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MCI AORTIC THORACIC ELASTIC PROPERTIES ASSESSMENT COMPARED TO ARTERIAL STIFFNESS ASSESSMENT BY TRANSCUTANEOUS DEVICES
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Background: Aortic elasticity properties (aortic distensibility, compliance, elastic modulus, and stiffness index) can be evaluated by cross-sectional thoracic aorta areas measurements at 2 times of the cardiac cycle (peak systolic and end-diastolic phases) with PC-cine MRI series. Pulse wave velocity (PWV) measurement between carotid and femoral sites is considered as the gold standard measurement of aortic stiffness and elastic properties of aorta. Obesity is a difficult feature to assess aortic elasticity properties because of methodological problems due to the use of transcutaneous devices.

The aim of this study was to assess aortic elasticity properties with PC MRI and to compare the results with PWV assessed by two of such transcutaneous devices (Pulse pen and Compilior II) in 32 consecutive patients presenting an isolated abdominal obesity defined by a waist circumference >102 cm in men and >88 cm in women (27 < BMI < 35).

Results: Aortic cross-sectional compliance assessed with MRI was inversely correlated with: Pulse Pen PWV (p = 0.01, r = -0.44), with the same trend for Compilior PWV (p = 0.07, r = -0.32). Positive relationship was found between the above 2 PWV measurements and aortic stiffness index computed by MRI. Relationship was stronger for PWV assessed by MRI (p = 0.02, r = -0.41) and Pulse Pen (p = 0.03, r = -0.38). The relationship between aortic stiffness index and Compilior PWV did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.12, r = 0.28).

Conclusion: In clinical difficult situation like obesity, aortic elasticity can be measured by PC MRI with a good correlation with peripheral PWV assessments.
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CAN WE LEARN ANYMORE ABOUT ARTERIAL FUNCTION FROM THE VALSALVA MANOEUVRE? WAVE INTENSITY ANALYSIS CAN INFORM US ABOUT RESERVOIR FUNCTION
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Background: The Valsalva manoeuvre (VM) is a physiological manoeuvre capable of generating profound changes in venous return. Although its physiology has been extensively described, the predominant focus has been on dynamic effects on cardiac preload with subsequent impact on cardiac output. However, analysis of the dramatic changes seen in arterial pressure and flow during this manoeuvre offers valuable insight into arterial function.

Methods: In 11 patients (9male, mean age 64 years), invasive measurement of pressure & Doppler flow velocity were made in the proximal aorta using sensor-tipped intra-arterial wires. Whilst recording, patients performed a controlled VM by blowing into a syringe. Calculation of pressure, aortic reservoir function and wave intensity were performed offline.

Results: Peak systolic blood pressure fell on average by 24% during sustained VM (157 ± 43mmHg to 122 ± 48mmHg, p < 0.0001). The peak reservoir pressure fell by an average of 65% (55 ± 17mmHg to 20 ± 13mmHg, p < 0.0001). The aortic pressure waveform during sustained VM assumes that morphology typically seen peripherally (Figure).

Conclusion: Prolonged VM is able to dramatically reduce both measured pressure and reservoir pressure in the proximal aorta and appears to produce a loss of this normal ‘cushioning’ effect. Is this simply a consequence of decreased stroke volume or are there other mechanisms involved?

Pressure waveform recorded invasively in proximal aorta during sustained Valsalva manoeuvre.
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Brachial artery flow-mediated dilation (FMD) is the most widely used technique for assessing endothelial function in humans. FMD is reduced in the presence of cardiovascular risk factors and diseases and it is an independent predictor of events. However, its major limitation is the relatively low reproducibility. One of the main challenge is to maintain a stable scan plane during examinations, especially when the forearm cuff is inflated/deflated. The aim of this study was to evaluate the FMD reproducibility when resting diameter is calculated as the mean value measured from 8th to 9th minute after reactive hyperemia, when the vasodilation is concluded (BAS_POST), and to compare the results with those obtained with a standard assessment of resting diameter over 1 minute before cuff inflation (BAS_PRE). Thirty healthy subjects (age 25-45 years) underwent two FMD examinations 30 minutes apart. FMD to 5 minutes forearm ischemia was assessed by one trained operator using a clamp to hold the ultrasound probe in the same position and calculated as maximum percentage increase in diameter with respect to BAS_PRE and BAS_POST, by a real-time automatic edge-detection system (FMD Studio, Institute of Clinical Physiology, Pisa, Italy). FMD% variability was assessed as intra-session coefficients of variation (CV). Diameter was 3.56 ± 0.66 mm in BAS_PRE and 3.57 ± 0.66 mm in the BAS_POST. FMD% was 7.4 ± 3.8% with BAS_PRE and 7.1 ± 3.7% with BAS_POST. CV of FMD% was 15.61 ± 10.9% in BAS_PRE and 9.22 ± 5.93% with BAS_POST calculation. These results suggest that FMD% calculated with BAS_POST can ensure a more reproducible measure of conduit artery endothelial function.
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CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE ARTERIAL SYSTEM COMPARED
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Systolic pressure, Ps, and pulse pressure, PP, are determined by heart and arterial system. We here compare systemic arterial system characterizations alone, and mention their use and limitations (aspects).

3. Wave Transmission
   a. Aortic Pulse Wave velocity, c, (Foot-foot wave speed). Required: two (uncalibrated) F(t), (flows or diameters); Characterization: (Total) Arterial compliance. Aspects: Derivation simple, Aorta a uniform tube. Cardiot-Femoral: not entire aorta; i.e., not total arterial compliance.
   b. Waveform Analysis. Required: P(t), F(t) + Z, Characterization: Amount and timing of reflections. Interpretation: diffuse (peripheral) and distinct reflections. Tube (aorta) with reflection site at end, no phase shift. Phase shift and c, change with age and effects may cancel. Aspects: Only timing and ratio Pbackward/Pforward give the arterial characterization. Small error Z, real.
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