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Abstract—The purpose of this review is to describe sedentary behavior differences among male and female older adults. The studies reviewed were limited to 277 older adults (> 60 years old) who are willing to be interviewed and fill in the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) short form Indonesian version. From the IPAQ data, the only average sitting time spent in one day is processed. The result show there was differences between male and female adults on sedentary behavior (p<.000). Female older adult reported an average of more sedentary behavior than male. These findings require further in-depth study.
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I. INTRODUCTION

As it is known that physical activity (PA) is related to health factors [1,2] and the lack of PA will be related to various cardiovascular diseases (heart, stroke, diabetes etc.) which result in many deaths [3–9]. Besides PA, sedentary behavior is also associated with an increased risk chronic disease [8,10–12].

Sedentary behavior (watching tv, playing gadget etc.) is becoming a phenomenon in modern society everywhere [10], and it happened in children and adults. Watching TV for too long is closely related to the increased risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and all causes of death [13].

Research on sedentary behavior has been carried out in several countries both in the population of children and adolescents [9,14–19], and adults [20–25]. In Canada it was reported that children and adolescents spent 8.6 hours or 62% sedentary in one day [26]. The same trend also occurred in the US, where children and adolescents reportedly spent 6–8 hours a day sedentary behavior [27,28]. While objective measurement results in adults are known that more than 67% spend a sedentary time of more than 8.5 hours in one working day [20].

Research on SB in Indonesia today is still relatively rare, especially in adults. Differences in culture and geographical location allow similar studies not to be the same as those done in several countries as stated above.

II. METHODS

A. Participants and Procedures

Participants in this study were 277 adults (aged > 60 years) in West Java, Indonesia. 153 participants came from urban areas (male = 71 and female = 82) and 124 participants came from rural areas (male = 64 and female = 60). Respondents were volunteers who were asked to tell about the average time spent in their daily activities.

Participants were asked to remember and report on how long on average they sat in one working day (watching TV, working, playing cellphone, etc.) in the past week. When filling out the questionnaire, the respondent was accompanied by data collectors to avoid mistakes in filling.

B. Data Analysis

The data description presents the mean and overall standard deviation based on demographic and gender location, and based on rural and urban gender. To determine SB differences based between rural-urban area and gender used test between of subject effect. To examine SB differences by gender based on demographics used t-test statistics (independent sample t-test) at the 0.05 significance level.

III. RESULTS

Descriptive data in table 1 shows that overall the average adult woman in West Java spends almost 9 hours a day sitting, while men are almost 8 hours in one work day. Overall, adults in urban areas spend an average of 9 hours a day sitting, while in rural areas spend an average of 7 hours a day.
Overall there was a significant difference in average sedentary time between rural and urban adults ($p = 0.000$) (table 2). Adults in urban areas spend more time being sedentary in one workday compared to adults in the rural area. While based on the test results showed that overall there were significant differences in sedentary behavior between male and female adult ($p = 0.000$) (table 2). Female adult spends more time sitting (sedentary behavior) than male.

Table 3 shows that there are significant sedentary time differences between rural and urban adult women ($p = 0.000$). Adult women in urban areas spend more time sitting than adult women in rural areas. Likewise, in adult men there are significant sedentary time differences between rural and urban adult men ($p = 0.000$). Urban male spends more time sitting than adult women in the rural areas. There is a significant interaction between demographic and gender towards sedentary behavior.

The results of these studies indicate that urban adults in West Java are more sedentary than rural ones. A work culture in urban areas that spends more time sitting in front of a computer or notebook, using a car or motorcycle and dealing with cellphones is one of the predictors of high sedentary behavior of adults in West Java. While in rural areas, adults > 60 years of age who are still active as farmers are one of the assumptions as to why they are relatively more active compared to urban areas. This condition occurs in both male and female.

As previous research reports that adults > 60 years spend time sitting 4 hours a day and the results of measurements objectively prove that behavior stays more than 8.5 hours a day [20]. Adults in rural areas are more physically active than adults in urban areas [29]. The two studies are at least in line with the results of this study.

IV. CONCLUSION

The results of this study concluded that, overall there were significant differences between adults aged 60 years and over who lived in rural and urban areas. In addition, there are also significant differences in sedentary behavior between adults in urban and rural areas for both men and women.
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**TABLE I. MEAN AND SD OF SEDENTARY BEHAVIOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Living Area</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>8.8003</td>
<td>1.57738</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>6.9973</td>
<td>0.2125</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.9456</td>
<td>1.45482</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>10.2622</td>
<td>2.73074</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>7.0195</td>
<td>1.9415</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.8920</td>
<td>2.62901</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II. TEST OF BETWEEN SUBJECT EFFECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Model</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82.150</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6501.906</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.087</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>151.272</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender * Living</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.317</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>273</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Corrected</td>
<td>276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III. SB DIFFERENCES BASED ON DEMOGRAPHIC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Dif</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9.140</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9.142</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


