P106 Effect of Açaí-Juçara on Central Pressure in Individuals with Overweight or Obesity

Tainah Ortiz1*, Fernanda Gorski2, Tatiana Lehnen3, Liliana Boll1, Bruna Eibel1, Alexandre Lehnen1, Eduardo Barbosa4

1Institute of Cardiology of Rio Grande do Sul/Foundation University of Cardiology, Porto Alegre, Brazil
2Federal University of Health Sciences of Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre, Brazil
3Thyroid Section, Endocrine Division, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil
4Hypertension League, Porto Alegre, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Açaí-juçara is a fruit with an antioxidant content that can modify the vascular environment and attenuate the effects of obesity.

Objective: To evaluate the effect of açaí-juçara on pulse pressure parameters in overweight or obese individuals.

Methods: Randomized clinical trial with 23 individuals of both sexes, 37.7 ± 1.5 y, body weight 85.0 ± 4.8 kg, BMI 32.4 kg/m². The volunteers were randomly assigned to: control group (C, n = 10) and açaí-juçara group (AJ, n = 13). Both received hypocaloric diets, representing 20% below the total energy value. AJ received, in the composition of the diet, 200 g of açaí-juçara for daily consumption. The intervention was 12 weeks and the follow-up was performed with weekly meetings. We analyzed parameters of peripheral blood pressure: systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP); parameters of central blood pressure: central pulse pressure (PPc), central systolic blood pressure (SBPc) and pulse wave velocity (PWV) with the oscillometric method (Mobil-O-Graph). Descriptive statistics (mean ± standard deviation) were performed and normality of the data was tested by Shapiro-Wilk. Possible differences were tested by ANOVA of repeated measures (Bonferroni post-hoc) with significance level of 5%. Results: After 12 weeks of intervention, body weight decreased in both groups (C: Δ3.2 kg; p = 0.050; AJ: Δ5.2 kg; p = 0.001). The peripheral SBP, PPc and PWV was lower in both groups (C: Δ3.8 mmHg, p = 0.040; AJ:Δ7.1mmHg, p = 0.031); (C: Δ5.5 unit, p = 0.044; AJ:Δ7.8 unit, p < 0.001); (p = 0.047) with greater effect in the AJ group (Δ0.4 unit; p = 0.006). Both groups reduced SBPc with more effect in the AJ group (Δ6.3 mmHg, p = 0.034).

Conclusion: AJ showed benefits on peripheral SBP, PPc, PWV and SBPc when compared to the control group.
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