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A novel clinical methodology is proposed, which characterizes in-vivo arterial stiffness in the central microcirculation. Pulse wave propagation in retinal arteries is assessed and a parameter “retinal pulse-wave velocity” (rPWV) is calculated. We demonstrated previously that both aging with not excluded cardiovascular risk factors and mild arterial hypertension are associated with elevated rPWV. Whether rPWV increases with age in a cohort of medically validated healthy subjects is investigated. 71 healthy 41.0±12.1 years old volunteers were examined. The following cardiovascular risk factors were excluded: overweight, increased blood pressure, cholesterol level and blood glucose. Time-dependent alterations of vessel diameter were assessed by the Dynamic Vessel Analyzer in a segment of a retinal artery. The data was filtered and evaluated by methods of mathematical signal analysis in order to obtain rPWV value. rPWV amounted to 370±100 units/second in the whole group (units correspond to μm in the Gullstrand’s eye model). In the cohort rPWV increased with age (Pearson’s correlation: r=−0.41, p<0.005) to 21 units/second per a decade. rPWV showed weak correlations with vessel diameter: r=−0.27, p<0.05 and mean arterial pressure: r=−0.22, p<0.05. Thus healthy aging with excluded mentioned cardiovascular risk factors is associated with a flat increase of rPWV and hence with age-related elevation of retinal arterial stiffness. This rPWV alteration and absolute rPWV values in medically validated volunteers allow to distinguish this cohort well from young hypertensives (1620±1310 units/second) or aged subjects with not excluded cardiovascular risk (1200±520 units/second) reported in our previous studies.
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Background: New commercially available radiofrequency (RF) based ultrasound (US) allows accurate depiction of common carotid (CCA) distension waveforms, whereas carotid applanation tonometry represents a validated technique for pressure waveform description. From both distension and pressure waveforms local carotid pressure and some hemodynamic indices, such as left ventricular ejection time (LVET), are obtained. Aim of this study was to validate estimates of local CCA pressure and LVET by ultrasound distension waveforms against applanation tonometry.

Methods: In 112 subjects (66 males; mean age 56.2±9.9; 16 non diabetic non hypertensive, NL; 34 hypertensives, HT; 62 diabetics, DM), right CCA distension waveforms were obtained by RF-based wall tracking of the near and far wall (MyLab70, Esaote). Afterwards, CCA pressure waveforms were recorded by applanation tonometry (Pulsepen, Diatene). Local systolic, diastolic and pulse pressure were derived calibrating both waveforms for brachial pressure (Omron) as previously described (Van Bortel LM et al, J Hypertens 2001). LVET was also evaluated with both systems.

Results: Brachial SBP and PP were 137.0±17.1 and 57.4±13.9 mmHg, significantly higher (p<0.001) than those recorded by tonometry or US. Brachial DBP was 79.6±8.9 mmHg. US-derived SBP, DBP and PP were slightly but significantly higher than tonometric values, while LVET was lower (see Table).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>SBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>DBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>PP (mmHg)</th>
<th>LVET (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tonometry</td>
<td>121.3±15.8</td>
<td>77.9±9.2</td>
<td>43.5±13.1</td>
<td>317±27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>126.6±16.6**</td>
<td>79.6±8.7**</td>
<td>46.9±13.8**</td>
<td>297±25**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p<0.01 for US vs Tonometry.

However, corresponding measures obtained with the two techniques were well correlated (r values from 0.754 and 0.896, p<0.001). In Bland-Altman analysis, the outliers were between 4 and 6 for each parameter.

Conclusions: RF-US allows accurate estimate of central BP and LVET.
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Introduction: central-to-peripheral systolic blood pressure (SBP) and pulse pressure (PP) amplification (SBP-amp, PP-amp) are independent