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Aims

The aim of this study was to examine the differences in atherogenesis between male and female LDLR KO mice.

Methods

Procedures were performed with Northwestern University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. LDLR KO mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA) were fed a high fat "Western" diet (HFD) (Teklad TD.88137) consisting of 20% fat, 0.2% cholesterol, and 34% high sucrose starting at 4 weeks of age. Male and female mice were fed the HFD for 8, 14, 18, and 22 weeks prior to sacrifice (n = 3–15/group; Fig. 1A). Prior to euthanasia of mice at the 14 weeks high fat diet time point, blood was obtained through direct right ventricular cardiac puncture and used for serum lipid analysis by IDEXX (IDEXX laboratories, Westbrook, ME, USA). Due to inadequate blood volume collection, serum lipid analysis was not performed on all included subjects. Following in situ perfusion with PBS then 10% sucrose, the heart and aortic roots were flash frozen in optimal cutting temperature embedding medium 4583 (Tissue-Tek®, Sakura Finetek USA, Torrance, CA, USA) with liquid nitrogen. Frozen specimens were cryosectioned through the aortic valve as evident by the three aortic valve cusps, as previously described. Aortic root sections were stained with Oil-red-O to visualize atherosclerosis. To normalize the effect of variable aortic root size over time and between the sexes, atherosclerosis was quantified as plaque area as a percentage of total aortic root area using ImageJ software.
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(National Institutes of Health). Statistical analysis including ANOVA (for atherosclerosis as percentage of aortic root comparisons) and the rank sum test (for serum lipid analysis) was performed in STATA version 14.0 (Statacorp, College Station, TX, USA) and SigmaPlot version 10.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Atherosclerosis as percentage of the aortic root is expressed as group means with standard deviations, and serum lipid analyses and weights are expressed as group medians with standard deviations.

Results

After being fed the HFD for 14 weeks females had significantly higher levels of total cholesterol (1909 ± 168 mg/dL vs. 958 ± 443 mg/dL, P = 0.025) and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (541 ± 227 mg/dL vs. 235 ± 201 mg/dL, P = 0.025) compared to males. There were no significant differences between male and female mice in HDL cholesterol (66 ± 3 mg/dL females vs. 87 ± 8 mg/dL males) or triglycerides (846 ± 207 mg/dL females vs. 584 ± 412 mg/dL males). Males had a significantly higher body weight (33.9 ± 1.5 g) compared to females (25.6 ± 1.4 g) after 14 weeks on the HFD (P = 0.034) (Table 1). Atherosclerosis developed progressively over time in the aortic roots in both male and female mice fed the HFD. While both sexes displayed a similar degree of atherosclerosis after 8 weeks on the HFD (female 21.0 ± 5.2%, male 22.2 ± 8.3%, P = 0.52), females developed significantly more atherosclerosis compared to males after 14 weeks (female

Figure 1  Study design and aortic root atherosclerosis. (A) Low density lipoprotein cholesterol receptor knockout (LDLR KO) mice of both sexes were fed a high fat diet (HFD) for 8, 14, 18, and 22 weeks prior to sacrifice. (B) Representative histologic cross sections of aortic roots from female and male LDLR KO mice at 8, 14, 18, and 22 weeks on the high fat diet. (C) Quantification of atherosclerosis in the aortic root of LDLR KO mice (iP = 0.52, *P < 0.0001).
Historically, biomedical research has been primarily conducted in one sex of animal models.4 We recently reported that 22% of published animal research studies fail to specify the sex of animals used, and when the sex was reported that 22% of published animal research studies fail to specify the sex of animals used, and when the sex was reported, both sexes were studied only 3% of the time.5 Although our results conflicted with our preconceived notions of the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis, they underscore the importance of conducting sex-specific research at the most fundamental level.

An important limitation of our study is we show a difference in atherosclerosis without investigation regarding the mechanism of this disparity. Additionally, it should be noted that the size of male and female aortic roots are different. To account for this variable, we measured atherosclerosis as a percentage of the aortic root area, which normalizes the data for variation in vessel size between sexes and over time.

The LDLR KO mouse was first developed and described by Ishibashi et al.6 In the original description, serum lipid disparities were noted between males and females; however, differences in quantified atherosclerosis were not measured and reported.6 Contrary to our data, Tangirala and colleagues measured the extent of lesions between male and female LDLR KO mice and reported increased atherosclerotic lesion area in male mice.7 While we used mice of the same strain and from the same vendor as Tangirala et al., the diets from our two studies were quite different and may account for the differences in results. We used a HFD (21% fat) with 0.2% cholesterol whereas Tangirala et al. used a standard chocolate-free diet with high cholesterol (1%). In support of our data, Teupser and colleagues measured lipid levels and atherosclerotic lesion area in male and female LDLR KO mice. Interestingly, on a C57BL/6 background, female LDLR KO mice expressed more atherosclerosis than males on diets containing increasing amounts of cholesterol (0.0–0.6%).8 Unfortunately, a direct statistical comparison between the sexes was not performed. Thus, while prior studies have established the LDLR KO mouse model in male and female mice, most studies have not been conducted or statistically analyzed in animals of both sexes.

The purpose of this work is not to explain why there is a difference between the sexes, but to highlight that there is a difference between the sexes. In this current era of personalized medicine, in order to properly perform investigation into novel therapies for atherosclerosis, we must acknowledge that male and female model organisms express atherosclerosis differently. Once we accept this, we can begin to develop therapies that can be applied to either males or females, or can be safely and effectively used in the entire population.

In conclusion, male and female LDLR KO mice express atherosclerosis differently. Surprisingly, atherosclerosis was more severe in the female model. The underlying mechanism of differential expression of atherosclerosis is yet to be understood. Since evidence exists supporting a difference between male and female models of atherosclerosis, we suggest that investigators use animals of both sexes and normalize the variation in vessel sizes in performing basic and translational research on atherosclerosis in murine models.
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