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Objective: Reservoir-excess pressure measured using tonometry methods predicts cardiovascular events, but the operator-dependency of tonometry is an impediment to widespread use. A cuff-based blood pressure device has been developed to derive reservoir-excess pressure from measured brachial pressure waveforms, but whether this method is independently associated with cardiovascular risk has never been investigated and this was the aim of this study.

Methods: 1874 adult participants (age 43.7 ± 5.2 years, 115 male) from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children’s Child Health Checkpoint study had reservoir pressure (RP) and excess pressure (XSP) derived from the brachial pressure waveform measured using cuff oscilometry (SphygmoCor XCEL, AtCor Medical, Sydney).

Central hemodynamics (augmentation index and central blood pressure) were estimated from the central pressure waveform. Carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT, n = 1467) and carotid-to-femoral pulse wave velocity (cf-PWV, n = 1674) were measured as end-organ markers of cardiovascular risk.

Results: XSP and RP were associated with cIMT after adjusting for age, sex, waist-to-hip ratio, heart rate (HR) and central hemodynamic indices (β = 0.070, p = 0.027 and β = 0.052, p = 0.047). RP was also significantly associated with cf-PWV after adjusting for the same variables as above (β = 0.128, p < 0.001). The additional reservoir-excess pressure variables in a model that originally included the Framingham risk score and HR strengthened the evidence for associations with cIMT and cf-PWV (p < 0.001 for all R² changes).

Conclusion: Cuff-based measures of reservoir-excess pressure are significantly associated with end-organ markers of cardiovascular risk independent of traditional risk factors. This cuff method may provide additional information to improve cardiovascular risk stratification.
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Introduction: Hypertension is associated with stiffening of blood vessels, reduced arterial lumen and reduced cerebral blood flow; however, it is not known how lower cerebral blood flow relates to arterial structure or impacts on wave dynamics. We hypothesise increased backward wave energy and faster wave speed in the hypertensive internal carotid artery as an indication of increased resistance to flow.

Methods: Normotensive, controlled and uncontrolled hypertensive participants were recruited (daytime ambulatory BP < 135/85 mmHg and > 135/85 mmHg, respectively; n = 11 per group). Wave intensity analysis was performed on left internal carotid and ascending aorta phase-contrast magnetic resonance angiography.

Results: While ascending aortic wave speed increased significantly in the uncontrolled hypertensive compared to normotensive (p < 0.001) and controlled hypertensive participants (p = 0.038), no significant difference was observed in the internal carotid. Carotid forward and backward wave intensity increased in uncontrolled hypertensives compared to normotensives (p = 0.013 and p = 0.033, respectively), and backward wave energy increased in the controlled hypertensives compared to normotensives (p = 0.041). There was no significant difference between uncontrolled and controlled hypertensives.
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Introduction: Aortic stiffness predicts cardiovascular mortality but is limited as a risk marker because it is dependent on blood pressure (BP). A potential solution is provided from the ratio of aortic-to-brachial artery stiffness (ab-ratio), which is purported to be a BP-independent risk marker among patients with renal dysfunction (RD). We sought to determine the BP-independence of the ab-ratio in patients with disease (including RD) and healthy populations.

Methods: The ab-ratio (aortic/brachial pulse wave velocity; PWV) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) were recorded in patients with RD (n = 119, aged 65 ± 7 years), hypertension (n = 140, aged 62 ± 9 years), type 2 diabetes (n = 77, aged 60 ± 9 years) and healthy individuals (n = 99, aged 51 ± 8 years). Multiple-regression analysis was performed to test the independent association of MAP with the ab-ratio adjusted for age, sex, body mass index and blood glucose.

Results: There was no significant relationship between the ab-ratio and MAP in patients with RD (β = 0.002, 95% CI 0.002, 0.006, p = 0.34), hypertension (β = 0.001, 95% CI 0.003, 0.006, p = 0.62) or diabetes (β = 0.006, 95% CI 0.002, 0.014, p = 0.11). However, in healthy individuals the ab-ratio was