



## Artery Research

ISSN (Online): 1876-4401

ISSN (Print): 1872-9312

Journal Home Page: <https://www.atlantis-pub.com/journals/artres>

---

### **P1.04: INVASIVE ASSESSMENT OF AORTIC PRESSURE WAVES: COMPARISON BETWEEN PRESSURE WIRE AND FLUID FILLED CATHETER**

S. Wassertheurer, B. Hametner, C.C. Mayer, B. Eber, T. Weber

**To cite this article:** S. Wassertheurer, B. Hametner, C.C. Mayer, B. Eber, T. Weber (2009) P1.04: INVASIVE ASSESSMENT OF AORTIC PRESSURE WAVES: COMPARISON BETWEEN PRESSURE WIRE AND FLUID FILLED CATHETER, Artery Research 3:4, 161–162, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artres.2009.10.007>

**To link to this article:** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artres.2009.10.007>

Published online: 14 December 2019



## Poster Presentation Abstracts Methodological Aspects 1

### P1.01 PULSE WAVE VELOCITY: HOW TO ASSESS THE DISTANCE?

D. Mahieu<sup>1</sup>, D. Devos<sup>1</sup>, J. Kips<sup>2</sup>, E. Achten<sup>1</sup>, P. Segers<sup>2</sup>, L. Van Bortel<sup>1</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium  
<sup>2</sup>Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

**Objective:** Pulse wave velocity (PWV) is accepted as the standard technique to assess aortic stiffness. While the carotid-femoral transit time can be assessed with high accuracy, the measurement of the aortic length is, however, less accurate. Several approaches for measuring aortic length over the body-surface exist, all of which are measured with a tape measure, hence introducing errors over the curved body surface.

**Design and method:** We compared eight different body-surface distances with the aortic path length as determined from Magnetic Resonance (MR)-images. 12 healthy volunteers (aged 23 to 39 years) were imaged from carotid to femoral artery. Body surface measurements were done with an anthropometer and tape measure. Aortic path length along the luminal centreline was determined from MR-images using custom built Matlab-based software.

**Results:** Only two body surface measurements were close to the MR-derived aortic path length. Total distance between carotid and femoral artery minus the distance between carotid artery and sternal notch was  $2.1 \pm 3.7$  cm ( $5.3 \pm 8.9$  %) larger than the MR-derived aortic path length. The distance between the suprasternal notch and the femoral artery minus the distance between the carotid artery and the suprasternal notch was  $1.1 \pm 2.7$  cm ( $2.4 \pm 6.0$  %) smaller than the MR-derived aortic path length.

**Conclusions:** For assessment of PWV, aortic length can be estimated by the total distance between carotid and femoral artery minus the distance between carotid artery and sternal notch or by the distance between the suprasternal notch and the femoral artery minus the distance between the carotid artery and the suprasternal notch.

### P1.02 ABDOMINAL AORTIC CALCIFICATION DETECTION USING DUAL-ENERGY X-RAY ABSORPTIOMETRY (DXA): COMPARISON WITH COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CT)

M. Cecelja, M. L. Frost, T. Spector, P. Chowienczyk  
 King's College London, London, United Kingdom

**Background:** Abdominal aortic calcification (AAC) is an independent determinant of cardiovascular events. Computed tomography (CT) is currently the gold standard for measuring aortic calcification but is limited by relatively high radiation exposure. Lateral dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (lateral-DXA) has the potential to detect AAC at a fraction of the radiation dose.

**Objectives:** To evaluate the potential for lateral DXA scans to detect AAC in comparison to CT in healthy post menopausal women.

**Methods:** Thirty-four women from the TwinsUK registry aged 50-75 years underwent a non-contrast CT and lateral DXA scan of the abdominal aorta from vertebrae L1 to L4. Presence of calcium was scored using the Agatston method for CT, where a weighting factor is assigned to the calcified region based on the x-ray attenuation and multiplied by the area of the calcified region. Lateral DXA images were scored using a previously validated semi-quantitative 24

point score, where the anterior and posterior aortic walls were divided into 4 lumbar regions and graded 0-3 according to prevalence of AAC.

**Results:** Calcification (any detectable abdominal calcification) was present in 65% of women as determined by CT and 51% with lateral-DXA. Agreement between CT and lateral DXA scores was good (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient  $r = 0.70$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ). The sensitivity of lateral DXA scores for detecting AAC was 68% and the specificity 80%.

**Conclusion:** Lateral DXA imaging provides a useful alternative to CT in detecting AAC with minimal radiation.

### P1.03 A COMPARISON OF CENTRAL BLOOD PRESSURES AND AUGMENTATION INDEX ESTIMATED BY OMRON-HEM9000, ARTERIOGRAPH AND SPHYGMOCOR

R. Rezaei, G. Goudot, J. Finn, C. Winters, F. Wu, J. K. Cruickshank  
 University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

**Aims:** To compare central systolic blood pressure (cSBP) and augmentation index (Alx) from 2 recently introduced devices Omron-HEM9000 (OM) and Arteriograph (AG) not using a transfer function with that of the widely used SphygmoCor (SC), which together with another radial device OM is calibrated on brachial BP.

**Methods:** Random-order manufacturer-recommended measurements using SC and OM (radial tonometry variants) and AG were taken on the left arm in 35 men ( $54 \pm 10$  yr) after  $>5$  mins supine rest. Results are means  $\pm$ SD, or (95%CI of difference) for paired t-tests.

**Results:** cSBP by OM is slightly higher than by AG ( $4(1-7)$  mmHg,  $p = 0.01$ ). Both OM and AG estimate cSBP significantly higher than SC:  $13(10-15)$  and  $9(4-12)$  mmHg, respectively ( $p < 0.001$ ).

Late systolic shoulder of the radial pulse wave form measured by OM agreed with SC's cSBP (SC-OM:  $2(0-4)$  mmHg,  $p = 0.06$ ), but not with AG's cSBP (AG-OM:  $10(7-14)$  mmHg,  $p < 0.001$ ).

Radial Alx from SC and OM slightly disagree (SC-OM:  $3(1-5)$ %,  $p = 0.013$ ) and both show close correlation ( $r = 0.8$ ) with AG's brachial Alx. Aortic Alx by AG was lower than SC's aortic Alx ( $8(6-10)$ %,  $p < 0.001$ ) but closely correlated ( $r = 0.9$ ).

**Conclusion:** Clinically significant higher cSBP values measured by two new methods AG and OM add to previous data suggesting that SC might be underestimating cSBP. Invasive studies involving all 3 devices across a wide age & BP range are needed.

### P1.04 INVASIVE ASSESSMENT OF AORTIC PRESSURE WAVES: COMPARISON BETWEEN PRESSURE WIRE AND FLUID FILLED CATHETER

S. Wassertheurer<sup>1</sup>, B. Hametner<sup>1</sup>, C. C. Mayer<sup>1</sup>, B. Eber<sup>2</sup>, T. Weber<sup>2</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Austrian Research Centers, Vienna, Austria  
<sup>2</sup>Klinikum Wels-Grieskirchen, Wels, Austria

**Introduction:** Parameters of wave reflection (augmentation pressure - AP or augmentation index - Alx) are powerful independent prognostic markers in patients with coronary artery disease. However, the invasive investigation of arterial waveforms is still confined to expensive equipment. The aim of this

study was the comparison of modern standard fluid filled catheters using a pressure transducer (Sensis, Siemens) against a high fidelity (frequency response flat from 0-25 Hz) guidewire based pressure sensor (Pressure Wire®, Radi medical), with focus on AP and Alx.

**Design and Methods:** Pressure curves were recorded at the level of the ascending aorta simultaneously using a 6 French fluid filled catheter and a Pressure Wire® for about 20 seconds in 12 patients undergoing invasive assessment of coronary artery lesion severity. Fluid filled catheters were carefully used to avoid bubbles so as to obtain frequency response > 10 Hz and damping coefficient around 0.2. The recorded sequences were analysed with Fourier analysis (modulus and phase shift) in the frequency domain as well as by the means of time domain analysis for inflection pressure determination (2<sup>nd</sup> respectively 4<sup>th</sup> derivative in time).

**Results:** The mean correlation of the wave forms was  $R = 0.998$ . The mean difference for Alx was 5.79 % with a standard deviation of 7.69 %. The mean difference in AP was 3.74 mmHg with a standard deviation of 4.63 mmHg. For modulus and phase we found negligible differences in amplifications and shifts in the range from 1-10 Hz.

**Perspective:** The preliminary results of this small study provide evidence that modern transducer systems with carefully prepared fluid-filled tubing and connections can deliver useful information for pulse wave analysis and should be investigated more intensively.

#### P1.05

##### ASSESSMENT OF ARTERIAL STIFFNESS IN HYPERTENSION: COMPARISON OF OSCILLOMETRIC (ARTERIOGRAPH), PIEZO-ELECTRONIC (COMPLIOR), AND TONOMETRIC (SPHYGMOCOR) TECHNIQUES

A. Mahmud, N. Jatoo, J. Feely

Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Trinity College & Hypertension Clinic, St. James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

Arterial stiffness, measured as aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV), and wave reflection, measured as augmentation index (Alx) are independent predictors, for total and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The aim of this study was to compare a new device, based on oscillometric pressure curves (Arteriograph) which simultaneously measures PWV and Alx to standard techniques for measuring PWV (Complior) and Alx (SphygmoCor) in untreated hypertensive subjects.

We compared PWV and Alx measured using the Arteriograph with corresponding Complior and SphygmoCor measurements in 254 untreated hypertensive patients, age  $48 \pm 14$  (mean  $\pm$  SD) [17 to 85 years].

Arteriograph PWV and Alx were closely related with Complior ( $r = 0.60$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), and SphygmoCor ( $r = 0.89$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) respectively. Using stepwise regression analysis, the independent determinants of Arteriograph PWV were age, mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR) and gender ( $R^2 = 0.44$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ) and for Alx; age, weight, MAP, HR and gender ( $R^2 = 0.65$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ). The bias between the different techniques was determined by age and gender for PWV and age, body weight, gender, HR and MAP for Alx. Bland-Altman Plots showed that while the techniques were closely related, the limits of agreement were wide.

While Arteriograph values and the determinants of PWV and Alx are in close agreement with corresponding parameters obtained by Complior and SphygmoCor respectively, the techniques are not interchangeable.

#### P1.06

##### THE ACCURACY OF CENTRAL SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE DETERMINED FROM THE SECOND SYSTOLIC PEAK OF THE PERIPHERAL PRESSURE WAVEFORM

S. S. Hickson<sup>a</sup>, M. Butlin<sup>b</sup>, F. Mir<sup>a</sup>, J. Graggaber<sup>a</sup>, J. Cheriyan<sup>a</sup>, M. Yasmin<sup>a</sup>, J. R. Cockcroft<sup>c</sup>, I. B. Wilkinson<sup>a</sup>, C. M. McEniery<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

<sup>c</sup>Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom

Central blood pressure may be a better predictor of cardiovascular risk than peripheral blood pressure. The central systolic blood pressure (cSBP) can be estimated from the late systolic shoulder of the radial pulse waveform (pSBP<sub>2</sub>). We compared pSBP<sub>2</sub> with cSBP derived by a generalized transfer function in a large cohort of subjects, across a wide age-range. We also compared pSBP<sub>2</sub> with true central SBP (cSBPi) measured by cardiac catheterization.

Non-invasive measurements were made by applanation tonometry using the SphygmoCor device. The aortic pressure waveform was derived from the radial waveform using a validated transfer function. cSBPi measurements were carried out in 38 subjects undergoing diagnostic cardiac angiography, and the radial artery pressure waveform was recorded simultaneously using the SphygmoCor device.

Data from 1,880 subjects aged 18-85 years, yielded 10,269 individual observations. There was a strong correlation ( $r = 0.99$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and good agreement between pSBP<sub>2</sub> and the derived cSBP (mean difference =  $1 \pm 4$  mmHg). However, at lower average values of cSBP and pSBP<sub>2</sub>, there was a greater difference between these two variables suggesting bias in the data. There was also a strong correlation and good agreement between cSBPi and pSBP<sub>2</sub> ( $r = 0.96$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , mean difference =  $3 \pm 4$  mmHg), and between the derived cSBP and cSBPi ( $r = 0.74$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , mean difference =  $-3 \pm 8$  mmHg).

pSBP<sub>2</sub> approximates cSBP in a large cohort, across a wide age-range, but this may be inaccurate at low systolic blood pressures. The reason for this bias has not yet been established, and further investigations are required. Until this is resolved, pSBP<sub>2</sub> should be used with caution, particularly in individuals with lower systolic blood pressures.

#### P1.07

##### MEASUREMENT OF CAROTID INTIMA-MEDIA THICKNESS IN HEALTHY PERSONS AND PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES - A REPRODUCIBILITY STUDY

L. Lundby Christensen<sup>1</sup>, T. Almdal<sup>1</sup>, A. Vaag<sup>1</sup>, B. Carstensen<sup>1</sup>, L. Tarnow<sup>1</sup>, N. Wiinberg<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Steno Diabetes Center, Gentofte, Denmark

<sup>2</sup>Frederiksberg Hospital, Frederiksberg, Denmark

**Background:** Carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) measured by B-mode ultrasound is a sensitive and non-invasive method for detection of subclinical cardiovascular disease (CVD) and is often used as primary outcome measure in clinical trials as a surrogate marker of CVD. The purpose of the present study was to quantify the repeatability of this method between and within sonographers and readers. Furthermore we studied the day-to-day variation.

**Methods:** We used B-mode ultrasound and a computerized software programme (MIA vascular tools) for analysis of Carotid IMT (far wall of the common carotid artery). Measurement of Carotid IMT was done for 30 healthy persons and 28 T2D patients by two different sonographers and two different readers on two separate days.

**Results:** Comparisons of Carotid IMT between readers assessing the same picture (reader variability) resulted in limits of agreement on the relative scale from 0.92 to 1.07, i.e. there is a 95% probability that the reading of a given picture by a second reader gives a thickness between 0.92 and 1.07 of the first reading. Comparing different sonographers resulted in limits of agreement from 0.83 to 1.22.

We found no differences between healthy persons and patients with T2D.

**Conclusion:** Measurement of carotid IMT has the same accuracy in both healthy persons and patients with T2D. The major sources of variation are the differences between sonographers and the day-to-day variation whereas the following reading of the recording using computerized software shows very little variation.

#### P1.08

##### COMPARING PROXIMAL AND DISTAL OCCLUSION FOR TESTING ENDOTHELIAL FUNCTION USING FOREARM ISCHEMIA + HYPERAEMIA

P. J. Forcada, S. A. Gonzalez, D. Olano, S. Obregon, C. Castelar, C. Kotliar Hospital Universitario Austral, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Introduction:** There are several approaches to assess endothelial function using forearm ischemia - hyperaemia (FMD). The principal difference is whether flow is stopped in the root of the arm (proximal or P) or the wrist (distal or D). P induces "non pulsatile ischemia", and D, "pulsatile ischemia", and the choice based on tolerance.

**Aim:** Compare the efficacy and tolerability of two different FMD methods.

**Methods:** Seventeen pts., between 30 and 50 y.o, males, without CV disease or CV drugs were evaluated in the Vascular Lab. FMD was performed at the beginning and at the end of the vascular study (>30 minutes apart each one) in a randomized sequence using P or D occlusion and were recorded to be read blinded. Pts. were asked about the tolerance of each method.

**Results:** Mean age  $39 \pm 7$  y.o, BP  $133,4 \pm 17$  and  $82,5 \pm 11$  mmHg, normal IMT, Plaques (5 subjects, 29%), PWV  $10,26 \pm 1,8$  m/seg Aix b -25,7%, Aix Ao 20 %, Vascular score 2,53. Basal diameters humeral artery (mm): P:  $3,44 \pm 0,5$  D:  $3,51 \pm 0,5$  (pNS) Post ischemia diameters (mm): P:  $3,63 \pm 0,4$  D:  $3,67 \pm 0,4$  (p NS). Delta of diameter (%): P:  $5,7\% \pm 4,8$  D:  $4,7\% \pm 5$  (p. NS) ( $r = 0,49$  p.01, concordant according Bland & Altmann test). About 60% of FMDs were in the same sense and degree and P better tolerated than D.

**Conclusions:** Although P is better tolerated, there seems to be no significant differences between both methods.