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ABSTRACT

In this paper we obtain exact expressions and some recurrence relations satisfied by single and product moments of dual generalized order statistics from exponentiated Rayleigh distribution. These relations are deduced for moments of order statistics and lower record values. Further, conditional expectation, recurrence relations for single moments and truncated moment are used to characterize this distribution.

1. INTRODUCTION

A random variable \( X \) is said to have exponentiated Rayleigh distribution [15] if its probability density function \( (pdf) \) is of the form

\[
f(x) = 2\alpha\beta x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x^2}, \ x > 0, \ \alpha, \ \beta > 0 \tag{1}
\]

with distribution function \( (df) \)

\[
F(x) = \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^\alpha, \ x > 0, \ \alpha, \ \beta > 0. \tag{2}
\]

The exponentiated Rayleigh distribution has many characteristics which are quite common to gamma, Weibull and exponentiated exponential distributions. The exponentiated Rayleigh distribution for the distribution function and the density function are found to have closed forms. Consequently, it can be applied very compatibly even on censored data.

The concept of lower generalized order statistics \( (lg os) \) was first introduced by Pawlas and Syznał [16] to enable a common approach to descending ordered random variables like reverse order statistics and lower record values. Further, the concept of lower (dual) generalized order statistics \( (dgos) \) was extensively studied by Burkschat et al. [4].

Let \( X^* (r, n, m, k), r = 1, 2, ..., n, \) be the \( r \)-th \( dgos \) and their joint \( pdf \) is of the from

\[
k \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} [F(x_i)]^{m_i} f(x_i) \right) [F(x_n)]^{k-1} f(x_n) \tag{3}
\]

for \( F^{-1} (1) > x_1 \geq x_2 \geq ... \geq x_n > F^{-1} (0). \)
For the case $m_i = m, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$, the pdf of $r$-th dgos $X^*(r, n, m, k)$ is given

$$f_{X^*(r,n,m,k)}(x) = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} [F(x)]^{r-1} f(x) g_m^{r-1}(F(x))$$

and the joint pdf of $X^*(r, n, m, k)$ and $X^*(s, n, m, k)$, is

$$f_{X^*(r,n,m,k),X^*(s,n,m,k)}(x,y) = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \frac{C_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} [F(x)]^{r-1} f(x) g_m^{r-1}(F(x)) \times [h_m(F(y)) - h_m(F(x))]^{s-1} f(y) [F(y)]^{s-1}, x > y,$$

where

$$h_m(x) = \begin{cases} 
- \frac{1}{m+1} x^{m+1}, & m \neq -1 \\
- \log x, & m = -1
\end{cases}$$

and

$$g_m(x) = h_m(x) - h_m(1), x \in (0, 1).$$

Several authors utilized the concept of dgos in their work. References may be made to Pawlas and Szynal [16], Ahsanullah [1,2], Mbah and Ahsanullah [14], Khan et al. [9], Khan and Kumar [11,12] and Khan and Khan [13] among others. In this paper, we mainly focus on the study of dgos arising from the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution.

2. RELATIONS FOR SINGLE MOMENTS

Note that for exponentiated Rayleigh distribution $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ satisfy the relation

$$2\alpha \beta F(x) = x^{-1} \left(e^{\beta x} - 1\right) f(x).$$

The relation in (6) will be used to derive some simple recurrence relations for the moments of dgos from the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution.

We shall first establish the exact expression for $E[X^j(r, n, m, k)]$. Using (4), we have, when $m \neq -1$

$$E[X^j(r, n, m, k)] = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^j [F(x)]^{r-1} f(x) g_m^{r-1}(F(x)) dx$$

$$= \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} I_j(\gamma_r - 1, r - 1),$$

where

$$I_j(a, b) = \int_0^\infty x^j [F(x)]^a f(x) g_m^b(F(x)) dx.$$

On expanding $g_m^b(F(x)) = \left(\frac{1}{m+1} \left[1 - (F(x))^{m+1}\right]\right)^b$ binomially in (8), we get

$$I_j(a, b) = \frac{1}{(m+1)^b} \sum_{u=0}^b (-1)^u \left(\begin{array}{c} b \\ u \end{array}\right) \int_0^\infty x^j [F(x)]^{a+u(m+1)+1} f(x) dx.$$

Making the substitution $t = [F(x)]^{1/\beta}$ in (9), we find that

$$I_j(a, b) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{j/2} (m+1)^b} \sum_{u=0}^b (-1)^u \left(\begin{array}{c} b \\ u \end{array}\right) \int_0^1 [- \ln (1 - t)]^{j/2} t^{\alpha(a+u(m+1)+1)-1} dt.$$
where $z_p(j)$ is the coefficient of $t^{j+p}$ in the expansion of $\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^p}{p!}\right)^j$ (see Balakrishnan and Cohen [5], p. 44)), we get

$$I_j(a, b) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{j/2} (m + 1)^b} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{b} (-1)^u \binom{b}{u} z_p\left(\frac{j}{2}\right) \int_0^1 t^{\alpha(u + u(m+1)+1) + (j/2) + p-1} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta^{j/2} (m + 1)^b} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{b} (-1)^u \binom{b}{u} \frac{z_p\left(\frac{j}{2}\right)}{a + u(m + 1) + 1 + \left(\frac{j}{2} + p\right)/\alpha}.$$  

(12)

When $m = -1$, we have

$$I_j(a, b) = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \sum_{u=0}^{b} (-1)^u \binom{b}{u} = 0.$$

Since (12) is of the form $0 \cdot 0$ at $m \neq 1$, therefore, we have

$$I_j(a, b) = A \sum_{u=0}^{b} (-1)^u \binom{b}{u} \frac{\left[\alpha\{a + u(m + 1) + 1\} + (j/2) + p\right]^{-1}}{(m + 1)^b},$$  

(13)

where

$$A = \frac{1}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} z_p\left(\frac{j}{2}\right).$$

Differentiating numerator and denominator of (13) $b$ times with respect to $m$, we get

$$I_j(a, b) = A \sum_{u=0}^{b} (-1)^{u+b} \binom{b}{u} \frac{u^b}{[a + u(m + 1) + 1 + \left(\frac{j}{2} + p\right)/\alpha]^{b+1}}, \quad b > 0.$$

On applying L’Hospital rule, we have

$$\lim_{m \to -1} I_j(a, b) = A \sum_{u=0}^{b} (-1)^{u+b} \binom{b}{u} \frac{u^b}{[a + 1 + \left(\frac{j}{2} + p\right)/\alpha]^{b+1}}.$$  

(14)

But for all integers $n \geq 0$ and for all real numbers $x$, we have [17]

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (x - i)^n = n!.$$  

(15)

Therefore,

$$\sum_{u=0}^{b} (-1)^{u+b} \binom{b}{u} u^b = b!.$$  

(16)

On substituting (16) in (14), we find that

$$I_j(a, b) = \frac{b!}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_p\left(\frac{j}{2}\right)}{[a + 1 + \left(\frac{j}{2} + p\right)/\alpha]^{b+1}}, \quad m = -1.$$  

(17)

Now substituting for $I_j(\gamma - 1, r - 1)$ from (12) in (7) and simplifying, we obtain when $m \neq -1$

$$E\left[X^2(r, n, m, k)\right] = \frac{C_{\gamma-1}}{(r - 1)! \beta^{j/2} (m + 1)^{r-1}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} (-1)^u \binom{r - 1}{u} \times \frac{z_p\left(\frac{j}{2}\right)}{[\gamma_{r-u} + \left(\frac{j}{2} + p\right)/\alpha]}.$$  

(18)
and when \( m = -1 \), in view of Eqs. (17) and (7), we have

\[
E \left[ X^{j} (r, n, -1, k) \right] = E \left[ \left( Z_{r}^{(k)} \right)^{j} \right] = \frac{k!}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_p (j/2)}{[k + (j/2) + p] / \alpha},
\]

where \( Z_{r}^{(k)} \) denote the \( k \)-th lower record value.

**Identity 2.1.** For \( \gamma_r \geq 1, k \geq 1, 1 \leq r \leq n \) and \( m \neq -1 \)

\[
\sum_{u=0}^{r-1} (-1)^u \left( \begin{array}{c} r-1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{\gamma_{r-u}} = \frac{(r-1)! \ (m+1)^{r-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_{i}}.
\]

**Proof.** At \( j = 0 \) in (18), we have

\[
1 = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)! \ (m+1)^{r-1}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} (-1)^u \left( \begin{array}{c} r-1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \frac{z_p (0)}{[\gamma_{r-u} + (p/\alpha)]}.
\]

Note that, if \( j = 0 \), then

\[ z_p (0) = 1, p = 0 \text{ and } z_p (0) = 0, p > 0 \text{ (see [18])} \]

and hence the result given in (20).

### 2.1. Special Cases

i. Putting \( m = 0, k = 1 \) in (18), the explicit formula for single moments of order statistics of the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution can be obtained as

\[
E \left( X_{n-r+1:n} \right) = \frac{C_{r:n}}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} (-1)^u \left( \begin{array}{c} r-1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \frac{z_p (j/2)}{[n-r+1+u + (j/2)] / \alpha}.
\]

That is

\[
E \left( X_{r:n} \right) = \frac{C_{r:n}}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{n-r} (-1)^u \left( \begin{array}{c} n-r \\ u \end{array} \right) \frac{z_p (j/2)}{[r-1+u + (j/2)+p] / \alpha},
\]

where

\[
C_{r:n} = \frac{n!}{(r-1)! \ (n-r)!}.
\]

ii. Putting \( k = 1 \) in (19), we deduce the explicit expression for the moments of lower record values from the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution as

\[
E \left( X_{l+1}^{j} \right) = \frac{1}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_p (j/2)}{[1 + (j/2)] / \alpha}.
\]

Now we obtain the recurrence relations for single moments of exponentiated Rayleigh distribution in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** For the distribution as given in (2) for \( 2 \leq r \leq n, n \geq 2 \) and \( k = 1, 2, ... \)

\[
E \left[ X^{j} (r, n, m, k) \right] = E \left[ X^{j} (r-1, n, m, k) \right]
\]

\[+ \frac{j}{2 \alpha \beta \gamma_r} \left[ E \left( X^{j-1} (r, n, m, k) \right) - E \left( X^{j-2} (r, n, m, k) \right) \right]
\]

where

\[
\varphi (x) = x^{j-2} e^{\beta x^2}.
\]
Proof. In view of Khan et al. [8], note that

\[ E \left[ X^r_i (r, n, m, k) \right] - E \left[ X^r_i (r - 1, n, m, k) \right] = - \frac{j C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} \left[ f(x) \right]^{r-1} v_m g_m^{-1} (F(x)) \, dx. \]  

(22)

On using (6) in (22), we get

\[ E \left[ X^r_i (r, n, m, k) \right] - E \left[ X^r_i (r - 1, n, m, k) \right] = \frac{j}{2 \alpha \beta^2} \left\{ C_{r-1} \left( \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{r-2} \left[ f(x) \right]^{r-2} f(x) v_m g_m^{-1} (F(x)) \, dx \right. \right. 
\]

\[ \left. \left. - \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{r-2} e^{\beta x^2} \left[ f(x) \right]^{r-1} f(x) v_m g_m^{-1} (F(x)) \, dx \right\} \right\} \]

and hence the result given in (21).

Remark 2.1. Putting \( m = 0, k = 1 \), in (21), we obtain a recurrence relation for single moments of order statistics of the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution in the form

\[ E \left( X_{n-r+1}^i : n \right) = E \left( X_{n-r+2}^i : n \right) + \frac{j}{2 \alpha \beta} \frac{C_{r-1}}{(n-r+1)!} \left\{ E \left( X_{n-r+1}^{i-1} : n \right) - E \left( \varphi \left( X_{n-r+1}^i : n \right) \right) \right\}. \]

Replacing \((n-r+1)\) by \((r-1)\), we have

\[ E \left( X_{r:n}^i \right) = E \left( X_{r-1:n}^i \right) + \frac{j}{2 \alpha \beta} \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \left\{ E \left( X_{r-1}^{i-1} : n \right) - E \left( \varphi \left( X_{r-1}^i : n \right) \right) \right\}. \]

Remark 2.2. Setting \( m = -1 \) and \( k \geq 1 \) in (21), we get a recurrence relation for single moments of lower k record values from exponentiated Rayleigh distribution in the form

\[ E \left( Z_r^{(k)} \right)^j = E \left( Z_{r-1}^{(k)} \right)^j + \frac{j}{2 \alpha \beta k} \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \left\{ E \left( Z_{r-1}^{(k)-1} \right)^j - E \left( \varphi \left( Z_{r-1}^{(k)} \right) \right) \right\}. \]

3. RELATIONS FOR PRODUCT MOMENTS

The explicit expressions for the product moments of \( dgos \ X^r_i (r, n, m, k) \) and \( X^r_j (s, n, m, k) \), \( 1 \leq r < s \leq n \), can be obtained when \( m \neq -1 \) as

\[ E \left[ X^r_i (r, n, m, k) X^s_j (s, n, m, k) \right] = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! (m+1)^{-1}} \int_0^\infty x^r x^s \left[ f(x) \right]^m f(x) v_m g_m^{-1} (F(x)) \]

\[ \times \left[ h_m (F(y)) - h_m (F(x)) \right]^{r-1} \left[ F(y) \right]^{r-1} f(y) \, dy \, dx. \]  

(23)

On expanding \( g_m^{-1} (F(x)) = \left( \frac{1}{m+1} \left[ 1 - (F(x))^{m+1} \right] \right)^{-1} \) binomially in (23), we get

\[ E \left[ X^r_i (r, n, m, k) X^s_j (s, n, m, k) \right] = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! (m+1)^{-1}} \]

\[ \times \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} (-1)^u \binom{r-1}{u} \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} \left[ f(x) \right]^{m+u} f(x) \]

\[ \times \left[ h_m (F(y)) - h_m (F(x)) \right]^{r-1} \left[ F(y) \right]^{r-1} f(y) \, dy \, dx \]

\[ = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! (m+1)^{-1}} \sum_{u=0}^{r-1} (-1)^u \binom{r-1}{u} \]

\[ \times I_u (m + u (m+1), s - r - 1, \gamma - 1), \]  

(24)
where

\[
I_{ij}(a, b, c) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^x x^j y^i [F(x)]^a f(x) \left[ h_m(F(x)) - h_m(F(y)) \right]^b 
\times [F(y)]^c f(y) \, dy \, dx.
\] (25)

Expanding \([h_m(F(y)) - h_m(F(x))]^b\) binomially in (25) after noting that \(h_m(F(y)) - h_m(F(x)) = g_m(F(y)) - g_m(F(x))\), we get

\[
I_{ij}(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{(m + 1)^b} \sum_{v=0}^{b} (-1)^v \binom{b}{v} \int_0^\infty x^i [F(x)]^{v+b-v(m+1)} f(x) \, I(x) \, dx,
\] (26)

where

\[
I(x) = \int_0^x y^i [F(y)]^{v+(m+1)} f(y) \, dy.
\] (27)

By setting \(t = [F(y)]^{1/\alpha}\) in (27) and simplifying on the lines of (12), we find that

\[
I(x) = \frac{1}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} z_p \left( j/2 \right) [F(x)]^{c+v(m+1)+1+[(j/2)+p]/\alpha} \]

On substituting the expression of \(I(x)\) in (26), we have

\[
I_{ij}(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{b}{v} \frac{z_p \left( j/2 \right)}{c+v(m+1)+1+[(j/2)+p]/\alpha} \]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty x^i [F(x)]^{v+\alpha b(m+1)+1+[(j/2)+p]/\alpha} f(x) \, dx
\] (28)

Again by setting \(w = [F(x)]^{1/\alpha}\) in (28) and simplifying the resulting expression, we obtain

\[
I_{ij}(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{b}{v} \frac{z_p \left( j/2 \right)}{c+v(m+1)+1+[(j/2)+p]/\alpha} \]

\[
\times \frac{z_q \left( i/2 \right)}{a+c+b(m+1)+2+[(i/2)+(j/2)+p+q]/\alpha}
\] (29)

and when \(m = -1\) that

\[
I_{ij}(a, b, c) = 0, \quad \text{as} \quad \sum_{v=0}^{b} (-1)^v \binom{b}{v} = 0.
\]

Therefore, on applying L’Hospital rule and using (16), we find that

\[
\lim_{m \to -1} I_{ij}(a, b, c) = \frac{bl}{\beta^{j/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_p \left( j/2 \right)}{c+1+[(j/2)+p]/\alpha}^{b+1} \]

\[
\times \frac{z_q \left( i/2 \right)}{a+c+2+[(i/2)+(j/2)+p+q]/\alpha}
\] (30)

Now on substituting for \(I_{ij}(m+u(m+1), s-r-1, \gamma - 1)\) from (29) in (24) and simplifying, we obtain when \(m \neq -1\)

\[
E[X^r(\tau, n, m, k) X^s(\tau, n, m, k)] = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! \beta^{(s+r+1)/2} (m+1)^{r-2}} \times \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{r-1}{v} \binom{s-r-1}{u} \frac{z_p \left( j/2 \right)}{\gamma_{r-v}+[(i/2)+(j/2)+p+q]/\alpha} \times \frac{z_q \left( i/2 \right)}{\gamma_{r-u}+[(i/2)+(j/2)+p+q]/\alpha}
\] (31)
and when \( m = -1 \), in view of (30) and (25), we have

\[
E[X^r_i (r, n, -1, k) X^s_i (s, n, -1, k)] = E \left[ \left( Z^{(k)}_r \right)^t \left( Z^{(k)}_s \right)^t \right] = \frac{(\alpha k)^r}{\beta^{(i+j)/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} z_p(j) z_q(i) \frac{\Gamma(k + (j/2) + p)^{r-1}}{\Gamma(k + (i/2) + (j/2) + p + q)\Gamma(r + s - 1)}.
\]

(32)

**Identity 3.1.** For \( \gamma_r, \gamma_s \geq 1, k \geq 1, \ 1 \leq r < s \leq n \) and \( m \neq -1 \),

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{s-r-1} (-1)^i \binom{s-r-1}{r} \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-r}} = \frac{(s-r-1)! (m+1)^{s-r-1}}{\prod_{i=r+1}^s \gamma_i} \tag{33}
\]

**Proof.** At \( i = j = 0 \) in (31), we have

\[
1 = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! (m+1)^{s-r-2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} (-1)^u \binom{s-r-1}{u} \frac{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! (m+1)^{s-r-1}}{\prod_{i=r+1}^s \gamma_i}.
\]

In view of Shawky and Bakoban [18], for \( i = j = 0 \), note that

\[
\alpha_p(0) = 1, \ \alpha_q(0) = 1, \ p, q = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_p(0) = 0, \ \alpha_q(0) = 0, \ p, q > 0.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{s-r-1} (-1)^i \binom{s-r-1}{r} \frac{1}{\gamma_{n-r}} = \frac{(r-1)! (s-r-1)! (m+1)^{s-r-1}}{\prod_{i=r+1}^s \gamma_i}
\]

Now on using (20), we get the result given in (33).

At \( r = 0 \), (23) reduce to (20).

**Remark 3.1.** At \( j = 0 \) in (31), we have

\[
E[X^r_i (r, n, m, k)] = \frac{C_{r-1:n}}{(r-1)! \beta^{(i+j)/2} (m+1)^{r-1}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^u \binom{r-1}{u} \frac{z_p(i/2)}{\gamma_{r-u} + \{i/2 + p\}/\alpha}
\]

which is the exact expression for single moment as given in (18).

### 3.1. Special Cases

**i.** Putting \( m = 0, k = 1 \) in (31), the explicit formula for the product moments of order statistics of the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution is obtained as

\[
E \left( X_{n-r+1:n}^r X_{n-s+1:n}^s \right) = \frac{C_{r,s:n}}{\beta^{(i+j)/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} (-1)^u \binom{r-1}{u} \frac{z_p(j/2) z_q(i/2)}{[n-s+1+v+\{j/2+p\}/\alpha][n-r+1+u+\{i/2+j/2+p+q\}/\alpha]}
\]

That is

\[
E \left( X_{n-r:n}^r X_{n-s:n}^s \right) = \frac{C_{n,r,s:n}}{\beta^{(i+j)/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} (-1)^u \binom{n-s}{u} \frac{z_p(i/2) z_q(j/2)}{[r-1+v+\{j/2+p\}/\alpha][s-1+u+\{i/2+j/2+p+q\}/\alpha]}.
\]
where
\[ C_{r,s:n} = \frac{n!}{(r-1)! \, (s-r-1)! \, (n-s)!}. \]

ii. Putting \( k = 1 \) in (32), the explicit formula for the product moments of lower record values for the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution can be obtained as
\[
E \left[ X_{L(r)}^{i} X_{L(s)}^{j} \right] = \frac{\alpha^{i}}{\beta^{(i+1)2}} \sum_{p \geq 0} \sum_{q \geq 0} \left[ \alpha + (j/2) + p \right]^{\frac{z_{p}}{2}} \left( [\alpha + (i/2) + (j/2) + p + q] \right). 
\]

**Theorem 3.1.** For the distribution as given in (2), for \( 1 \leq r < s \leq n, n \geq 2 \) and \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \)
\[
E \left[ X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s} (s, n, m, k) \right] - E \left[ X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s} (s - 1, n, m, k) \right] \]
\[
= \frac{j}{2\alpha \beta} \left[ \left\{ E \left( X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s-1} (s, n, m, k) \right) \right. 
\right.
\[
- E \left[ \varphi \left( X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s} (s, n, m, k) \right) \right] \right], 
\]
\[
(34)
\]

where
\[ \varphi \left( x, y \right) = x^{y-2} e^\beta x. \]

**Proof.** In view of Khan et al. [8], note that
\[
E \left[ X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s} (s, n, m, k) \right] - E \left[ X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s} (s - 1, n, m, k) \right] 
\]
\[
= - \frac{j C_{r-1}}{\gamma (r-1)! \, (s-r-1)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{y} \left[ x^{y-1} \left\{ F(x) \right\}^m f(x) g_{m-1}^{r-1} (F(x)) \right] \times \left[ h_m (F(y)) - h_m (F(x)) \right]^{r-1} 
\]
\[
\times \left[ F(y) \right]^{r-1} \, dy \, dx. 
\]
\[
(35)
\]

On using relation (6) in (35), we get
\[
E \left[ X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s} (s, n, m, k) \right] - E \left[ X^{s} (r, n, m, k) X^{s} (s - 1, n, m, k) \right] 
\]
\[
= - \frac{j C_{r-1}}{2\alpha \beta} \left( r \right) \left( s-r-1 \right) ! \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{y} \left[ x^{y-2} e^\beta x \left\{ F(x) \right\}^m f(x) g_{m-1}^{r-1} (F(x)) \right] \times \left[ h_m (F(y)) - h_m (F(x)) \right]^{r-1} 
\]
\[
\times \left[ F(y) \right]^{r-1} f(y) \, dy \, dx 
\]
\[
- \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{y} \left[ x^{y-2} e^\beta x \left\{ F(x) \right\}^m f(x) g_{m-1}^{r-1} (F(x)) \left[ h_m (F(y)) - h_m (F(x)) \right]^{r-1} \left[ F(y) \right]^{r-1} f(y) \, dy \, dx \right) \right.
\]

and hence the result given in (34).

**Remark 3.2.** Putting \( m = 0, k = 1 \) in (34), we obtain recurrence relations for product moments of order statistics of the exponentiated Rayleigh distribution in the form
\[
E \left( X_{r-1+; n}^{i} X_{s-1+; n}^{j} : n \right) - E \left( X_{r-1+; n}^{i} X_{s-1+; n}^{j} : n \right) = \frac{j}{2\alpha \beta (n-s+1)} 
\]
\[
\times \left\{ E \left( X_{r-1+; n}^{i-2} X_{s-1+; n}^{j} : n \right) - E \left( \varphi \left( X_{r-1+; n}^{i-2} X_{s-1+; n} \right) \right) \right\}. 
\]

That is
\[
E \left( X_{r; n}^{i} X_{s; n}^{j} : n \right) - E \left( X_{r-1; n}^{i} X_{s; n}^{j} : n \right) = \frac{i}{2\alpha \beta (r-1)} \left\{ E \left( X_{r; n}^{i-2} X_{s; n}^{j} : n \right) - E \left( \varphi \left( X_{r; n} X_{s; n} \right) \right) \right\}. 
\]
Remark 3.3. Setting \( m = -1 \) and \( k \geq 1 \), in (34), we obtain the recurrence relations for product moments of lower \( k \) record values from exponentiated Rayleigh distribution in the form

\[
E\left[\left(Z_i^{(k)}\right)^j\right] = \frac{j!}{2^k \beta k} E\left[\left(Z_i^{(k)}\right)^{j-2}\right] - E\left[\varphi\left(Z_i^{(k)}\right)\left(Z_i^{(k)}\right)\right].
\]

Remark 3.4. At \( i = 0 \), Theorem 3.1 reduces to Theorem 2.1.

4. CHARACTERIZATION BY CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION AND RECURRENCE RELATION

Let \( X^*(r, m, k), r = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) be dgos from a continuous population with \( df F(x) \) and pdf \( f(x) \), then the conditional pdf of \( X^*(s, n, m, k) \) given \( X^*(r, n, m, k) = x \), \( 1 \leq r < s \leq n \), in view of (4) and (5), is

\[
f_{X^*(s, n, m, k) | X^*(r, n, m, k)}(y|x) = \frac{C_{s-1}}{(s-r-1)!} f(x)^{n-y+1} \times \left[ h_m(F(y)) - h_m(F(x)) \right]^{r-1} f(y), \quad y < x, \quad m \neq -1
\]

(36)

\[
f_{X^*(s, n, m, k) | X^*(r, n, m, k)}(y|x) = \frac{k^{r-1}}{(s-r-1)!} \left[ \ln F(x) - \ln f(y) \right]^{r-1} \times \left( \frac{F(y)}{F(x)} \right)^{k-1} f(y)\frac{dy}{F(x)}, \quad y < x, \quad m = -1.
\]

(37)

Theorem 4.1. Let \( X \) be a non-negative random variable having an absolutely continuous \( df F(x) \) with \( F(0) = 0 \) and \( 0 < F(x) < 1 \) for all \( x > 0 \), then

\[
E[\xi(X^*(s, n, m, k) | X^*(l, n, m, k) = x)] = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-\beta x^p}}{p} \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} \left( \frac{\gamma_{r+j}}{\gamma_{r+j} + (p/\alpha)} \right),
\]

\( l = r, \quad r + 1, \quad m \neq -1 \).

(38)

\[
E\left[\xi\left(Z_i^{(l)} \right) \mid Z_i^{(k)} = x\right] = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{k}{k + (p/\alpha)} \right)^{s-1} \frac{1 - e^{-\beta x^p}}{p},
\]

\( l = r, \quad r + 1, \quad m = -1 \).

(39)

where

\[
\xi(y) = y^2.
\]

if and only if

\[
F(x) = \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^\alpha} \right)^\alpha, \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha \beta > 0.
\]

Proof. When \( m \neq -1 \), we have from (36) for \( s > r + 1 \)

\[
E[\xi(X(s, n, m, k) \mid X(r, n, m, k) = x)] = \frac{C_{s-1}}{(s-r-1)!} C_{r-1} (m + 1)^{s-r-1} \times \int_0^x y^2 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{F(y)}{F(x)} \right)^{m+1} \right]^{s-r-1} \frac{F(y)}{F(x)} f(y)\frac{dy}{F(x)}.
\]

(40)
By setting \( u = \frac{F(y)}{F(x)} = \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\beta \gamma}}{1 - e^{-\beta x}} \right)^\alpha \) from (2) in (40), we obtain

\[
E[\xi \{ X(s, n, m, k) \} | X(r, n, m, k) = x] = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(s - r - 1)! C_{r-1} (m + 1)^{s-r-1}} \times \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^1 \left[ - \ln \left( 1 - (1 - e^{-\beta s} x) u^{1/\alpha} \right) \right] u^{r-1} (1 - u^{m+1})^{y-r-1} du
\]

\[
= \frac{C_{r-1}}{(s - r - 1)! C_{r-1} (m + 1)^{s-r-1}} \sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-\beta s} x)^{p}}{p} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{p+2k}{m+1} + n-r-1} (1 - t)^{y-r-1} dt
\]

Again by setting \( t = u^{m+1} \) in (41), we get

\[
E[\xi \{ X(s, n, m, k) \} | X(r, n, m, k) = x] = \frac{C_{r-1}}{(s - r - 1)! C_{r-1} (m + 1)^{s-r}} \beta \sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-\beta s} x)^{p}}{p} \Gamma \left( \frac{p+2k}{m+1} + n-s \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{p+2k}{m+1} + n-r \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{C_{r-1}}{C_{r-1}} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-\beta s} x)^{p}}{p} \prod_{j=1}^{r-r} \left( \frac{\gamma_{r+j}}{\gamma_{r+j} + (p/\alpha)} \right)
\]

where

\[
\frac{C_{r-1}}{C_{r-1}} = \prod_{j=1}^{r-r} \gamma_{r+j}
\]

and hence the result given in (38).

To prove sufficient part, we have from (36) and (38)

\[
\frac{C_{r-1}}{(s - r - 1)! C_{r-1} (m + 1)^{s-r-1}} \int_0^x y \left( (F(x))^{m+1} - (F(y))^{m+1} \right)^{y-r-1} \times [F(y)]^{y-r-1} f(y) dy = [F(x)]^{y-r-1} H_r(x),
\]

where

\[
H_r(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{(1 - e^{-\beta s} x)^{p}}{p} \prod_{j=1}^{r-r} \left( \frac{\gamma_{r+j}}{\gamma_{r+j} + (p/\alpha)} \right).
\]

Differentiating (42) both sides with respect to \( x \), we get

\[
\frac{C_{r-1}}{(s - r - 2)! C_{r-1} (m + 1)^{s-r-2}} \int_0^x y \left( (F(x))^{m+1} - (F(y))^{m+1} \right)^{y-r-2} [F(y)]^{y-r-1} f(y) dy = H_r(x) [F(x)]^{y-r-1} + \gamma_{r+1} H_r(x) [F(x)]^{y-r} f(x)
\]

or

\[
\gamma_{r+1} H_{r+1}(x) [F(x)]^{y-r} f(x)
\]

\[
= H_r(x) [F(x)]^{y-r} + \gamma_{r+1} H_r(x) [F(x)]^{y-r-1} f(x).
\]
The necessary part follows immediately from (21). On the other hand if the recurrence relation in (46) is satisfied, then on using (4),

\[ H_{r+1}(x) - H_r(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha \beta} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^p} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{r-r} \left( \frac{\gamma_{r+j}}{\gamma_{r+j} + (p/\alpha)} \right) \]

Integrating both the sides of (43) with respect to \( x \) between \( (0, y) \), the sufficiency part is proved.

For the case when \( m = -1 \), from (37) on using the transformation \( u = \frac{F(y)}{F(x)} = \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\beta x^p}}{1 - e^{-\beta x^p}} \right)^{\alpha} \), we find that

\[ E \left[ \phi \left( X_i^{(k)} \right) | Z_i^{(k)} = x \right] = A^* \int_0^1 (- \ln u)^{r-r} u^{k/(p/\alpha)-1} du, \]

where

\[ A^* = \frac{k^{r-r}}{(s-r-1)!} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^p} \right)^p / p. \]

We have Gradsteyn and Ryzhik ([6], p. 551)

\[ \int_0^1 (- \ln x)^{\mu-1} x^{\nu-1} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{\nu^\mu}, \quad \mu > 0, \nu > 0. \]

On using (45) in (44), we have the result given in (39).

Sufficiency part can be proved on the lines of case \( m \neq -1 \).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( X \) be a non-negative random variable having an absolutely continuous \( df F(x) \) with \( F(0) = 0 \) and \( 0 < F(x) < 1 \) for all \( x > 0 \), then

\[ E[X^{(r)} (n, m, k)] = E[X^{(r-1)} (n, m, k)] - \frac{j}{2\alpha \beta \gamma_r} E[X^{*} (r, n, m, k)] \]

\[ + \frac{j}{2\alpha \beta \gamma_r} E[X^{(r-2)} (r, n, m, k)] \]

(46)

if and only if

\[ F(x) = \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^p} \right)^{\alpha}, \quad x > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0. \]

**Proof.** The necessary part follows immediately from (21). On the other hand if the recurrence relation in (46) is satisfied, then on using (4), we have

\[ \frac{C_{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^j [F(x)]^{r-1} f(x) g_m^{r-1} (F(x)) \ dx \]

\[ = \frac{(r-1) C_{r-1}}{\gamma_r (r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^j [F(x)]^{r+m} f(x) g_m^{r-2} (F(x)) \ dx \]

\[ - \frac{j C_{r-1}}{2\alpha \beta \gamma_r (r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{r-2} e^{\beta x^p} [F(x)]^{r-1} f(x) g_m^{r-1} (F(x)) \ dx \]

\[ + \frac{j C_{r-1}}{2\alpha \beta \gamma_r (r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{r-2} [F(x)]^{r-1} f(x) g_m^{r-1} (F(x)) \ dx. \]

(47)
Integrating the first integral on the right hand side in (47) by parts and simplifying the resulting expression, we get

\[
\frac{j C_{r-1}}{\gamma_r (r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{r-1} [F(x)]^{g_{r-1}} g_{r-1} (F(x)) \, dx \\
\times \left\{ F(x) - \frac{1}{2\alpha\beta x} e^{\beta x} f(x) + \frac{1}{2\alpha\beta x} f(x) \right\} \, dx = 0.
\] (48)

Now applying a generalization of the Müntz-Szász Theorem \[7\] to (48), we get

\[
\frac{f(x)}{F(x)} = \frac{2\alpha\beta x}{(e^{\beta x^2} - 1)},
\]

which proves that

\[
F(x) = \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^\alpha, \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.
\]

5. Characterization by Truncated Moment

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose an absolutely continuous (with respect to Lebesgue measure) random variable \(X\) has the df \(F(x)/\) and pdf \(f(x)/\) for \(0 < x < \infty\), such that \(f'(x)\) and \(E(X|X \leq x)\) exist for all \(x, 0 < x < \infty\), then

\[
E \left( X \left| X \leq x \right. \right) = g(x) \eta(x),
\]

where

\[
\eta(x) = \frac{f(x)}{F(x)}
\]

and

\[
g(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-\beta x^2}}{2\alpha\beta x e^{-\beta x^2}} - \frac{\int_0^x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta u^2} \right)^\alpha du}{2\alpha\beta x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x^2}}.
\]

if and only if

\[
f(x) = 2\alpha\beta x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x^2}, \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.
\]

**Proof.** In view of Ahsanullah et al. \[3\] and (1), we have

\[
E \left( X \left| X \leq x \right. \right) = \frac{2\alpha\beta}{F(x)} \int_0^x u^2 \left( 1 - e^{-\beta u^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta u^2} du.
\]

Integrating (50) by parts treating \(u \left( 1 - e^{-\beta u^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta u^2}\) for integration and rest of the integrant for differentiation, we get

\[
E \left( X \left| X \leq x \right. \right) = \frac{1}{F(x)} \left\{ \eta(x) \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right) - \int_0^x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta u^2} \right)^\alpha du \right\}.
\]

(51)

After multiplying and dividing by \(f(x)\) in (51), we have the result given (49).

To prove sufficient part, we have from (49)

\[
\frac{1}{F(x)} \int_0^x u f(u) \, du = g(x) f(x) \]

or \(\int_0^x u f(u) \, du = g(x) f(x)\).

(52)

Differentiating (52) on both the sides with respect to \(x\), we find that

\[
xf(x) = g'(x) f(x) + g(x) f'(x).
\]
Therefore [3],

\[
\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{x - g'(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{2(\alpha - 1) \beta x e^{-\beta x^2}}{1 - e^{-\beta x^2}} + \frac{1}{x} - 2\beta x,
\]

where

\[
g'(x) = x + g(x) \left( \frac{2(\alpha - 1) \beta x e^{-\beta x^2}}{1 - e^{-\beta x^2}} + \frac{1}{x} - 2\beta x \right).
\]

Integrating both the sides in (53) with respect to \(x\), we get

\[
f(x) = c x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x^2}.
\]

It is known that

\[
\int_0^\infty f(x) \, dx = 1.
\]

Thus,

\[
\frac{1}{c} = \int_0^\infty x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x^2} \, dx = \frac{1}{2\alpha \beta},
\]

which proved that

\[
f(x) = 2\alpha \beta x \left( 1 - e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x^2}, \quad x > 0, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.
\]
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