{2}{117}, \frac{2}{37}\right)$  and so on for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

For these parameters, the associated hypergeometric functions are algebraic. Again the fundamental solution ( $n = 0$ ) agrees with the result of Theorem 3.2 in the conformally flat case.

The determination of the other values of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  is as follows. For the same  $P$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$10\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1$$

and  $\tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{3}, \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{3}$ .

For the solutions given by  $P = -w_1 - 2w_2 - 3w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$40\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$40\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 10\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$40\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{40}{9}\tilde{\gamma} - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

Finally, for the solutions given by  $P = -4w_1 - w_2 - w_3$ , when  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{4}{k}, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$\frac{5}{2}\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 40\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 40\tilde{\gamma}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

When  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ , we find  $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$  with

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad 40\tilde{\beta}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1, \quad 40\tilde{\gamma}^2 - \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 = 1.$$

In all cases the appropriate substitution of  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in terms of the Chazy parameter  $\tilde{k}$  gives [equation \(4.2\)](#), and therefore the equation for  $u$  is the second-order differential equation associated to the generalised Chazy equation with parameter  $\tilde{k}$ , related to  $k$  by [\(4.2\)](#).

Altogether, with the exception of the parameters  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  and  $k = \frac{2}{3}$  as mentioned above, they give Ricci-flat but non-conformally flat examples of Nurowski's metric.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.J. Ablowitz, S. Chakravarty, R. Halburd, The generalized Chazy equation and Schwarzian triangle functions, *Asian J. Math.* 2 (1998), 619–624.
- [2] M.J. Ablowitz, S. Chakravarty, R. Halburd, The generalized Chazy equation from the self-duality equations, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 103 (1999), 75–88.
- [3] D. An, P. Nurowski, Symmetric (2,3,5) distributions, an interesting ODE of 7th order and Plebański metric, *Journ. Geom. Phys.* 126 (2018), 93–100.
- [4] O. Bihun, S. Chakravarty, The Chazy XII equation and Schwarz triangle functions, *SIGMA* 13 (2017), 24.
- [5] E. Cartan, Les systèmes de Pfaff, à cinq variables et les équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* 27 (1910), 109–192.
- [6] J. Chazy, Sur les équations différentielles dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme et admet des singularités essentielles mobiles, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* 149 (1909), 563–565.
- [7] J. Chazy, Sur les équations différentielles du troisième ordre et d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale a ses points critiques fixes, *Acta Math.* 34 (1911), 317–385.
- [8] P.A. Clarkson, P.J. Olver, Symmetry and the Chazy equation, *J. Differ. Equat.* 124 (1996), 225–246.
- [9] B. Doubrov, B. Kruglikov, On the models of submaximal symmetric rank 2 distributions in 5D, *Differential Geom. Appl.* 35 (2014), 314–322.
- [10] T. Leistner, P. Nurowski, K. Sagerschnig, New relations between  $G_2$ -geometries in dimensions 5 and 7, *Int. J. Math.* 28 (2017), 1750094.
- [11] P. Nurowski, Differential equations and conformal structures, *J. Geom. Phys.* 55 (2005), 19–49.
- [12] M. Randall, Flat (2,3,5)-distributions and Chazy's equations, *SIGMA* 12 (2016), 28.
- [13] M. Randall, Schwarz triangle functions and duality for certain parameters of the generalised Chazy equation, arxiv:1607.04961v2.
- [14] F. Strazzullo, Symmetry analysis of general rank-3 Pfaffian systems in five variables, Ph.D. Thesis, Utah State University, 2009.
- [15] T. Willse, Highly symmetric 2-plane fields on 5-manifolds and 5-dimensional Heisenberg group holonomy, *Differ. Geom. Appl.* 33 (2014), 81–111.
- [16] T. Willse, Cartan's incomplete classification and an explicit ambient metric of holonomy  $G_2^*$ , *Eur. J. Math.* 4 (2018), 622–638.