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1. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development of robotics and artificial intelligence technology, mobile service robots have begun to be used in our lives. Based on the design of the automatic navigation function, the mobile service robot is allowed to move autonomously and perform daily human services, such as automatic cleaning and automatic delivery. Based on Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) [1], the autonomous navigation of mobile robot will become a reality. If exclude the SLAM, the remaining works of autonomous navigation are including path planning and path following.

The state of the art of mobile robot path planning is composed with global planner and local planner. For example, the global planner majorly establishes a suitable path from a knowing map based on the shortest path. And the local planner which computes the velocity command that includes obstacle clearance and progress toward the goal. However, due to the 2D laser ranger on a mobile service robot just detects the part of the meal table or misses it, this will cause robot often hits the meal table. In this work we apply adaptive control method to feed the goals for Dynamic Window Approach’s (DWA) computing. The experimental result shows that DWA will follow the pre-defined path closely and smoothly.

2. MOBILE ROBOT PATH PLANNING

Path planning is the most basic part of mobile robot navigation. It refers to how the robot can find collision free waypoints as an appropriate motion path from the starting point to the end point in a working environment. In general, the path planning mainly involves these two major issues:

- Obstacles avoidance
- Optimizing the path

According to the environmental information, mobile robot path planning can be divided into global path planning and local planning as shown in Figure 1.

2.1. Global Planner

The global planner is a pre-planning method that depends on the analysis of known map. For example, the artificial potential field [2] algorithm was proposed in 1989. Its’ concept is to apply a virtual attraction and repulsive force to a robot. The target gives the robot attractive force, and the obstacle is the repulsive force. After combining the force, a moving path of the robot to the target point can be obtained. The Rapid Exploration Random Tree [3] method was originally proposed in 1998. Its’ idea is to growth with the initial point as the root in the space and add child nodes by random sampling. Connect the closest child node to the root node until the child gradually reaches the target point, and then a feasible path could be selected. The other well-known methods of safe path creation are such as the generalized Voronoi diagram [4] and the Probabilistic Roadmaps (PRMs) [5]. The PRMs applies a sampling to the environment in order for a graph to be created, each edge of which is considered safe for robot traversing and a graph search algorithm such as Dijkstra [6] or A* [7] is applied to the resulting graph to determine a path between the starting and goal.
In nowadays, the occupancy grid map [8] is popular to represent the posterior probability model of environment. And the graph search algorithm Dijkstra or A* is also suit for finding the optimal path which is a collection of cells. After the success of finding the global path from the start to the goal, all the selected cells are translated into positions as the point vector.

2.2. Local Planner

In order to transform global path into a suitable waypoints, local planner applies sensors to detect the robot’s environment to obtain pose or geometric information of obstacles. Therefore, using the updated local sensing information, the local planner generates an obstacle avoidance strategy and attempts to match the trajectory provided by the global planner. Some local trajectory generation of local planner may apply Bezier lines [9], arcs, segments [10], or splines [11]. In nowadays, one of the most popular local path plan method is Dynamic Window Approach (DWA) [12], the basic idea of the DWA algorithm is as follows:

- The DWA method is a local response obstacle avoidance controller, which searches for the best action (translation velocity \( v \) and angular velocity \( \omega \)) in the velocity space.
- Considering the dynamic constraints of the robot to reduce the sample space.
- The trajectories corresponding to different velocities can be represented by a series of arcs with different curvatures.
- In order to obtain a fast response, it is assumed that the speed of the robot is constant in all future intervals.

The sampled trajectories are evaluated by an objective function \( O(v, \omega) \), which is consisted with the goal heading, obstacle clearance, and velocity as below criteria:

\[
O(v, \omega) = \sigma(\alpha \times \text{heading}(v, \omega) + \beta \times \text{dist}(v, \omega) + \gamma \times \text{velocity}(v, \omega)) \tag{1}
\]

The heading \( (v, \omega) \) is the angle difference between robot current head orientation and robot to goal direction, the dist \( (v, \omega) \) is the distance to the closest obstacle, and the velocity \( (v, \omega) \) presents the forward velocity of the robot with kinematic constraints.

2.3. Problem in Actual Navigation

In practical applications, the navigation package released in ROS [13] framework are applied to an automatic meal delivery robot in a fast food restaurant. Due to the pedestal column of meal table in the fast food restaurant is shown in Figure 2. Although this pedestal column could be sensed via the laser ranger equipped on robot platform, but sometimes it misses the detection and the upper body of robot hits the corner of table that causes the meal deliver task to be failed. In this work, we apply the pre-define path and path follower to replace the autonomous global planning to overcome the actual navigation problem which causes by the meal table.

3. PATH FOLLOWER DESIGN

There are four sequences for path follower:
- Get the pre-defined path from file or Topic.
- Prune the Waypoints: Erase the unsuitable waypoints in the path.
- Segment Regulation: Adjust the segment length between waypoints.
- Send out or publish the modified path for DWA.

3.1. Prune the Waypoints

The first work in prune the waypoint is to check if the waypoint should be discarded. Assume for a given path with five waypoints \( P_0 \sim P_4 \), if the initial waypoint \( P_0 \) is in front of robot as shown in Figure 3a the angle \( \theta \) between \( \vec{v}_0 \) and \( \vec{v}_1 \) will greater than \( \pi/2 \), where \( \vec{v}_0 \) is the vector of robot pose and \( P_0 \) and \( \vec{v}_1 \) is the vector of \( P_0 \) and \( P_1 \). On the other hand, if the angle \( \theta \) between \( \vec{v}_0 \) and \( \vec{v}_1 \) are smaller than \( \pi/2 \) as shown in Figure 3b, then the \( P_0 \) point will be deleted and the point data will be recorded as \( P_{\text{past}} \). In Figure 3c, \( L_1 \) is the distance between robot center pose and \( P_0 \), \( L_2 \) is distance between robot center pose and \( P_{\text{past}} \). When \( L_2 > L_1 \) but robot cannot turn to \( P_0 \) due to the local obstacle. In this situation the \( P_0 \) point will also be deleted and the point data will be recorded as \( P_{\text{past}} \).
3.2. Segment Regulation

At this stage, we need an indicator as the criterion for judging how close that robot is following the original path. Calculate the vertical distance “height1” as shown in Figure 3d between the robot pose and the segment of $P_{past}$ to $P_0$ as the performance criteria for following the line. The “height1” distance can be calculated as below equation:

$$\text{height1} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_j \times \mathbf{v}_k}{||\mathbf{v}_j||}$$

3.2.1. Model-free adaptive control

Model-free adaptive control was proposed by Hou and Huang [14] which can realize the parameter adaptive control and structure adaptive control of the controlled system which makes it more applicable for many practical plants.

$$u(k) = u(k - 1) + \frac{\rho \phi(k)}{\lambda + \phi^T(k)}(y(k + 1) - y(k))$$

$$\phi(k) = \phi(k - 1) + \frac{\eta \Delta u(k - 1)}{(\mu + \Delta u^2(k - 1))(\Delta y(k) - \phi(k - 1)\Delta u(k - 1))}$$

$$\phi(k) = \phi(1), \text{ if } \phi(k) \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } |\Delta u(k - 1)| \leq \varepsilon$$

From the above Eqs. (4)–(6), consider the previously calculated height1 as $y(k)$ and $u(k)$ as control variables to regulate the length of the path.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The experiment is firstly to simulate in ROS rviz user interface for verifying the path following result. In Figure 4a, the pre-defined path is shown as a green circle with a radius of 1 m. In Figure 4b, the blue circular path represents the smooth following result. Figure 4c shows the path following error with the time index. The maximum distance error of following the circle is about 0.0562 m and the mean distance error is about 0.0238 m as shown in Table 1. Besides, Figure 5a shows the service robot in a real fast-food restaurant, and the pre-defined green path is established to move around the restaurant as shown in Figure 5b. The service robot follows the path well and finally reaches the pre-defined goal as shown in Figure 5c to 5d.

5. CONCLUSION

The state of the art of mobile robot path planning is composed with global planner and local path planner. However for meal deliver application in a real fast food restaurant, the mobile service robot often hits the corner of the meal table due to the 2D laser ranger just detects the part of the meal table or misses it. In this work, we apply the pre-defined path and path follower to replace the autonomous global planning to overcome the actual navigation problem which causes by the meal table. The experimental result shows that DWA can also follow the pre-defined path closely and smoothly.
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Table 1  The circular path following error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum distance error (m)</th>
<th>Mean distance error (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0562</td>
<td>0.0238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4  Robot path following simulation: (a) circular path generation, (b) circular path following simulation, (c) path following error.

Figure 5  Robot path following demo: (a) service robot in a real fast-food restaurant, (b) service robot starts to follow a long path, (c) robot turn in right-down corner, (d) robot will reach final goal.
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