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Bortezomib, Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone as Induction Therapy Prior to Autologous Transplantation in Multiple Myeloma: The More Is Likely the Better
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The autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (auto-HCT) procedure for multiple myeloma (MM) comprises several key steps: induction, hematopoietic cell mobilization, high-dose chemotherapy followed by hematopoietic cell infusion and posttransplant therapy (including maintenance therapy with or without consolidation). For almost 3 decades, the standard induction regimen prior to auto-HCT consisted of 3–4 cycles of vincristine, adriamycin and dexamethasone (historical VAD regimen). The IFM2005-01 randomized trial was the first trial to show the superiority of a novel-agent-based induction (namely bortezomib and dexamethasone, VD) over VAD [1]. The VD regimen served as a backbone to develop triplet induction regimens such as VTD (bortezomib, thalidomide, dexamethasone) [2] or VCD (bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, dexamethasone), both of which were proven to be superior to doublets such as VD or TD (thalidomide and dexamethasone) [2,3]. Of note, another IFM randomized trial could show a slight superiority of VTD over VCD in terms of response rate [4]. Interestingly, none of the aforementioned triplet regimens explored the issue of the number of cycles, and investigators continued to use the classical 3–4 cycles based on the historical VAD experience. However, one must admit, that given the potential serious toxicity of agents such as thalidomide (nonreversible peripheral neuropathy) or cyclophosphamide (cytopenia and its corollary of infectious complications), the issue of the number of cycles of induction prior to auto-HCT was not deemed to be very important by most investigators, especially given the capacity to deliver 2 or 3 cycles of consolidation to deepen the response rate after auto-HCT.

The VRD regimen (bortezomib, lenalidomide, dexamethasone) was initially developed in the relapsed MM setting [5] and proved rapidly to be highly effective with a remarkable safety profile, especially a very low rate of severe peripheral neuropathy when combined to subcutaneous bortezomib. Very rapidly, VRD moved into the frontline setting, and was viewed by most experts as the “standard” induction regimen prior to auto-HCT, since it combines the efficacy of VTD without its added toxicity. The latter positive ratio of efficacy and safety was clearly shown in the trial by Rosinol et al. [6]. In addition, given its good tolerance and feasibility, Rosinol et al. took this regimen a step further, and administered VRD in up to 6 cycles (in contrast to the common historical dogma of 3–4 cycles). The former strategy proved to be highly effective as it allowed for a continuous improvement in the disease response, including achievement of measurable residual disease (MRD) negativity in a substantial number of patients before auto-HCT [6]. These results are of utmost importance, because a deep MRD status is increasingly considered a major goal of MM therapy, because it probably serves as a surrogate marker for improved survival. Overall, the findings from this large Spanish trial will be highly influential and will encourage many investigators to opt for a “longer” induction phase of up to 6 cycles, toxicity allowing.

However, MM therapy is a rapidly evolving field [7,8], and one may wonder how such a “long” induction phase would compare to a quadruplet regimen-based induction administered over a shorter period of time? In this regard, the recent CASSIOPEIA international randomized trial evaluated whether the addition of daratumumab to VTD (Dara-VTD) before (induction) and after auto-HCT (consolidation) would improve the stringent complete response rate in patients with newly diagnosed MM [9]. The
latter study showed the clinical benefit of Dara-VTD given in up to 4 cycles as a major regimen for induction in transplant-eligible patients with newly diagnosed MM. As a matter of fact, and given the impressive results of Dara-VTD, it is possible that a Dara-VRD-based induction regimen (currently being tested in prospective trials) will also probably show a significant benefit in this arena. Nevertheless, one should not ignore issues related to cost and access to such quadruplet regimens, though they can also challenge the whole concept of auto-HCT if shown to be feasible over a long period (e.g. a quadruplet regimen administered for one year) and able to induce deep MRD negativity [10]. While waiting for the results of such trials, auto-HCT preceded by a “long” induction with VRD will likely remain a standard of care for many years in many patients across the globe.
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