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Abstract—Women in Myanmar have been underrepresented in all aspects, including in the parliament at the union and state/region level. However, the number of women’s representation in parliament at national and state/region level was significantly increasing from 3.7% in 2010 to 10.08% in 2015. The international pressure was signaled as the cause of the increasing number of women’s representation in Myanmar’s Parliament. This article is aimed to explain the transnational advocacy in increasing women’s representation in Myanmar’s Parliament. In order to analyze the process of advocacy, the authors used the Boomerang Pattern from Keck and Sikkink in explaining the Transnational Advocacy Networks (TANs). Besides, in understanding the change of state behavior, the authors reiterated the logic of appropriateness by March and Olsen. The data analyzed in this research was the secondary data using library research method. This research signified that the advocacy process for increasing the number of women in Myanmar’s Parliament is: 1) The local women’s movements establish the transnational network with the foreign NGOs due to a non-functioning channel to the government and; 2) The INGOs set the issue in international level and push some foreign states and the United Nations to pressure Myanmar. In return, the state changed its behavior and began to increase the women’s representation in the parliament.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) grants everyone the rights to take part in the government, directly or through their representatives, which means everyone regardless their sex is entitled to the political rights to vote and to run in the election.

“Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives. (United Nations, 1948)”

However, it is the fact that men are dominating the decision-making process and women are somehow excluded from the process. In 2015, there were only 43 countries that surpassed the target of 30% minimum target of women’s representation in parliament. There were 70 countries that has less than 15% women in parliament, and 5 countries with no women representative (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 2015 in Murage, 2017). Myanmar is one among those 70 countries where women are represented less than 15%. The elected women MPs are only accounted for 10.5% in 2015. Prior to 2015, women in Myanmar were really underrepresented in parliament as they only accounted for less than 5% of the parliament. Despite women MPs in Myanmar’s Parliament were far below the global average in 2015, there was a significant improvement from the 2010 election to the 2015 election. During that period, Myanmar experienced the democratic transition in which the military junta put down from power after received the international pressure demanding the democratization within the country. In the similar manner, the authors believed that there was a pressure from the international community through the transnational activists in increasing the women MPs in Myanmar’s Parliament. This article is aimed at examining the transnational advocacy to increase the number of women representatives in Myanmar’s Parliament.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The study of transnational advocacy networks (TANs) was comprehensively introduced by the initial work of Keck & Sikkink (1998) in the published book entitled Activists beyond Borders: Advocacy Network in International Politics. Conceptually, TANs embodied different characteristic, strategies, tactics and patterns of influence to the common domestic social movements. It [network] is a form of organization which is voluntary, reciprocal and has horizontal patterns of communication and exchange as its characteristics (Keck & Sikkink, 1998). TANs include those actors working internationally on an issue, who are bound together by shared values, a common discourse, and dense exchanges of information and services (Keck & Sikkink, 1999). At glance, the TANs have a pivotal role in the advocacy process in international politics. It addresses and advocates certain issues such as women’s suffrage, human rights, and environmental issues at local, national and international level. Advocacy in itself is an effort to influence the government for changing the public policy (Azizah, 2014). In describing how the TANs work, Keck and Sikkink have spelled out the Boomerang Pattern, in which domestic groups establish the transnational networks with other related actors to suppress their government in addressing particular issue.

The empirical studies have been conducted by many researchers in regard to the transnational advocacy networks (Sperling, Ferree, & Risman, 2001; Carpenter, 2007; Ciplet, 2014; Martinez, 2014; Bownas, 2017; Mukherjee, 2017; Hadden & Jasny, 2017). Sperling, Ferree, & Risman (2001) examined the transnational mobilization of the United
States’ women’s movements in supporting the women’s political activism in Russia. The advocacy of children rights and armed conflicts that is remained non-existent in transnational network is addressed by Carpenter (2007), arguing the constructivism approach in understanding TANs failed to acknowledge the issue such as the protection of the children “born of war”. The environmental issue and climate change also attracted the transnational environmental scholars to study further about the transnational networks in advocating these issues. For instance, Ciplet (2014) highlighted the significance of three main networks in the global climate change regime; gender equality advocates, indigenous people, and waste pickers in countering the climate injustice. Besides, the research on the role of transnational advocacy networks in shaping the tactical choices of climate change NGOs also undertook by Hadden & Jasny (2017). In regard to human rights issue, Martinez (2014) explored the challenges of the human rights network in advocating the issue in the Mediterranean. Recently, the study on the transnational advocacy against the genetically modified organism (GMO) and on tobacco control was conducted by Bownas (2017), and Mukherjee (2017), respectively. The issue of women’s rights has always been at the core of the agenda of transnational network, including the underrepresentation of women in politics. The attempt of transnational women’s activism regarding the women’s underrepresentation was addressed by Hughes, Krook, & Paxton (2015). The role of transnational women’s network is essential to the diffusion of gender quota policy that initially aimed at increasing the women’s representation in politics. The theory of quota policy synthesized in their research is that the active transnational women’s activism increases the likelihood of quota policy adoption at national levels worldwide (Hughes, Krook, & Paxton, 2015).

Of all the existing literatures in transnational advocacy networks, this research is expected to enrich the study and literature on transnational activism that is signifying the presence of non-state actors who are collectively working transnationally crossing the state’s borders in addressing particular issue, such as underrepresentation of women in politics. Practically, the case study of Myanmar is given to put more emphasis on how the transnational activism can influence and, to some extent, change the state behavior in such military-dominated country. By that, the authors can signify the role of non-state actors in influencing the state behavior, as opposed by the realist perspective; and on how the imposition of women’s representation and gender equality norm shaped the Myanmar’s behavior towards that matter. This research found that the women’s representation in parliament has successfully increased by almost threefold in 2015 was inescapable from the efforts of transnational advocacy network in Myanmar, especially the women’s movements. confirm that you have the correct template for your paper size. This template has been tailored for output on the A4 paper size. If you are using US letter-sized paper, please close this file and download the Microsoft Word, Letter file.

III. METHODOLOGY

This theoretical research uses the qualitative method that aims to understand the contemporary International Relations phenomenon which is transnational advocacy. This article specifies the research on the transnational advocacy in increasing women’s representation in Myanmar. The data and information in this research is acquired from the secondary sources which obtained from books, journals, reports, government policies and laws, as well as documents from website. The literature examined in this article is prominently about the transnational advocacy networks (TANs) in addressing particular issues at local, national or international level. The Boomerang Pattern (Keck & Sikkink, 1998) is applied as the primary analytical framework in examining the role of TANs in increasing the women’s representation in parliament of Myanmar in 2015. Additionally, the logic of appropriateness (March & Olsen, 2011) is also used to comprehend the explanation on the behavioral change of Myanmar’s Government in responding to the international pressures. The authors eventually conclude the finding of the research after undertaking the discussion and analysis. Abbreviations and Acronyms

IV. RESULT & ANALYSIS

A. The Underrepresentation of Women in Myanmar’s Parliament

The long-standing military regime in Myanmar makes it well-known as one of the isolated country worldwide until the regime changed. Thus authors signify that women’s underrepresentation in Myanmar’s Parliament also somehow due to the ruling military regime which excluded women. The number of women in Myanmar’s Parliament is presented by the authors in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I.</th>
<th>WOMEN’S REPRESENTATION* IN MYANMAR’S PARLIAMENT (1990-2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990 Election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wom. MPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly of the Union</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Representative</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Nationals</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State/Region Parliament</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Military-appointed MPs are included

Table 1 shows that women in Myanmar were not represented in state/region parliament until the 2010
election. Although women began to be included in Myanmar’s Parliament at the union and state/region level since 2011, women in Myanmar were still not really represented. There are some obstacles for women to be the representative in parliament in Myanmar which in this article is categorized into four obstacles; socio-cultural, educational, financial, and also legal and institutional aspects.

Firstly, the socio-cultural barrier for women in Myanmar to be able to involve in decision-making process is the preservation of patriarchal culture in Myanmar society. The patriarchal system, the system which gives men superiority over women in all aspects is simply marginalizing and disadvantaging women by neglecting their presence. It has been accustomed in Myanmar society that divides the social and gender roles of women and men (Hedstrom, 2013). There is a belief amongst the Myanmar society saying that women are not only expected to taking care of children but also in charge to assure the well-being of all family members (Mra, 2000 in Belak, 2002). There are numbers of traditional Myanmar proverbs that hindering the women’s role in public leadership roles (Gender Equality Network, 2013). Therefore, women are being consciously and voluntarily to be assigned in domestic matters, and men in public matters. In general, women’s responsibility in the family is the house and the men’s is earning money for the family (Belak, 2002). Not only that, the conservative religious belief of Burmese Buddhism considered women as spiritually lower than men, even the Buddhist nuns were considered below monks (Lian, 2015). The concept lies behind this belief called ‘hpôn’ defining the abstract quality upon the possession of morality and spiritual matters where women have the lesser degree than men (Grafilo, 2018). It is illuminating the way women are perceived by society and reinforcing the subordination of women over men. Being a good woman means abiding to behavioral restrictions taught in their religion. This belief is been practiced in society. Besides, some studies in both developed and developing countries found that the citizens tend to be male-bias in choosing the political leader or representatives (Aniza & Berry, 2012; Duflo, 2012 in Gender Equality Network, 2015). It also inevitably exists among Myanmar’s citizen that they prefer men over women to be the political leader (The Asia Foundation, 2014; Gender Equality Network, 2013).

Secondly, the educational barrier also matters in making women less-represented in the parliament. The constitution of Myanmar grants everyone the equal access to education, yet girls and women are being neglected to enjoy the education as boys and men enjoyed. It is somehow related to the socio-cultural barrier which restrict the education for girls and women is constructed socially. Myanmar’s people are really valuing the education in its high expense of money because it increases the status of a person, but this is only applicable to boys and men. The low educational level of women serves to disempower women away from effective political influence (Belak, 2002). Therefore, education does matters for the political participation of women because without education women’s voices not even close to being heard in government (WEDU Global, 2018). It is only with education that women can be aware of what politics is and have leadership skills and experiences.

Thirdly, the financial barrier contributes to the failure for women candidates in winning the election as they have lack of financial resource to support their political campaign. The authors realizes that the socio-cultural aspect which limits women’s role for only staying at home and men’s role to be the breadwinner is ultimately affecting the financial condition of women. As women are responsible for the household and the denial from society, women are facing unequal opportunities to work outside the home (Belak, 2002). What makes it worse is actually when women are given a chance to work outside home women still earn less money than men with the same jobs which only account for 70%-90% of what men earn (Baker, 2016). Furthermore, the lack of educational background leads the lack of particular skills and capacities for women to have a proper job as men. The women are more likely than men to work in the industry that needs labor with cheap salary. Given this situation, it makes sense that women are struggling to participate in politics because women have no resource, especially financially to support them in running the election (Gender Equality Network, 2017). Additionally, women candidates are less likely than men to get the financial support from their families, relatives, or even political parties they are in. It is reported that women candidates were more likely than men in charge of the entire fund of their campaigns themselves (Gender Equality Network, 2017; The Carter Center, 2015).

Lastly, the most prominent obstacle for women comes from the legal and institutional aspect which refers to the aspect coming from the government and other related institution such as political party that hinder women’s participation in the election. First, the 2008 Constitution is still considered as a form of solidification of power from the military forces. The military forces are privileged to have 25% seats of the parliaments without the election. The male dominance in military forces leads to the unequal posture of parliament that favors men over women. Second, the constitution also designed the male-bias First-Past-The-Post (FPTP) electoral system. According to this system, all candidates are competing for a single seat which tends to result in fewer women being elected (Gender Equality Network, 2017). Third, the posture of established Union Election Commission as mandated in the constitution still makes women underrepresented in the commission (The Carter Center, 2015). Fourth, there are many of the existing political system are still in favor towards men candidates than women candidates. Additionally, the traditional media coverage also somehow not takes the women side and support them in the election, but misinforms the profile of women candidates (Gender Equality Network, 2017). The political party, in this issue, is an important platform for increasing the women’s representation in parliament because women are unable to have a seat in parliament if they have no opportunity to be a member of political party and candidate for the MPs in the very first place. Most of the political parties in Myanmar excluded the women.
members through its complex membership requirements and selection.

B. The Rise of Local Women’s Movements in Myanmar

The local women’s movements were organized as it was aimed at breaking the status quo of women. Women as the most vulnerable group need to speak up so that they can be well-represented in society in all spheres in Myanmar at large. The situation when women are being disadvantaged out of the existing system and norm, there were some women who are aware of it and willing to address it. Thus, later there were several women’s movements in Myanmar that aimed to be a part of the solution. In this issue, the authors highlights three women’s movements in Myanmar that advocate the issue to increase the women’s representation in Myanmar’s Parliament, namely the Women’s League of Burma (WLB), the Gender Equality Network (GEN), and the Women’s Organizations Network (WON).

Speaking of the rise of local women’s movement in Myanmar, it can be traced back along with the fight for political reform against the junta government. Prior to the nationwide protest in 1988, there were two organizations of women based in Karen and Mon States already established namely Karen Women’s Organization (KWO) and Mon Women’s Organization (MWO). In the series of mass protest and demonstration in the late 1990s, women were involved by joining the Burmese Housewives Association and the young women joined the All Burma Students’ Democratic Front (ABSDF). The uprising was not strong enough in realizing the political reform in Myanmar. In fact, the regime reasserted their control by imposing martial law. It is reported that women were violated physically and sexually by the Special Police (Women’s League of Burma, 2007 in Hedstrom, 2013).

The Women’s League of Burma became the most prominent women’s movement in the country to advocate women’s issue after it was established in 1999 as the result of the strong commitment of 13 women’s ethnic organizations in Myanmar. The WLB faced many challenges from the government in order to advocate the women’s issue. Later, only post-Cyclone Nargis in 2008, the women’s organizations began to emerge such as GEN and WON to address women’s issue particularly those who were affected by the disaster. After all, the movements of women in Myanmar began to rise and advocate the issue of women, including the underrepresentation of women in Myanmar’s Parliament.

C. Actors of Transnational Advocacy in Increasing Women’s Representation in Myanmar’s Parliament

The aforementioned local women’s movements are the prominent actors in the advocacy process. But, they do not stand alone to make the targeted actor of this advocacy process changing its behavior. According to Boomerang Pattern, there are the foreign NGOs and relevant international actors which later establish the transnational network for advocating the issue in order to increase the number of women’s representation in Myanmar’s Parliament. In understanding the advocacy process, the authors consider several influential actors which explained below along with the targeted actor.

1) Targeted Actor of Advocacy

Myanmar’s Government is the most prominent targeted actor as it is the one that hampers the enforcement of women’s rights in the country, especially the women’s representation in parliament. As have been stated earlier that the military junta causes the exclusion of women in society; thus military regime must become the prominent targeted actor. By having political reform and change in behavior, Myanmar will possibly include women and taking them into account in every aspect of life. The fact that Myanmar has ratified Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) in 1997 and led to the establishment of Myanmar National Committee for Women’s Affairs (MNCWA) which later renamed as Myanmar Women’s Affairs Federation (MWAF) did not change anything, but shadow institution.

“The creation of the Myanmar National Committee for Women’s Affairs, a committee comprised largely of military men, and the non-independent, non-governmental Myanmar Maternal and Child Welfare Association, have both acted as vehicles for the promotion of national patriotic values rather than the empowerment of women. Programs created to provide services and information to women are afflicted by the same difficulties as other institutions, namely, lack of funding, training, freedom of information, and corruption. No government body or committee specifically dedicated to achieving gender equality exists in Burma. (Women's Organizations of Burma Shadow Report Writing Committee, 1999)”

This shows that the Government of Myanmar did not commit to the accomplishment of gender equality, not even to make women well-represented in the parliament. Other than Myanmar’s Government that very clear as the targeted actor in this advocacy process, there is the political party which also becomes the targeted actor. The political party is the first platform which enables women to run in the election. Although being an independent candidate is also possible, but women more likely than men perceived to be inappropriate from society. It is written in the CEDAW Implementation report by WON together with WLB in 2016.

“Women can be a member of the political party but they are only useful for the opening ceremony to arrange flower and prepare refreshment for the ceremony. Wai Lin, Sagaing Division (Women's Organization Network, 2016)”

However, it is only with the political party, women can have a better chance to be running the election. There are only a few numbers of political parties that established to support women’s representation in parliament means there is a lot more political parties that do not support women to run in the election. It is based on the finding that in Myanmar, there is only a small number of political parties that have a women wing or department (Danish Institute for Parties and Democracy, 2014). The Women’s Party from Mon State is the first and only all-women party in Myanmar to contest in the 2015 general elections (Myanmar Now, 2015). Not even one out of four candidates who ran in the
The authors reaffirm the commitment of those foreign NGOs in supporting the local women’s movements for increasing the women’s representation in Myanmar’s Parliament. First, the IWDA is the NGO based in Australia that established in 1985 for supporting women’s rights in Asia and the Pacific. It envisions the gender-equal world whereby all girls and women are included in all spheres at all levels (IWDA, 2018). In Myanmar, IWDA collaborates with four women’s organizations among them are the Akhaya Women, WLB and two other member organizations of WLB; Shan Women’s Action Network (SWAN) and Palaung Women’s Organization (PWO). It started to enter Myanmar since 2008, particularly to start the partnership with WLB. Second, Trocaire is the NGO based in Ireland founded by the Catholic Church in 1973 since the Bishops’ Conference of Ireland for development aid and donation purposes. Although it was founded for specifically addressing the poverty and unjust world, it also concerns with the gender inequality as one of the causes of underdevelopment. It has been working in Myanmar since 1995, yet it just opened its office in 2008 post-Cyclone Nargis (Trócaire, 2018). It works closely with the PTE for increasing the women’s representation in parliament since the 2010 election to date. Lastly, the Asia Foundation is a San Francisco-based nonprofit international development organization. It was established in 1954 and began to first open partnership with Myanmar in 1958. It had closed its office due to the military regime, yet it reopened its door to cooperate in 2013 (The Asia Foundation, 2018). The focus of the Asia Foundation in Myanmar is also empowering women politically together with PTE. These three foreign NGOs have significantly increased the women’s participation for being lawmaker in parliament.

4) International Actors: Foreign States and UN

It is undeniable that the involvement of international actors is essential to the advocacy process of this issue. There are Australia, the European Union (EU) and the United States of America (US) who involved in the process of advocacy along with the role played by the United Nations (UN). Australia is a country which commits to accomplish gender equality for all both inside and outside Australia. In regard to the participation of women in politics, women in Australia’s House Representatives are accounted for 30% of 150 MPs and 30 out of 76 senators in Senate (Parliament of Australia, 2018). It also supports the attainment of gender equality outside the country that showed by its foreign policy through Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) with the Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment Strategy and Australian Aid (DFAT, 2018). The European Union (EU), although it is not a state in literal meaning it has the similar division of power just like a state in the union. It has a strong commitment to human rights and democracy. It is a leading global regional organization in gender equality. In European Parliament, women are accounted for 36.1% of all MPs in 2018 (European Parliament, 2018). Just like Australia, it also adopted the Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment: Transforming Lives of Girls and Women through EU External Relations (2016-2020) which deliver the aid on gender equality for external parties (European
Commission, 2018). The United States of America (US) has played central role in bringing political reformation in Myanmar through its inevitable power. Speaking of women’s rights and gender equality, The US Constitution does protect and grant women’s rights and gender equality for US citizens, including the women’s representation in parliament. Women are accounted for almost 20% in the lower house and accounted about 23% in the Senate (Inter-Parliamentary Union, 2018). The US also in its foreign policy supports women’s rights and gender equality through USAID under the Gender Equality and Female Empowerment Policy (USAID, 2012).

Those play important role in pressuring the Government of Myanmar, but without the involvement of the intergovernmental organization in pressuring Myanmar their efforts seems like ineffective and inefficient. The United Nations (UN) is one of them which have a central role in pressuring Myanmar for increasing the participation of women in politics. The UDHR as the international legal framework has stated that everyone has the rights to participate in government, directly or indirectly. Not only that, the UN adopted CEDAW and Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (BPfA) for bringing the discrimination against women to an end. Currently, the 2030 United Nations Sustainable Development Agenda has set 17 ambitious goals to be achieved worldwide by 2030, including the gender equality. These are the commitments of the UN in increasing women’s participation in politics particularly to be the MPs. Through the international conferences and forums, the UN also has able to pressure Myanmar to do so.

D. Transnational Advocacy in Increasing Women’s Representation in Myanmar

In order to understand the transnational advocacy process to increase the women’s representation in Myanmar, the authors use the Boomerang Pattern by Keck & Sikkink (1998) (figure I)

![FIGURE I: BOOMERANG PATTERN](source: Keck & Sikkink, 1998)

Implementing the Boomerang Pattern to the given case study, the authors illustrate the transnational advocacy for increasing the number of women in Myanmar’s Parliament in figure 2. It is important to note that the transnational network only established when there is a gap between the targeted actors (government) and the advocacy actors (civil society).

The disharmonious relations between the government and the domestic women’s organizations lead to the creation of TAN between the local women’s movements with the foreign NGOs. Second, the authors explain the program and project ran by the established TAN in addressing the factors that hamper women’s participation in decision-making process. In the following the authors explains the pressure from the international actors toward the targeted actors. In return, the advocacy process results in the increase of women’s representation in Myanmar’s Parliament in 2015 which had successfully doubled the number of women in Parliament in the 2010 election.

1) Initiation of Transnational Network

The notable book entitled “Debating Democratization in Myanmar” edited by Nick Cheesman, Nicholas Farrelly and Trevor Wilson in 2014 gives the authors a very insightful argument in understanding TANs on democratization process in Myanmar. Cheesmen, Farrelly, & Wilson (2014) highlighted that the framing of the pro-democracy movement shifted the discourses of the authoritarian military regime towards democracy, non-violence, human rights, ethnic group rights, and women’s rights. However, even until the 1990 Election was held, in fact Myanmar’s Government still neglected the democracy. This led to the frustration of the pro-democracy movement, including the women’s movement due to the ongoing negative response from the Government of Myanmar. It encouraged them to establish transnational network with other foreign NGOs concerning on women’s rights issues and at the same time it generated international criticism and put pressure on the regime (Cheesman, Farrelly, & Wilson, 2014).

In the case of advocacy on women’s representation in parliament in Myanmar, the women’s movement in Myanmar majorly framed the issue in the larger context of women’s issue. It is important to be noted that the Government of Myanmar already ratified the CEDAW and BPfA in 1997 which became the international legal basis for Myanmar in dealing with any form of discrimination against women. Although the government has ratified those two important conventions, women in Myanmar remain unsafe and being abused, marginalized or even excluded from society at all levels. Women in Myanmar were not even
being taken into consideration as a part of the society. Hundreds of rape cases by the military force of Myanmar to women in border areas have been documented and disclosed by Women’s League of Burma. The rape itself had been structuralized and systematized as the instrument for Myanmar’s Government to protect its administration from collapse (Women’s League of Burma, 2004). Therefore, the initiation to advocate the issue was there.

The authors highlight the role of WLB as the first women’s network organization comprising 13 women’s ethnic organizations in Burma in initiating the establishment of TANs for women’s rights issues in Burma (Cheesman, Farrely, & Wilson, 2014). Regarding that matter, speaking about ananmar and caused the. In the following year, there were five women from WLB attended the Seminar on Parliamentary Democracy and Management of Parliament in Sweden with Member of Parliament Union (MPU) for the mission (Women's League of Burma, 2009). Not long after that, the WLB had implemented the program called Political Empowerment Program (PEP) in May 2006 with two projects namely, the Emerging Leadership Political Empowerment School (ELPES) and Political Forum. The ELPES is six-month-long political empowerment education that established in June 2008 to date which has given the WLB’s members the knowledge and skills on leadership in Burma. As recorded by WLB, there are 40 women have been trained and graduated from this program. In supporting the ELPES project, WLB also runs the Political Forums which hold in monthly basis both inside and on the borders of Burma to exchange ideas and experience in political matters among the participant.

In the same year of the launching of PEP, with WLB’s strong commitment in advancing the status of women in all spheres at all levels in Myanmar and ensuring the gender equality in society, WLB became the member of Federal Constitution Drafting and Coordinating Committee (FCDCC). Its participation as the member of FCDCC is to formulate the Constitution of the Federal Republic of the Union of Burma which guarantees the gender equality. The proposed Constitution was actually a constitution’s proposal to oppose the constitution drafted by the military force. WLB had successfully included the gender equality in Article 16 and Article 37 of the Constitution, as follows:

“Gender equality shall be guaranteed in the political, economic, social and cultural fields, and in the family. (Article 16. Gender Equality)”

“To ensure gender quality, at least 30 percent of the seats at all levels of legislation of the Federal Union shall be reserved for women. (Article 37. Reserved Gender Equality) (FCDCC, 2008)”

The gender equal constitution proposed by the FCDCC from the pro-democracy opposition was rejected to be adopted, not even to be discussed in the National Convention, the drafting committee of the 2008 Constitution. The FCDCC was supported by the Royal Danish Foreign Ministry and the Danish Burma Committee.

In 2008, IWDA started to cooperate with WLB and funded the programs and projects that in line with the strategic objectives of IWDA (IWDA, 2018). In the same year, after the Cyclone Nargis hit Myanmar and caused the huge amount of causalities and losses, GEN and WON were established and some international NGOs began to open its offices in Myanmar, such as Trócaire. GEN, WON and

---

**a) Phase 1 (Post-1990 Election – 2010)**

The first initiative for increasing women’s political participation was initiated by one of WLB’s member organizations, Karen Women Organization (KWO) in 2001 through holding the Karen Young’s Women Leadership School (KYWLS). The KYWLS was aimed to improve the leadership skills of young women who are interested in working for organizations, especially the KWO (Karen Women Organization, 2018). In the following year, there were 5 women from WLB attended the Seminar on Parliamentary Democracy and Management of Parliament in Sweden with Member of Parliament Union (MPU) for the mission (Women's League of Burma, 2009). Not long after that, the WLB had implemented the program called Political Empowerment Program (PEP) in May 2006 with two projects namely, the Emerging Leadership Political Empowerment School (ELPES) and Political Forum. The ELPES is six-month-long political empowerment education that established in June 2008 to date which has given the WLB’s members the knowledge and skills on leadership in Burma. As recorded by WLB, there are 40 women have been trained and graduated from this program. In supporting the ELPES project, WLB also runs the Political Forums which hold in monthly basis both inside and on the borders of Burma to exchange ideas and experience in political matters among the participant.

In the same year of the launching of PEP, with WLB’s strong commitment in advancing the status of women in all spheres at all levels in Myanmar and ensuring the gender equality in society, WLB became the member of Federal Constitution Drafting and Coordinating Committee (FCDCC). Its participation as the member of FCDCC is to formulate the Constitution of the Federal Republic of the Union of Burma which guarantees the gender equality. The proposed Constitution was actually a constitution’s proposal to oppose the constitution drafted by the military force. WLB had successfully included the gender equality in Article 16 and Article 37 of the Constitution, as follows:

“Gender equality shall be guaranteed in the political, economic, social and cultural fields, and in the family. (Article 16. Gender Equality)”

“To ensure gender quality, at least 30 percent of the seats at all levels of legislation of the Federal Union shall be reserved for women. (Article 37. Reserved Gender Equality) (FCDCC, 2008)”

The gender equal constitution proposed by the FCDCC from the pro-democracy opposition was rejected to be adopted, not even to be discussed in the National Convention, the drafting committee of the 2008 Constitution. The FCDCC was supported by the Royal Danish Foreign Ministry and the Danish Burma Committee.

In 2008, IWDA started to cooperate with WLB and funded the programs and projects that in line with the strategic objectives of IWDA (IWDA, 2018). In the same year, after the Cyclone Nargis hit Myanmar and caused the huge amount of causalities and losses, GEN and WON were established and some international NGOs began to open its offices in Myanmar, such as Trócaire. GEN, WON and
Trócaire focused on the programs post-Cyclone Nargis as women were the most disadvantaged group out of the disaster. Still, in the same year, KWO established the project of KWO Emerging Leaders School for two periods of time in 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 (Karen Women Organization, 2018). In the following year, Phan Tee Eain (Creative Home) was established to provide civic and voter educations as the preparation for the 2010 election (Phan Tee Eain, 2018). Later, Phan Tee Eain promoted gender equality and preparing women potential candidate to run the election in 2010 and became the member organization of GEN and WON. Prior to the 2010 election, the Pa-O Women Union (PWU), the member organization of WLB also established the School for Pa-O Women’s Political Empowerment. It was operated for a one-year program to increase the skill of women in English language, computer and presentation skills, assertiveness training, peacebuilding, environmental stewardship, and Burmese history and actual events (Pa-O Women Union, 2018).

b) Phase 2 (2010 – Pre-2015 Election)

In phase 2, the authors signifies the more vibrant and integrated effort made by the local women’s movements. This situation was somehow influenced by the new quasi-civilian regime under the President Thein Sein administration that Myanmar was getting more open to the international community and support the political reform (read subpart 2 the quasi-civilian government (2011-2015)).

In 2011, WLB conducted the political forum which attended by 1,390 participants attended the Political Forums along with other 26 women who gained skills leading, organizing, and facilitating of forums. The Akhaya Women, the member organization of both GEN and WON were conducted the project of mentorship for women’s groups’ leader. The mentorship program aimed to facilitate the establishment of women’s group other than the Akhaya Women. Most importantly, it aimed to enable women to learn and grow, and get out of the traditional gender roles box to be a potential women’s leader (Akhaya Women, 2018).

In 2012, WLB partnered with IWDA emerged the collaboration ELPES that was first established independently by WLB in 2008. The project remained in the same form but with more comprehensive method and funding from outside NGOs. In the same year, the Shan Women’s Action Network (SWAN) established the women’s empowerment program with the project named Young Women’s Leadership Training (YWLT) among them in partnership with IWDA (Shan Women’s Action Network, 2018). The YWLT provided the intensive training aimed to promote women’s leadership and political awareness. The Phan Tee Eain also strengthened leadership skill and capacity of elected and potential women in Myanmar prior to the 2012 by-election (Phan Tee Eain, 2018). The programs and projects prior to the 2012 by-election had successfully increased the women’s representation in parliament. Although, it cannot be simply concluded that way because the all elected women MPs were from the NLD, those programs were believed to prepare the women candidate and also the female voter in running the by-election in 2012. The positive improvement showed by the result of 2012 by-election led to some suspension on sanction given to Myanmar from the international community, such as the European Union. However, WLB was firmly asked the international community, especially the United States not to lift the sanction because the positive improvement showed in the by-election result did not necessarily guarantee the better development and inclusion of women in Myanmar (Women's League of Burma, 2012).

In 2013, GEN has written a result of its notable advocacy process by successfully involved in the drafting of National Strategic Plan for the Advancement of Women (NSPAW) 2013-2022 developed by the Ministry of Social Welfare, Relief and Resettlement (MSWRR) together with the support from the Trócaire and other foreign NGOs (Gender Equality Network, 2013). The NSPAW 2013-2022 aimed for improving the system, structures, and practices to make sure the equal participation of women in decision-making and leadership at all levels of society (Gender Equality Network, 2013). It is mandated in the implementation of 30% gender quota system in legislative, executive and judicial political branches. However, in Myanmar herself, the gender quota is debatable among political parties and not yet to be implemented.

“This National Strategic Plan is a Government commitment to promoting and protecting the human rights of women throughout our country. Ministry of Social Welfare, Relief and Resettlement, Dr. Daw Myat Ohn Khin.”

In the same year, GEN with the support from Trócaire, and LIFT (Livelihoods and Food Security) Fund established the women’s leadership training needs and training initiatives in Myanmar. In these initiatives, GEN identified 13 current programs by which the member organizations were administering the program and targeting young women as the potential leaders (Gender Equality Network, 2013; Maber, 2014). The Asia Foundation also entered Myanmar and re-established its office in Myanmar in the same year. In the early year of the re-establishment of the Asia Foundation’s office, it did not hold any particular program and project regarding the issue. In the end of 2013, Akhaya Women held the mentoring walk for 15 emerging women’s leaders who are often less experienced in the political leadership. The initiation of this project was able to create a conducive environment for both emerging and established women leaders in Myanmar (Akhaya Women, 2018).

Subsequently, WLB and WON jointly organized the first Myanmar Women’s Forum held in Yangon, on 20 – 22 September 2013. The forum was attended by over 400 participants made up of a diversity of women’s background, from the ethnic’ women organization, foreign and border based women’s organization, political parties, female MPs, CSOs and other individuals (Women's League of Burma, 2013). The forum was resulted in the Joint Declaration between WLB and WON which also particularly discussed women and decision making (WLB & WON, 2013). It was not only a declaration, but Myanmar Women’s Forum also had a recommendation as the outcome entitled “Women Need to be Protected by Law”. There were 12
recommendations for increasing the participation of women in decision-making (WLB & WON, 2013). The Second Women’s Forum was held a month later on 29-30 October 2013 by GEN jointly with other actors, including WLB, WON, Trócaire, UNFPA, Akhaya Women, Phan Tee Eain, UNFPA, and UNDP. The forum was attended by 270 participants and concluded the document used for the adoption of temporary special measures for accelerating women’s participation in decision-making at high levels (Gender Equality Network, 2013).

The year of 2013 became the important year for local women’s movements in Myanmar because the Second Women’s Forum was conducted and uniting the vision of all local women’s movements to narrow the underrepresentation of women in the decision-making process. Therefore, in November 2014, IWDA with the support and funding from the Foundation for a Just Society (FJS) organized the CEDAW Advocacy Workshop for catalyzing the joint advocacy strategies of the four women’s organization in Myanmar, namely WLB, GEN, WON and also CEDAW Action Myanmar (CAM). This project was funded for increasing women’s meaningful participation in decision-making process (IWDA, 2018).

In the same year, Trócaire, the Irish Catholic Church Agency for development overseas worked closely with Phan Tee Eain and Charity Oriented Myanmar to implement the project of Political Awareness of Communities and Civic Education of their Representatives (PACER) under STEP Democracy Program which funded by the European Commission (EC). The PACER project was held since 2014-2016 aimed for strengthening the civil society of Myanmar by promoting political awareness of communities and civic education of their representatives, with women’s participation in its specific objectives. The authors quoted some testimonies from the beneficiaries and organizer of this project from the profile video of Women and Democracy in Myanmar (Trocaire, 2016).

In 2015, IWDA supported SWAN in organizing the YWLT inside the Shan State (Shan Women's Action Network, 2018). In the same year, the Asia Foundation together with Phan Tee Eain organized the First Women MPs Forum which aimed to present the joint research finding of the political participation of women in Myanmar. Through this forum, the women MPs were expected to work and do more in order to increase the representation of women in parliament at all levels (Latt, Ninh, Myint, & Lee, 2017). Phase 2 had shown a positive result for increasing women’s representation in Myanmar’s Parliament in the 2015 election. Table 2.8 showed that the numbers of elected women in parliament in the 2015 election are doubled from the election in 2010.

c) Phase 3 (Post-2015 Election – Present)

In phase 3, the local women’s movements and the TANs have an ambitious goal to increase the representation of women even bigger in the 2020 Election. Considering the significant result in the 2015 election, there have been many more programs and projects funded by the foreign actors in this issue.

In December 2015, IWDA was granted around €14.2 million by the Government of the Netherlands, Funding Leadership and Opportunities for Women (FLOW) program (2016-2020). The IWDA has set a Women’s Action for Voice & Empowerment (WAVE) program which benefitted 16 women’s rights organizations, including 4 women’s rights organizations partner in Myanmar. In 2016, IWDA supported the SWAN to hold a three-week Emerging Women’s Leadership Training (EWLT) with 16 participants. The participants were trained about CEDAW, the Constitution and governance system of Myanmar, gender and feminism. Still, in the same year, the commitment of IWDA have never stopped, it supported Akhaya Women to organize a Dialog on Women Empowerment and Gender Equality with 17 women MPs. The dialog was organized for sharing a comprehensive understanding of the shortcoming of national law on Prevention of Violence against Women (PoVAW).

In January 2017, for the first time under WAVE program, Akhaya Women and IWDA jointly piloted the first structured women’s political mentoring program in Myanmar. There were 6 Myanmar’s elected women MPs from 4 political parties who joined this project who were mentored by 6 other Australia’s elected and former women MPs. It was 12-month program with 2 exchange visits, 6-month visit to Myanmar and 6-month visit to Australia.

Until today, the programs and projects aimed at increasing the number of women’s representation in Myanmar are gaining more support from the foreign NGOs and international actors. According to the explanation above, it can be concluded that the relations between the local women’s movements and foreign NGOs is presented in figure 3.

![FIGURE III: RELATIONS BETWEEN LOCAL WOMEN’S MOVEMENTS – FOREIGN NGOs](image_url)

3) Pressure from International Actors

In the boomerang pattern, Keck & Sikkink (1998) explained that the foreign states and intergovernmental organizations have a role to pressure the targeted actor which in this case is Myanmar’s Government. In the very first place, the pressure from the international actors was aimed at the change of regime to support democracy and human rights. It is only in the post-2010 election, that women’s
political participation, in particular, came into motion of global concerns.

a) Pressure from the Foreign States

As it is mentioned earlier, TANs need the support from the foreign states in order to pressure Myanmar’s Government to increase women’s representation in decision-making, particularly in parliament. The authors notices the influential role of Australia, the European Union (EU), and the United States of America in pressuring Myanmar to do so. In this part, the authors describes each foreign state action to pressure Myanmar, either through canceling the promise to give development aid or giving the sanction.

Australia for instance gave various sanctions against Myanmar’s Government to reform its domestic politics. In the following of political reformation, regarding the matter of women’s representation in parliament, it supported the Government of Myanmar with the Australia’s Official Development Assistance (ODA) since 2012. Australia’s ODA in 2012-2013 was around $75.5 million, in 2013-2014 was around $85.5 million, and in 2014-2015 was around $99.1 million. Currently, the Australia’s ODA is delivered to Myanmar under the program named Myanmar Aid Investment Plan in 2015-2020 (DFAT, 2018). Australia’s ODA also aimed to achieve Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) including gender equality in Myanmar. They maintain good relations with the government and many local NGOs in Myanmar to conduct various programs and projects for democracy and human rights, as well as to increase women’s representation in particular.

The European Union (EU) has appeared in Myanmar for very long time. The EU has donated the huge amount of money of €218 million to Myanmar for the humanitarian reason since 1994. Other than that, the EU led donor to Burmese refugee camps in Thailand since 1995 (European Commission, 2016). However, the European had stood clearly toward the military regime in 1996 when it adopted a Common Position on Burma. In the following years, the EU kept its sanctions against Myanmar until it reformed the domestic politics. In 2012, the EU opened its full diplomatic relations in Myanmar and also restored the position of Myanmar on EBA initiative as well as some suspension on the sanctions (European Commission, 2018). Today EU has been strengthening its bilateral cooperation and partnership in particular areas, such as economic, socio-politics, and defense. Began in 2012, the European Union committed to donate €150 million to Myanmar with the €100 million was distributed in 2012 and the rest €50 million in 2013. In the following year, the European Commission has developed the new chapter of development cooperation with Myanmar for 2014-2020: Rural Development, Education, Governance, and Support to Peace Building with €688 million donors which more than €90 million per year (European Commission, 2018). Some projects of EU are associated to increase women’s political participation later for preparing the 2015 election, such as LIFT Fund and STEP Democracy (see part Donor Funding and Partnership of TANs for Programs and Projects) (European Commission, 2016). Under the development strategy of 2014-2020, the European Union established the Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment: Transforming Lives of Girls and Women through EU External Relations (2016-2020).

The United States of America has established its diplomatic relations with Myanmar since Myanmar got its independence. The close relations between US and Myanmar have made the US to be the key player in enforcing human rights and democracy in the country. It burdened Myanmar with many sanctions when it was violating human rights. The US Government through its international aid for development institution, USAID’s Mission was re-opened in 2012 which announced by Secretary Clinton (USAID, 2018). The United States delivers a quite huge amount of funding for improving the democracy and human rights’ protection, as well as the promotion and protection of women’s rights in Myanmar by establishing a good partnership with local NGOs within the country. The donor funding was transferred for the better democracy and citizens’ involvement in politics, economic development and national reconciliation (USAID, 2018).

b) Pressure from the UN

The role of those states was relevant to xthe United Nations’ involvement in pressuring Myanmar. Speaking of the promotion and protection of women’s rights in Myanmar, the United Nations involvement can be considered into existence after Myanmar acceded to CEDAW in 1997. The UN mandated the UN CEDAW Committee in pressuring the Government of Myanmar to increase the representation of women in decision-making under Article 7 of CEDAW.

“States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to eliminate discrimination against women in the political and public life of the country and, in particular, shall ensure to women, on equal terms with men, the right….. (Article 7 CEDAW)”

The UN CEDAW Committee pressured the Government of Myanmar by using the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA). The UNGA Session is very important forum for UN CEDAW Committee to gain support by other UN member states to pressure Myanmar’s Government to comply with the CEDAW. The UN CEDAW Committee in its reports to the 55th Session of United Nations General Assembly (UNGA), in the Myanmar section, part of principal areas of concerns and recommendation point 132 states as follows:

“The Committee recommends that, in rebuilding its economic and political structures, the Government ensure the full and equal participation of women in an open and pluralistic society (UN CEDAW Committee, 2000)”

In 2008, the UNGA successfully adopted the Draft Resolution on the Situation of human rights in Myanmar with 80 countries voted in favor, 24 countries against and 45 abstentions. Among the resolution proposed in a passed Draft Resolution, it constituted the women’s rights particularly on the implementation of CEDAW in Myanmar.

“Welcomes the dialogue between the Government of Myanmar and the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination
of 2011-2016 to the period of 2016-2012 is increasing almost three times from 3.7% to 10.08%.

The transnational network has committed to increasing the political participation of women by first changing the patriarchal culture. Through the programs and projects organized by local women’s organizations also increase the educational level of women particularly and all citizens in general. They also assisted the women candidates in regard to the financial issues. Most importantly, they are able to address the legal and institutional barriers by finally urging the Government of Myanmar to adopt the National Strategic Plan for the Advancement of Women (NSPAW) 2013-2022. The 30% quota for women has been approved in the strategic plan, yet still not well-implemented in the country. Furthermore, some political parties piloted the women quota in preparing the 2015 election, such as NUP, NDF, and USDP (Than S. M., 2015; Aung & Solomon, 2015). In 2015, there was the one and the only all-women political party named Women’s Party in Mon States established to increase the women’s representation in Parliament.

V. CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION

This article has an objective to examine the transnational advocacy network in increasing the women’s representation in Myanmar by using the Boomerang Pattern. There are several barriers faced by the TAN itself in accomplishing the target of 30% women’s representation in parliament. Those challenges are socio-cultural, educational, financial, and legal and institutional barriers. Historically speaking, it was only with the because the democratic transition, which is the consequence of the international pressure against Myanmar has demanded the wider range of women’s representation in politics as campaigned by the local women’s movements such as Women’s League of Burma (WLB), Women’s Organizations Network (WON), and Gender Equality Network (GEN). The democratization in Myanmar has enabled the broader space for women’s movements to voice their aspiration and has brought the possibility for women to participate in politics freely. The attempt of local women’s movements in increasing women’s representation in parliament has been started since quite three decades ago, but only in 2015 their efforts were paid off in the 2015 election where women won one-tenth (10.08%) of the total seats contested in the parliament after broadly marginalized in politics since Myanmar gained its independence. This article draws three arguments as in its conclusion.

Firstly, the advocacy process of the transnational network in increasing the women’s representation in Myanmar in 2015 can be understood by using the Boomerang Pattern as follows: 1) The local women’s movements establish the network with the foreign NGOs due to a non-functioning channel to the government and; 2) The INGOs set the issue in international level and push some foreign states and the United Nations to pressure Myanmar. The preposition of Keck and Sikkink (1998) in the success of the transnational advocacy is that the targeted actor must be vulnerable either to the sanctions or the material incentives from the outside world of they must be sensitive to the pressures. This leads to the second argument in this
article that Myanmar was really depended on development aid from foreign parties and vulnerable to the sanctions placed by the great power such as the United States. The logic of appropriateness is applicable accordingly to understand the behavioral change of Myanmar’s government as well as the political party. Myanmar tends to decide to act appropriately according to the rule of law which agreed by the majority of international community, particularly CEDAW in this case. Through constantly behaving appropriately to the existing rule of law, Myanmar’s Government will receive the material incentives and relax the sanctions imposed by the global community against them. Lastly, the campaign and advocacy efforts of transnational women’s activists are not only limited to the increase of women’s representation in politics, but, to a degree of, demanding the adoption of gender quota policy in the constitution. In this case, the Women’s League of Burma has been in the forefront in promoting the introduction of quota policy in Myanmar’s Constitution, but not yet to achieve. Regarding the quota policy, despite of the insignificant progress, but is fundamental for women’s representation, the 30% quota for women in the government has been approved in the National Strategic Plan for the Advancement of Women (NSPAW) 2013-2022. Yet, practically, the strategic plan in which introduced in 2013 has not been effectively implemented. It is signifying the inapplicability of the quota policy theory postulated by Hughes, Krook, & Paxton (2015).

This article is limited to understand the transnational advocacy in increasing the women’s representation in politics, not to impose the adoption of gender quota policy. This gives more space for the future research in examining the transnational advocacy network in this matter, both theoretical and policy-oriented research. It is suggested that the more effective transnational advocacy network is essential to increase the women’s representation in politics at local, national or even international stage. The effective strategy is required in both establishing the networks with the information exchange and pressuring the targeted actors by using various strategy such as symbolic politics, leverage politics, and accountability politics. Consequently, the attempt to increase women’s representation in politics will be at ease.
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