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Abstract—Ganesa Library (GL) is a Non-Government Organization in Central Java that serves local people more than 3,000 books collection, 70% written in Bahasa Indonesia and 30% in English (http://ganesastudycenter.org/). The latter, which consists of various types of books such as novels, comics, children’s story books, is directly imported from USA by the founders of the library, Debra Lunn dan Michael Mrowka (Harjono, 2016). Those books need to be translated into Bahasa Indonesia in order to benefit the community. For this reason, the availability of human resources of students of English Diploma 3 Program of Universitas Sebelas Maret (UNS), who are projected to have language competence and translation skills when they graduate from the department, could answer the need of it. Since 2016, with one student, could translate approximately 15 to 20 books through an internship program, hundreds of GL’s English children’s story books have been translated by the students. In other words, their translation in the form of bilingual books has already given advantages to the library visitors. However, the translation produced by the students could not be merely used as a yardstick to state that they have produced a qualified translation. The absence of qualified translated books risks the reputation of the library and the self-quality of the students. Considering students’ translation competency, potential of gap between teaching and learning process in campus and real translation activity, a research to identify and to map linguistic and cultural challenges faced by the students in translating children’s story books at GL and to propose a general guideline of translating linguistic and cultural issues within children’s books is necessary to conduct. This study was qualitatively done by conducting Focus Group Discussion (FGD) with 12 students, interviewing students’ internship supervisor of GL, looking into the students’ final project reports, and analyzing 45 bilingual books before the objectives of this study were finally determined. Findings show that linguistic difficulties faced by the students cover limited English vocabulary, inappropriate diction for children, wrong meaning understanding of particular sentences, and the use of the wrong phrase in books title. Cultural challenges include less understanding of source text cultural context; culture-related onomatopoeias; high culture-related colloquial phrases; names of flora, fauna, artefact and habit; and community life development. Detailed interesting findings, completed with examples, could be found in this paper, in line with the proposal of general guidelines in translating linguistic and cultural items in children’s illustrated story books.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Translation activities in Indonesia have been evolving in line with the rapid development of global information and technology. The development is also supported by the role of both conventional media such as books and modern media such as internet-based media, making the demand for various translation materials keeps increasing. However, Tuntun Sinaga (2003) said that translation activities in Indonesia still face several challenges and one of them is due to the lack number of good translators who are less competent in translating various subject matters. The problem, according to Sinaga, needs a high commitment of some parties, particularly that of universities, to produce graduates who possess translation competencies covering the mastery of translation theories, the good possession of translation skills, and professionalism.
Since 2016, English Diploma 3 Program of Universitas Sebelas Maret (UNS) focuses its teaching and learning curriculum on Translation, i.e. by offering syllabus, subjects, internship activities, and final project reports related to Translation, to produce quality translators. During the last three years, students of English Diploma 3 Program UNS have been doing internship (translation) activities at a number of both governmental institutions and private ones. They are creating bilingual content of tourism website of the city government of Karanganyar, translating objects description displayed at Keris museum and Sangiran museum, translating tourism brochures of the city government of Surakarta, creating and translating tour package itinerary and booklets at travel agencies, translating Information Technology (IT) texts at translation agencies, and translating children’s story books at Ganesa Library (GL). The last, translating children’s story books at GL, takes the biggest percentage of the number of students doing internship activities in one institution, with approximately 25 students within the last three years. In GL, which is located on Jalan Raya Songgolangit, Sukoharjo, the students’ main duty is translating English children’s story books into Indonesian, since 30% of the library’s 3,000 book collections are written in English (http://ganesastudycenter.org/). The collections have a variety of themes, ranging from books on social, political, economic, culinary, and agribusiness; biography; novels; comics; to children’s stories. All of them are imported or directly brought from the USA by Debra Lunn and Michael Mrowka, the founders of GL, when they visit Indonesia twice a year (Saputra, 2018). The availability of the English books, particularly the English children’s story books, requires a translation process into Indonesian so that the books can be enjoyed by children visitors in Solo and its surroundings. This becomes a big opportunity for English Diploma 3 Program to cooperate with GL in conducting students’ internship program.

According to the reports of the students who have conducted an internship program at GL, each student could translate 15-20 books during a month. It means that there are hundreds of children’s story books translated by the students already, which have surely been enjoyed and are beneficial to the library visitors, particularly to children visitors, who can reach several 100 people each day (Harjono, 2016). However, the high number of translated books that are displayed in the form of bilingual books could not be merely used as a yardstick to state that the students have produced a quality translation, i.e. accurate, acceptable, and readable, remembering that they are possibly lacking translation competency. The absence of quality translated books risks the reputation of the library, the English Diploma 3 Program, and also the self-quality of the students. Thus, considering the students’ translation competency and potential of gap between teaching and learning process in campus and real translation activity, a research to identify and to map linguistic and cultural challenges faced by the students in translating children’s story books at GL and to propose a general guideline of translating linguistic and cultural issues within children’s books is necessary to conduct.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Students, who are novice translators, face challenges in translating children’s storybooks, and this is commonly attributable to their lack of translation competence. The competence includes knowledge and skills required by a translator for translating (Bell, 1991: 35). According to Bell, knowledge generally consists of factual knowledge (how what) and procedural knowledge (how how) (p.17). He adds that there are five types of basic knowledge, including SL knowledge, TL knowledge, text-type knowledge, subject area (real-world) knowledge, and contrastive knowledge (p.36). Completing Bell’s, EMT Expert Group (European Master’s in Translation) defines competence as a combination of aptitudes, knowledge, behavior, and knowhow, which are important to be employed by a translator for translating (2009: 3). They include competence in determining translation service, language competence, cultural competence, competence in digging (searching for) information, competence related to subject matter (theme), and competence in using technology (p. 4-7). In line with the types of translator competence proposed by EMT Expert Group, PACTE (2003) categorizes competence for translator into five, namely bilingual competence, extra-linguistic competence, strategic competence, competence for using translation assisting tools and competence of knowledge on translation. Of all types of competence, the researchers center the focus on two competences as the main subject matters in this study, but there is a possibility that we correlate this study with the other competences. First, linguistic competence is required to be analyzed because language brings about the concept of the world and, in translation, problems may rise when translator conveys the same concept into different language and culture (Metcalf, 2003: 323). However, related to his research, Metcalf further mentions that students’ inadequacy of language competence (as the subject of Metcalf’s research) is considered in line with the characteristics of children’s literary texts since those have simple language and sentence structure, as well as its special topics, which are children’s daily experiences (ibid). The second competence is cultural competence, which is knowledge about culture in both source text and target text, and this competence belongs to extra-linguistic competence (PACTE, 2003). The role of translator bridging the differences between two languages has to be done well, even though a translator often deals with cultural untranslatability, a condition in which situational feature in the source text is not available in the culture of the target text (Catford (1965) in Kitamura, 2009). Discussing the cultural factor that is related to the purpose of translation of children’s literature, Klingbert states that the main objective of translation of children’s literature should enrich reader’s knowledge and understanding on foreign culture. However, in fact, interventions on the source text—cultural context adaptations, purifications, modernizations, abridgements, and serious mistranslations—hinder the aims.

Moreover, he proposes translation strategies which maintain the original spirit or values contained in the source text, so that target readers—in this case, are children—can recognize the original country and culture of the people in the translated texts. Besides linguistic and cultural challenges, the basic concept of translation of children’s literature should be mastered by the
translator. In this case, it can be said that students have not had a holistic understanding of the characteristics of children’s literature so that there is a possibility that they do not consider this aspect when translating. The portrayal of definition, types, and the purposes of the production of children’s literature is presented below.

One of media for teaching values of life to children is children’s story. Along with the development of the concept of child, childhood, and literature, the definition of children’s literary works also develops. Hunt (2002: 21) children’s literature—in this case, is the book for children is defined as one of the categories whose presence relies on its relationship with segmented readers—children. Oittinen (200:61) children’s literature as literature resulted and designed for children or read by children. Definition from other experts focuses more on purpose that this type of literature is designed to help children understand and emphasize on the public point of view and other people’s experience, including the experience of other children.

Meanwhile, Chang (2007) defines children’s literature as everything that is written for and to be read by a group of people—children—in certain community. Nurgiantoro (2004: 110) underlines that children’s literature does not have to tell about children, children’s world, and various events which involve children. According to him, children’s literature can tell about anything related to the life of either human, animal, or plant in the world, and the life of other creatures in the other world. Nevertheless, he states that no matter how the content is, children’s story should take children’s point of view, from the way children see and treat thing, and the thing should be within reach of children’s emotional understanding and thought.

Lukes, in Nurgiantoro (2004), without annihilating drama, classifies children’s literature into six categories: realism, formula fiction, fantasy, traditional literature, poetry, and nonfiction. Livingston and Brown (2017) categorize children’s literature into some categories: fantasy, fable and story about animal, folktale, myth and legend, poem and rhyme, story about school life, drama, religious story, and comic and illustrated storybook.

Children’s storybook is written by emphasizing on the aspect of various purposes. Based on the study conducted by Chang, the aim of children’s story can include entertainment, information and entertainment, empathy, as well as style and quality. Children’s story is composed by considering entertainment that can be enjoyed by children who read the story, and educative and moral values contained in the story. Hence, it does make sense that in children’s story, the depiction and figurative language used (either metaphor or simile) are presented within the reach of children’s thought and emotion. Alivand (2016) in his article “Children Literature and Translation: Purpose Paradigm as a Case in Point” mentions that children’s story should give entertainment, communicate language, encourage understanding and provide cultural values, as well as offer experiences. Further, children’s story transfers knowledge, teaches and enhances imagination and stimulates development.

Translation of storybooks has been widely carried out, particularly translation from English into other languages used by more limited people, and one of which is the Indonesian language. When translating children’s books, the translator needs to give certain attention on several specific aspects, particularly the stage of development. The translator has to be sensitive with linguistic feature and addressing model appropriate for specific age group, as summarized by Anna from Lathey (2011, 199). One thing that has to be considered is the possibility of multiple readers—where children and those who do not belong to children, in this case, are adults, also become the target readers, either for their own purpose or for the purpose of retelling the stories to their children. Children’s story is also related to ‘asymmetry’—the relationship between the writer, who is adult, and the readers, who are children (Aida: 2015).

Asiain (2015) in his study entitled “The Translation of Children’s Literature: Ideology and Cultural Adaptations (Captain Underpants As A Case Study)” gives attention on the ways the translator translates text which contributes to the particularization, generalization, or meaning shift on the translation of children’s story and the impact on children’s understanding on the translated text (which is more or less subversive), which provides more dynamic than static system model. Further, this research investigates the ways the translator negotiates pressures and obstacles—political, historical, editorial, commercial, or linguistic. Suprapto in his study entitled “Perbandingan Hasil Penerjemahan Buku Cerita Anak-Anak Dongeng Donau Toba dari Bahasa Indonesia ke Bahasa Inggris Melalui Penerjemah dan Mesin Penerjemah” analyzes and compares children’s storybooks translated by professional translator with those translated using Google Translate. Rohana, Santosa, and Djatmika (2017) in their research “Gaya Bahasa, Teknik Penerjemahan, dan Kualitas Terjemahan dalam Dongeng Disney Dwibahasa Berjudul ‘Cinderella: My Bedtime Story’ and “Tinkerbell and The Great Fairy Rescue” describes figurative language (style) of children’s literature in the form of folktale, translation techniques used by the translators, and the translation quality of both folktales. Established equivalent, variation, amplification, reduction, transposition, modulation, and linguistic compression techniques are considered capable of maintaining the figurative language used in the folktales and result in accurate and acceptable translation, although the level of readability is considered low. Kujundzic (2018) in his study “Putting the Child Reader First: The Croatian Translation of J.R.R. Tolkien’s Father Christmas Letters” discusses translation strategies and tactics used in translating texts. This study focuses on the content (cultural terms) and the style of texts.

III. METHOD

The procedure of this research is illustrated in the figure below.
Fig. 1. Research methodology

Referring to Figure 1, the first stage, that is data collection, has been done, and the results will be presented in the following chapter. In general, below is the explanation about this stage.

An in-depth interview with Haerul Affandi, the internship supervisor from PG, has been carried out in 23 November 2018 using recording technique. This activity involves two students recording the process of interview. To obtain information about (1) procedures in translating children’s storybooks in PG—starting from choosing the books to be translated, the duration of translation process, translation process and editing, to production of final translation; (2) problems faced by students when translating children’s storybooks, and (3) suggestions or recommendations to solve the problems in translating storybooks, the followings are the questions addressed to Haerul Affandi:

a. What were the criteria of children’s storybooks which were translated by students of English Diploma program of UNS in PG?
b. How many books were translated by students and who decided the number of books translated?
c. Were students given the opportunity to choose the books they were going to translate?
d. Who were the target readers of the books translated by students?
e. How long it was the duration for translating a book? (Decided by PG)
f. How was the system for translating storybooks applied in PG until the books were once ready to be given to target readers?
g. How was the translation editing system applied?
h. What were the problems faced by students when translating books?
i. Did students face difficulties in understanding the meaning/message contained in the source text?
j. Did students face difficulties in choosing proper words/expressions in the target text?
k. How did students cope with the problems in translating books in PG?
l. What were supervisor’s suggestions for students regarding the activities of translating storybooks for children?
m. Were there any complaints from visitors related to the results of translation by students?

The results of interview were then processed by categorizing them, sorting (if there were irrelevant information), and summarizing based on the research objectives. FGD involving students who had conducted internship in PG, translating storybooks for children, had been done twice resulting in the data of challenges and problems in translating illustrated storybooks for children from the aspects of linguistic and culture. The first FGD was done on 8 December 2018 with nine students and the second one was carried out on 15 December 2018 with three students. The students participating in FGD are: Auliya Fahma, Bella Qurnelia, Merna Syaraswati, Atika Fitri A.H., Annisa Mutia Anggraeni, Luthfi Faathah Sari, Alini Sanda Wahyu Bintari, Imaniar Hayyu Sari, Nur Kholif Muttaqin, Anugerah Satria Bangkit Pratama, Vega Tiara Anaditya, and Adinda Brilliani.
Content analysis of primary data were taken from 45 storybooks for children translated by the students of English Diploma program of UNS who conducted an internship in PG and from students’ final projects. First, the translated books were categorized into three based on the level of difficulties and readers’ ages, namely:

a. books for introducing English vocabularies (BKos)

b. storybooks with the topic of simple daily experiences (BCSed), and

c. storybooks with more difficult topics (BCKom).

Second, sentence structure, specific terms, and cultural terms appearing in the storybooks were analyzed by comparing and contrasting the source texts and the target texts. Third, problems in translating were mapped based on the results of analysis of the contents of storybooks, the results of FGD and students’ final report.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of data shows that process of translating storybooks for children from English into Indonesian is divided into two: (1) translation process in general—which includes the overall process/technical activities from selecting books until producing bilingual books; and (2) translation process in particular—which focuses on the process of translating books in the mind (by students).

1. The process of translating storybooks for children in PG

Translation process, in general, comprises of seven stages illustrated in Figure 2

![Fig. 2. Translation process in general in Ganesa Library](image)

**Stage 1 – Selecting books to be translated**

The books which were translated were chosen by the students or recommended by the supervisor. The supervisor usually suggested books which were required by the PG. The books need to have spaces for attaching the translation on to the books so that readers can enjoy the reading. Students tended to choose “interesting” books under BKos and/or BCSed categories. After translating those type of books, they chose BCKom with specific themes they designed for the final report. “Interesting” refers to interesting aspects of appearance, like pop-up, books which have certain parts which can move or three-dimension books (Nugraha: 2016), the narration of the stories, and benefits they provide, such as scientific books and fact books.

**Stage 2 – Translating**

This stage includes the activities of translating in the mind of translator, like what have been stated by Nida and Taber (1969), Larson (1984), and Bell (1991). The process comprises of analysis of the source text, transfer of meaning, and restructuring of translation.

**Stage 3 – Checking translation draft**
After the draft was produced, students conducted peer-review, in which they reviewed the works of other students and gave feedbacks on the translation. Translation strategies and techniques were discussed to the most proper expressions used in their translation. They conducted revision to solve the problems by applying translation theories they obtained, solving problems using parallel texts, searching for equivalences from parallel text and dictionaries, and asking supervisor.

**Stage 4 – Discussing**

Discussion was carried out by students and supervisor. In this stage, they also did editing process. There were some problems they found: (1) diction related to culture was less proper in translation, (2) the meaning of diction related to particular field was improper, (3) sentence structure of the target text was unnatural, (4) the language style was less suitable for children, (5) onomatopoeia was translated less accurately, and (6) the use of Indonesian language was less proper. The findings show that students’ linguistic and cultural competences have to be improved.

**Stage 5 – Finalizing translation results**

After discussing and getting suggestions, besides focusing on the accuracy of meaning, language style, and diction, students were obliged to pay attention to the technical aspect, selecting font types admired by children. Editing also happened at this stage.

**Stage 6 – Printing and Labelling**

In this stage, students needed to print out the translation and attach the translation on the books. They were required to learn the cutting technique so that the cut would be neat and well-shaped. Font size and position of the label also had to be taken into account so that the label did not cover the pictures. Labelling also included providing book identity, like the name of translator, institution, and time of translating (month and year). Below are the samples.

![Identity of storybooks for children in Perpustakaan Ganesa](image1)

*Fig. 3. Identity of storybooks for children in Perpustakaan Ganesa*

![Students’ translation attached to storybooks](image2)

*Fig. 4. Students’ translation attached to storybooks*

**Stage 7 – Displaying**

In the last stage, bilingual storybooks for children (in English and Indonesian) were displayed on the shelves. Visitors could borrow or read the books.

Particular process of translation includes:
1. Analyzing

Analyzing was carried out by reading the books for several times in order to understand the message and identify words and expression which were difficult to be translated. Students’ understanding on context was very much helped by the pictures so that the search of equivalence was done more easily. When reading a book “Going to Town”, Immaniar Hayu Sari (2017: 11) identified difficult word “calico”. She also found difficult word “collapse” in “The Squeaky Door” and she explained that she was confused at the beginning but after looking at the picture and reading the text for several times, she could get the meaning (ibid).

2. Transferring

In this stage, students conducted in their mind, finding equivalences in the source text and transferring them into the target text. Students also showed their competence of transferring, by applying translation strategies they had learnt at university. The table below demonstrates samples of parts of texts which were difficult to be translated, and the ways they transferred meanings of words and expressions, and strategies they used to solve problems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of translator</th>
<th>Book title</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>TT</th>
<th>Translation strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutthi Faatihah Sari</td>
<td>In a Blue Room</td>
<td>In blue room, lilacs and lilywhites give off a gentle scent. Alice twirls around, plops down, and breathes deep.</td>
<td>Di sebuah kamar bersawa biru, bunga lilac and bunga lili putih mengeluarkan wangi yang lembut. Alice berputar-putar, menghempaskan diri dan bernafas lega.</td>
<td>1. Searching for the meaning of “lilacs” in Google. 2. Looking for the meaning in dictionary 3. Using borrowing strategy to translate it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merna Syaraswati</td>
<td>Curious George and the Birthday Surprise</td>
<td>In the living room George found noisemakers...</td>
<td>Di ruang tamu, George menemukan beberapa terompet ulang tahun...</td>
<td>1. looking for the meaning of “noisemakers” in dictionary in Google 2. long for the meaning in Cambridge dictionary 3. comparing the pictures of trumpet and noisemaker in Google.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curious George and the Birthday Surprise</td>
<td>Still, George was curious about the surprise. And what was that good smell coming from the kitchen? George followed his nose.</td>
<td>Meski begitu, George masih penasaran tentang kejutan itu. Tapi bau enak apa ini datangnya dari dapur? George mengikuti arah bau enak itu.</td>
<td>1. adding information by analyzing context of situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIG!</td>
<td>Mr. Rally drives a big yellow backhoe.</td>
<td>Pak Rally mengendarai sebuah backhoe besar bersawa kuning. (Backhoe: sejenis alat berat untuk penggalian tanah)</td>
<td>1. finding the meaning in dictionary 2. asking friends 3. searching for the picture in Google 4. using borrowing and addition techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missing One Stuffed Rabbit</td>
<td>They even checked the Lost and Found Department three times.</td>
<td>Mereka bahkan memeriksa di Bagian Informasi sampai tiga kali.</td>
<td>1. translating literally 2. looking for parallel texts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Restructuring

In this stage, translation was rechecked by students by focusing on two aspects of translation quality assessment, acceptability and readability. Those were to ensure that the translation was acceptable in Indonesian culture, natural in terms of figurative language for children, using simple Indonesian language that was understandable for children. Besides, according to Immaniar (2017: 17),...
this stage was also in order to minimize mistyping and wrong punctuation. Adinda Brilliani (2017: 12-13) mentioned that she misused and mistyped punctuation: she forgot typing period (.) at the end of a sentence, typing the same words twice so that deletion had to be done, and revising sentences which were less readable and less acceptable. The followings are the examples:

(1) ST : I bet he ate a hundred pounds of vegetables every day.
TT 1 : Aku bertaruh dinosaurus makan ratusan kilo sayuran setiap hari.
TT 2 (edited) : Aku yakin dinosaurus makan ratusan kilo sayuran setiap hari.

(2) ST : She and Baron stayed close and warm together all winter long.
TT 1 : Fluffy and Baron tetap dekat dan hangat bersama sepanjang musim salju.
TT 2 (edited) : Sepanjang musim dingin, Fluffy dan Baron dekat dan bersama.

2. *Linguistic and cultural challenges faced by students*

When translating, students faced linguistic and cultural challenges. Students did not have adequate knowledge and skills in translating. Conveying message requires linguistic and cultural competences to ensure that the meaning rendered is easily read by the readers. In this case, the readers are children who have limited vocabularies. The cultural aspect is important because when translating children’s literature, this aspect is a knowledge for children to learn the difference among cultures in the source text.

Based on the information obtained from students about their experiences in translating storybooks, it can be concluded that: (1) limited vocabularies in ST, (2) Diction on TT that are understandable for children, (3) understanding of meaning in a sentence in ST holistically, and (4) determination of terms or phrase which are proper in TT when translating titles so that the titles are clear and interesting for children, as well as represent content.

The cultural challenges in translating storybooks include: (1) inadequate understanding of culture in ST, (2) less accurate translation of onomatopoeia which is rich of cultural content, (3) less proper translation of phrases containing colloquial, particularly those containing cultural contents of ST, (4) unnatural translation of names of plants, animals, tools, habits, etc. closely related to culture, and (5) limited understanding of development in society.

Linguistic challenges in translating children’s storybooks lie on students’ ability in understanding message contained in the source text and delivering the message in the target text using proper words. The main challenge is understanding of words and expressions in the source text and selecting diction in target text. The cases below are the samples.

| Example 1 |
|-----------|----------------|
| ST        | TT             |
| We are stuck to the sticks,” said Pooh. | “Tongkat-tongkat ini melekat pada kita,” kata Pooh. |
| “I will get the Super Sleuths.” | “Aku akan memperoleh detektif-detektif yang hebat.” |

In Example 1 in the story of “The Case of the Sticky Sticks”, expression “I will get help” was expressed by Piglet to tell Pooh that he would find help. However, this expression was translated literally by students into “Aku akan mendapat pertolongan,” and the meaning contained in the source text was not delivered properly in the target text. The proper equivalence is “Aku akan mencari bantuan”.

| Example 2 |
|-----------|----------------|
| ST        | TT             |
| He spent most of his time sitting in the corner, next to the old ball and the wooden locomotive. | Dia menghabiskan sebagian besar waktunya duduk di pojokkan, di sebelah bola tua dan kereta kayu. |

In Example 2 in the story of “Ragged Bear”, bear doll spent its time in the corner of the room. However, the translator chose improper word. Instead of using “di pojok” which means “in the corner”, she used “dipojokkan” which means “be putted aside into improper position/rank”. This possibly happened because the translator was less observant to difference of words having nearly similar letters.
3. **Review of syllabus for “Translation of Fictions”**

This course is a compulsory course for students. By joining this course, students are expected to be able to translate literary works from English into Indonesian with high level of accuracy, acceptability, and readability, and equivalent language style. The competences taught include describing characteristics and style of literature, translating direct and indirect speech and address names (proper names), translating expressions using colloquial, translating simile, metaphor, and personification, translating idiom, translating teen-lit, translating storybooks for children, and translating novels.

In general, those final competences have accommodated students’ basic materials for translating fictions. However, time allocation for practice in the class is limited, only 200 minutes for each competence, and the portion for translating children’s literature is only a half of the total time. In the real practice, there are various problems dealing with language features when translating storybooks for children, such as onomatopoeia, nursery rhymes, cultural terms related to ecology and geography, translating title, etc. Students’ knowledge and technique for research for finding equivalences need to be improved. Restructuration is required for minimizing errors in translating. The duration for practice has to be made longer due to those reasons. Improve their sensitiveness on language style, selecting words suitable for children, delivering moral values, examining children’s world, and looking for equivalences cannot be mastered only in 6.5 hours. Moreover, it is also found that students have not considered pictures which play a role in supporting the context of situation and plot of the story. The negligence of that aspect is proven, causing deviation of meaning and unnaturalness of meaning. Thus, syllabus for this course has to be revisited, related to the aspects of variances of materials and time allocation for practice. This study has not yet provided guidance for translating fictions for the data obtained are less adequate to be formulated as guidance.

V. **CONCLUSION**

The activity of translating children’s storybooks from English to Indonesian is not easy for students of English Diploma program of UNS. They were exposed with difficulties related to linguistic and cultural aspects. Despite the fact that Translation of Fictions course has been given to students, with syllabus regulating about “translating storybooks for children” along with the linguistic features which have to be considered, including idiom, politeness, moral values, figurative languages, address name, colloquial, and direct and indirect speech. One of obvious factors is limited time allocation for translation practice.

Moreover, attention has to be given to consider pictures in the books when translating, so that distortion of meaning can be minimized. In other words, discussion on the context of situation built by the pictures and dialogues has to be included in the syllabus. Challenges faced by students are caused by their lack of linguistic and cultural competences. Students’ limited knowledge and curiosity to find information using references and parallel texts become challenges in producing a good translation. Sensitivity in expressing meaning to produce highly readable translation is low.

Moreover, stages of the translation process in PG have to be improved. Editing and quality assurance should be provided so that discussion and monitoring processes can be done more deeply. Internship supervisor should work together with other team members to perform editing and quality assurance so that the translation process can be done holistically and the translation is produced with a high level of accuracy, acceptability, and readability. This is so in order to provide visitors with quality reading materials. Thus, cooperation between English Diploma Program of UNS and PG should be improved and strengthen. English Diploma Program can provide human resources for editing and quality assurance, guidance and counselling for intern students in PG (students from various universities conducting an internship in PG), and providing translators—alumni of English Diploma
Program—having good competence in translation. PG can play a role in providing storybooks and facilities required for the programs.
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